The Roman Catholic Faithful

The pages that follow are a reproduction of a deposition made by Fr. James Haley of the diocese of Arlington, Virginia, on July 24, 2002.

The Roman Catholic Faithful, Inc. P.O. Box 109 Petersburg, IL 62675 www.rcf.org

Phone: 217-632-5920 Fax: 217-632-7054

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR ARLINGTON COUNTY	
x	
JIM A. LAMBERT, :	
: Plaintiff :	
: v. : Law No. 02-423	
: :	
BISHOP PAUL S. LOVERDE of : The Catholic Diocese of :	
Arlington, a Corporation : Sole, and CATHOLIC DIOCESE :	
OF ARLINGTON, a Corporation :	
Sole. :	
Defendant :	
Wednesday, July 24, 2002 Arlington, Virginia	
Deposition of	
FATHER JAMES HALEY	
a witness, called for examination by counsel for	
the plaintiff, pursuant to notice, held at the law	
offices of Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP, 277	
South Washington Street, Suite 310, Alexandria,	WASHINGTON, DC: 1050 17th Street, NW
Virginia, 22314, beginning at 10:15 a.m., before	Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036 TEL 202-833-3399
Monica L. Knight, a Notary Public in and for the	MARYLAND: 51 Monroe Street Suite 1401
Commonwealth of Virginia, when were present on	Rockville, MD 20850 TEL 301-762-1606
behalf of the respective parties:	VIRGINIA: 2111 Wilson Boulevard Suite 700 Arlington, VA 22201
Quality and Excellence In SINCE 1981	PLEASE RESPOND TO: 2622 N. Fairfax Drive Arlington, VA 22201 TEL 703-525-8251 FAX 703-525-3212 TOLL-FREE 1-877-718-1850 E-MAIL bdr.assoc@aol.com
BRADFORD	
Exceptional Service in Court Reporting and Litigation Support	
	[NEXT]

Page 2	Page 4
APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff: GREGORY L. MURPHY, ESQ. 703/837-6999 Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 277 South Washington Street Suite 310 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 For the Defendant: (No Appearance)	1. Thereupon 2. FATHER JAMES HALEY 3. a witness, called for examination by counsel 4. for the plaintiff, and after having been 5. first duly sworn by the Notary Public, was 6. examined and testified as follows: 7. MR. MURPHY: It's now approximately 8. 10:15 on Wednesday, July 24th. We're here 9. for the deposition of Father James Haley who 10. was served a subpoena for the taking of his 11. deposition and a request for documents on a 12. couple approximately a couple of weeks 13. ago. He is here pursuant to that notice. 14. For the record, I have had some 15. communication with the defendant's counsel, 16. Stuart Raphael, and for the record I want it 17. to be known that he requested a possible 18. postponement of this less than a week ago 19. actually, I guess, exactly a week ago to a 20. more convenient date for him, stating that he 21. may have a conflict. 22. My later discussion in later
Page 3	Page 5
Examination by Counsel Witness Father James Haley Mr. Murphy: 10 EXHIBITS ***(All exhibits retained by counsel.) Deposition Exhibit No. Page A - Folder of documents	 discussions with him, he indicated that he actually just wanted to postpone until after the motions are heard on August 29th, which he says may be dispositive of this case. I was away all last week in trial in Nashville, but I had my office communicate with him on several occasions to tell him that I could not postpone this deposition unless Father Haley agreed, since he was under subpoena, unless he agreed to another date. And I further communicated to Mr. Raphael that I would not postpone it past August 29th, since this deposition is important to those motions. I finally spoke with Mr excuse me Mr. Raphael was asked by my office at my direction for his date that I could take to or my office could take to Father Haley to see if he would agree to the postponed date. Mr. Raphael refused to give my office any dates for postponing and therefore we he was told that we couldn't agree to a
	Daga 2 Daga 5

Page 2 - Page 5

	Page 6		Page 8
1.	postponement as a result. I finally spoke	1.	cover letter of July 23rd totally
2.	with him on Monday, July 22nd, when he	2.	misrepresenting our conversations and what
3.	indicated to me that he wanted to postpone	3.	had occurred.
4.	this past August 29th. And I reminded him]	4.	I have written him back by letter of
5.	that this was unacceptable to me because I	5.	that same day, yesterday, July 23rd
6.	needed this testimony for the dispositive	6.	informing him of his misstatements and the
7.	motions that were pending.	7.	fact that I the reason I had not contacted
8.	I also expressed to him, as I had	8.	him earlier in the day is I had not heard
9.	earlier, that I had a concern about Father	9.	from Father Haley. I thus told him in that
10.	Haley's availability here in the future	10.	letter that I had no choice but to go forward
11.	because of his situation with the Diocese of	11.	today with the deposition since there had
12.	Arlington, which has the power to remove him	12.	been no postponement agreed to and that it
13.	or move him around or alternatively, I	13.	was becoming clear to me that it wasn't a
14.	understand there is a possibility they may	14.	matter of inconvenience, but rather a simple
15.	terminate him. Not terminate excuse me,	15.	desire to postpone this deposition for the
16.	incorrect word it may take some action	16.	diocese's own purposes and trying to prevent
17.	that may result in his not being available in	17.	it.
18.	this jurisdiction.	18.	If Mr. Raphael wasn't available, I
19.	And as a consequence of that I felt	19.	suggested to him that someone else in his
20.	it was important to preserve his testimony.	20.	rather large firm of Hunton and Williams
21.	Mr. Raphael said that if there was a	21.	might be able to attend. He cites to me in
22	postnonement he might be able to check with	22	his letter Rule 4.5(d) which states that

22. his letter Rule 4:5(d) which states that --

	Page 7		Page 9
1.	the diocese to see if they could assure his	1.	states according to him, that a deposition
2.	appearance here. I told him that that	2.	should be suspended upon a demand of an
3.	wouldn't work if Father Haley was with this	3.	objecting party. And thus, he says that he
4.	diocese and so I still had a problem with it.	4.	will not be attending and claims that the
5.	But regardless, I told him I would try to	5.	deposition was suspended by operation of law.
6.	contact Father Haley and see if he would	6.	I responded to his office last night
7.	agree to a short postponement.	7.	to tell him that I found Rule 4:5
8.	As a result of that, Mr. Raphael	8.	inapplicable and therefore unpursuasive in
9.	suggested some dates in the next week or so,	9.	this instance. It's clear to me that Rule
10.	but it became clear in my discussions with	10.	4:5 applies to suspending a deposition when
11.	him that it was solely for the purpose for	11.	during it there is some indication of
12.	his sole purpose in seeking a protective	12.	harassment, annoyance, embarrassment or the
13.	order from this court to stop the discovery	13.	like. There is nothing in the rule that says
14.	completely. I told him that was unacceptable	14.	that the deposition can be suspended simply
15.	to me, but, in any event, I will still try to	15.	to have the court determine whether it is
16.	accommodate a short postponement.	16.	important to have that deposition heard
17.	I was unavailable to get ahold of	17.	before or after dispositive motions. And
18.	Father Haley on Monday evening or early	18.	it's clear that when the deposition pertains
19.	Tuesday and instead and shortly	19.	to the dispositive motions there's no reason
20.	thereafter, I mean I received from Mr.	20.	for delaying it. And so even if it did come
21.	Raphael on July 23rd, a motion to stay	21.	under the rubric of 4:5, in this instance
22.	discovery and for protective order with a	22.	it wouldn't apply.

Page 6 - Page 9

postponement he might be able to check with

Page 10	Page 12
1. Despite having told Mr. Raphael all 2. this, he is not here today. He has not 3. called me in response to my letter and yet 4. Father Haley has appeared here for his 5. deposition. And so I am going to go forward 6. in accordance with the Notice of Deposition 7. Request for Documents that was previously 8. served on Father Haley in order to preserve 9. his testimony and the testimony for the 10. dispositive motions and in this case. 11. Having given that long preamble, let 12. me proceed, if I may. 13. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF 14. BY MR. MURPHY: 15. Q Father Haley, please state your full 16. name and address. 17. A Full name, James Raymond Haley. And 18. address currently is 8060 East Girard Avenue, 19. Apartment 511, Denver, Colorado, 80231- 4417. 20. Q 4417. And are you here today 21. pursuant to the Notice of Deposition and 22. Request for Documents that was served on you?	 Catholic Diocese of Arlington? A Yes. Q Would you briefly tell me what parishes you have been associated with and in what capacity? A Original assignment, Saint Marks in Vienna, Virginia, from 1987 to 1991. Q What was in what capacity there? A Assistant Pastor, Associate Pastor. Q Which is the higher rank? Associate or Assistant or are they the same? A They're the same. The proper terminology now is Parochial Vicar. Q Okay. A Followed by Saint Louis Parish in Alexandria, Virginia, from 1991 to 1994. Q Your role there? A Associate Pastor. Saint Ann's in Arlington, Virginia, '94 to '95. Associate Pastor, Saint John's in McLean, Virginia, '95 to '97. Associate Pastor, All Saints Parish in Manassas, Virginia, 1997 to '99.
Page 11	Page 13
 A Yes. Q And have you brought with you and produced documents pursuant to that? A Yes. Q I will go through those later, if I may. Let me first ask you, where are you presently employed? A Employed with the Diocese of Arlington, Virginia and their main chancery office are at 200 North Glebe Road, Arlington, Virginia. Q How long have you been employed with the Diocese of Arlington and in what capacity? A Since my ordination, May 9th, 1987, as a roman Catholic Priest. Q Where were you ordained? A The Cathedral of Saint Thomas More in Arlington, Virginia. Q And have you continued to serve as a priest since your ordination solely with the 	 Associate Pastor, Saint Lawrence Parish in Alexandria, Virginia, 1999 to 2001. Q Also as Associate Pastor? A Associate Pastor. Saint Mary's in Fredericksburg, 1991 until October the 23rd of 1991. Q You mean 2001? A 2001. Q Until 2001? A From June until October of 2001. Q And where have you been since October of 2001? A I have been on a period forced discernment by the Diocese of Arlington. Q I am going to come back to that. Are you familiar with Jim A. Lambert? A Yes. Q And how did you come to know Jim Lambert? A He and his wife, Nancy, and their children were parishioners at All Saints Parish in Manassas.

Page 10 - Page 13

	Page 14	Page 16
1.	Q And do you recall in what year you	1. Q Where did he go; do you know?
2.	came to become acquainted with them? We're	2. A He was transferred as an associate
3.	talking the years, I assume, 1997 to 1999?	3. pastor to our Lady of Angels in Woodbridge.
4.	A Yes.	4. Q And do you know where he is today?
5.	Q And how soon after, if you recall,	5. A He is still Associate Pastor at Lady
6.	that you came to All Saints did you were	6. of Angels in Woodbridge.
7.	you introduced to either of them?	7. Q Father Paul Berghout? Do you know
8.	A I met Nancy fairly quickly	8. where he is today?
9.	Certainly, by the beginning of 1998, but	9. A I believe that he's at Saint
10.	never actually was introduced to Jim Lambert	10. Anthony's Parish.
11.	probably until somewhere in the year 2000.	11. Q In on Columbia Pike?
12.	Q And how did you come to know Nancy	12. A Yes.
13.	Lambert in early 1998?	13. Q Did Ms. Lambert have any official
14.	A She was a frequent visitor at the	14. did she serve any official capacity in the
15.	rectory of All Saints and a friend, walking	15. rectory?
16.	partner and mate of some sort with the	16. A No.
17.	pastor, Father James Verrecchia.	17. Q Or for the parish?
18.	Q And who is Father James Verrecchia?	18. A No.
19.	A He was the pastor of All Saints	19. Q And you say you saw her frequently as
20.	Parish during my tenure there between 1997.	20. a visitor in the rectory. How often on the
21.	Q Were there any other priests there	21. average, per week or month, whatever is
22.	during that time other than Father Verrecchia	22. the easiest way to define it?
22.	during that time other than Father Verrecenta	22. the custest way to define it:
	Page 15	Page 17
1		-
1.	and yourself?	A During the summer of 1998, I'd say
2.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week.
2. 3.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee.	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any
2. 3. 4.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout?	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that?
2. 3. 4. 5.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T.	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden.
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T. Q And how do you spell Father what	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden. Q And what do you mean by that?
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T. Q And how do you spell Father what was his first name, Gee?	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden. Q And what do you mean by that? A But the frequency was probably the
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T. Q And how do you spell Father what was his first name, Gee? A Daniel Gee. G-E-E.	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden. Q And what do you mean by that? A But the frequency was probably the same. Hidden, she was no longer so obvious
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T. Q And how do you spell Father what was his first name, Gee? A Daniel Gee. G-E-E. Q And were there also associate	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden. Q And what do you mean by that? A But the frequency was probably the same. Hidden, she was no longer so obvious in the rectory, kitchen area, dining room
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T. Q And how do you spell Father what was his first name, Gee? A Daniel Gee. G-E-E. Q And were there also associate pastors?	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden. Q And what do you mean by that? A But the frequency was probably the same. Hidden, she was no longer so obvious in the rectory, kitchen area, dining room area, but there was a continuing meeting
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T. Q And how do you spell Father what was his first name, Gee? A Daniel Gee. G-E-E. Q And were there also associate pastors? A Yes.	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden. Q And what do you mean by that? A But the frequency was probably the same. Hidden, she was no longer so obvious in the rectory, kitchen area, dining room area, but there was a continuing meeting of the pastor, Father Verecchia and her,
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T. Q And how do you spell Father what was his first name, Gee? A Daniel Gee. G-E-E. Q And were there also associate pastors? A Yes. Q So there were three of you. Were	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden. Q And what do you mean by that? A But the frequency was probably the same. Hidden, she was no longer so obvious in the rectory, kitchen area, dining room area, but there was a continuing meeting of the pastor, Father Verecchia and her, especially in outside walks and spiritual
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T. Q And how do you spell Father what was his first name, Gee? A Daniel Gee. G-E-E. Q And were there also associate pastors? A Yes. Q So there were three of you. Were they prior to your arriving or did they come	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden. Q And what do you mean by that? A But the frequency was probably the same. Hidden, she was no longer so obvious in the rectory, kitchen area, dining room area, but there was a continuing meeting of the pastor, Father Verecchia and her, especially in outside walks and spiritual direction, counseling sessions. Especially
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T. Q And how do you spell Father what was his first name, Gee? A Daniel Gee. G-E-E. Q And were there also associate pastors? A Yes. Q So there were three of you. Were they prior to your arriving or did they come subsequent?	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden. Q And what do you mean by that? A But the frequency was probably the same. Hidden, she was no longer so obvious in the rectory, kitchen area, dining room area, but there was a continuing meeting of the pastor, Father Verecchia and her, especially in outside walks and spiritual direction, counseling sessions. Especially early in the morning, late evenings.
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T. Q And how do you spell Father what was his first name, Gee? A Daniel Gee. G-E-E. Q And were there also associate pastors? A Yes. Q So there were three of you. Were they prior to your arriving or did they come subsequent? A They had been there. Father Paul	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden. Q And what do you mean by that? A But the frequency was probably the same. Hidden, she was no longer so obvious in the rectory, kitchen area, dining room area, but there was a continuing meeting of the pastor, Father Verecchia and her, especially in outside walks and spiritual direction, counseling sessions. Especially early in the morning, late evenings. Q When she was in the rectory, where in
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T. Q And how do you spell Father what was his first name, Gee? A Daniel Gee. G-E-E. Q And were there also associate pastors? A Yes. Q So there were three of you. Were they prior to your arriving or did they come subsequent? A They had been there. Father Paul Berghout had been there a year and I believe	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden. Q And what do you mean by that? A But the frequency was probably the same. Hidden, she was no longer so obvious in the rectory, kitchen area, dining room area, but there was a continuing meeting of the pastor, Father Verecchia and her, especially in outside walks and spiritual direction, counseling sessions. Especially early in the morning, late evenings. Q When she was in the rectory, where in the rectory would she be visiting?
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T. Q And how do you spell Father what was his first name, Gee? A Daniel Gee. G-E-E. Q And were there also associate pastors? A Yes. Q So there were three of you. Were they prior to your arriving or did they come subsequent? A They had been there. Father Paul Berghout had been there a year and I believe Gee had been there two years before my	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden. Q And what do you mean by that? A But the frequency was probably the same. Hidden, she was no longer so obvious in the rectory, kitchen area, dining room area, but there was a continuing meeting of the pastor, Father Verecchia and her, especially in outside walks and spiritual direction, counseling sessions. Especially early in the morning, late evenings. Q When she was in the rectory, where in the rectory would she be visiting? A Normally, she would be in the kitchen
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T. Q And how do you spell Father what was his first name, Gee? A Daniel Gee. G-E-E. Q And were there also associate pastors? A Yes. Q So there were three of you. Were they prior to your arriving or did they come subsequent? A They had been there. Father Paul Berghout had been there a year and I believe Gee had been there two years before my arrival in 1997.	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden. Q And what do you mean by that? A But the frequency was probably the same. Hidden, she was no longer so obvious in the rectory, kitchen area, dining room area, but there was a continuing meeting of the pastor, Father Verecchia and her, especially in outside walks and spiritual direction, counseling sessions. Especially early in the morning, late evenings. Q When she was in the rectory, where in the rectory would she be visiting? A Normally, she would be in the kitchen area, although several times, I'd say at
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T. Q And how do you spell Father what was his first name, Gee? A Daniel Gee. G-E-E. Q And were there also associate pastors? A Yes. Q So there were three of you. Were they prior to your arriving or did they come subsequent? A They had been there. Father Paul Berghout had been there a year and I believe Gee had been there two years before my arrival in 1997. Q Did either of them leave before you?	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden. Q And what do you mean by that? A But the frequency was probably the same. Hidden, she was no longer so obvious in the rectory, kitchen area, dining room area, but there was a continuing meeting of the pastor, Father Verecchia and her, especially in outside walks and spiritual direction, counseling sessions. Especially early in the morning, late evenings. Q When she was in the rectory, where in the rectory would she be visiting? A Normally, she would be in the kitchen area, although several times, I'd say at least four, noticed her coming down the
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T. Q And how do you spell Father what was his first name, Gee? A Daniel Gee. G-E-E. Q And were there also associate pastors? A Yes. Q So there were three of you. Were they prior to your arriving or did they come subsequent? A They had been there. Father Paul Berghout had been there a year and I believe Gee had been there two years before my arrival in 1997. Q Did either of them leave before you? A Father Daniel Gee left the parish one	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden. Q And what do you mean by that? A But the frequency was probably the same. Hidden, she was no longer so obvious in the rectory, kitchen area, dining room area, but there was a continuing meeting of the pastor, Father Verecchia and her, especially in outside walks and spiritual direction, counseling sessions. Especially early in the morning, late evenings. Q When she was in the rectory, where in the rectory would she be visiting? A Normally, she would be in the kitchen area, although several times, I'd say at least four, noticed her coming down the stairs from the pastor's bedroom area.
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	and yourself? A Two other associates. Father Paul Berghout and Father Daniel Gee. Q How do you spell Berghout? A B-E-R-G-H-O-U-T. Q And how do you spell Father what was his first name, Gee? A Daniel Gee. G-E-E. Q And were there also associate pastors? A Yes. Q So there were three of you. Were they prior to your arriving or did they come subsequent? A They had been there. Father Paul Berghout had been there a year and I believe Gee had been there two years before my arrival in 1997. Q Did either of them leave before you?	 A During the summer of 1998, I'd say that we saw her two, three times a week. Q And did that change at all at any time? Increase or decrease after that? A It became more hidden. Q And what do you mean by that? A But the frequency was probably the same. Hidden, she was no longer so obvious in the rectory, kitchen area, dining room area, but there was a continuing meeting of the pastor, Father Verecchia and her, especially in outside walks and spiritual direction, counseling sessions. Especially early in the morning, late evenings. Q When she was in the rectory, where in the rectory would she be visiting? A Normally, she would be in the kitchen area, although several times, I'd say at least four, noticed her coming down the

Page 14 - Page 17

	Page 18	Page 20
Q Do you know if anyone else there	1. would encour	iter them?
2. observed her coming down from the be	Iroom 2. A Yes. Ty	pical, "Hi Nancy. What are
3. area?	3. you doing her	re? You're back again? Those
4. A I don't know.	4. are pretty sho	rt shorts."
5. Q How were you aware that she wa	5. Q Anythin	g else?
6. engaged in walks early morning, late	6. A On my	part, I think there was this
7. evenings, et cetera, with Father Verrecc	ia? 7. growing conce	ern that boundaries had been
8. A It was becoming a source of publ	e 8. passed, simpl	y because of their attire that
9. discussion within the parish. Many	9. they were wea	aring in each other's presence,
10. parishioners had seen the two together	nd 10. the intimacy of	of conversations they were
11. were commenting on the relationship a	being 11. having.	
12. inappropriate and very visible and ther	were 12. Q Were th	ese the types of things that
13. many who were concerned.	13. were discusse	d amongst the other priests
14. Q And did they bring that to your	14. there?	
15. attention?	15. A I think a	at time we felt that there
16. A Yes. And to my knowledge to the	16. was certainly	a very strong friendship, but
17. other priests as well.	17. there were sor	ne events that made everyone
18. Q Did you ever discuss with Father	Gee 18. aware that thi	s truly had crossed the
19. or Father Berghout these concerns?	19. boundaries.	
20. A Not not directly, in the sense of	20. Q At what	point in time was that?
21. making an official declaration to the	21. A Certainl	y by the fall of 1998,
22. diocese, but certainly we talked about of	ar 22. certain events	happened.
	Page 19	Page 21
1. concerns.	ı. O Before I	get to that, you had
1. CONCUMIS.	1. Q Before I	get to mat, you mad

Page 19	Page 21

- Q You talked amongst each other? 2
- A Yes 3.
- Q So did they indicate that they were 4.
- aware of the frequency of these visits and
- the parishioners expressed concerns about the 6.
- inappropriateness of this?
- A Yes. It was a growing concern 8.
- 9. on their part, too. Especially, I think,
- among -- with Father Gee.
- Q Did you ever speak with Father 11.
- Verrecchia about what you were observing and
- others were expressing concern about? 13.
- A Not directly, but there were
- certainly gestures and indications of
- surprise when I had found them together in
- the kitchen area, when we had seen them on 17
- long walks, two and three-hour walks
- together. So there was a questioning of the 19
- relationship and how frequently they were
- seeing each other just by the surprise. 21
- 22. Q The surprise shown by you when you

- mentioned also there was a lot of times spent
- in spiritual counseling sessions. What are
- spiritual counseling sessions? 4.
- A Normally a priest might see a member
- of the parish for spiritual direction in a
- priest's office. It would last anywhere from
- a half-hour to an hour, usually entailed
- confession and discussion of spiritual
- issues. Prayer life, moral life, that would
- be the typical case.
- In Father Verrecchia and Nancy's
- case, much of the discussions seems to have
- happened on their walks together or in early
- morning rendezvous within the church itself.
- Q Is spiritual counseling something
- offered to the parishioners by virtue of 17.
- their being members of the parish?
- 19. A Usually a parishioner would ask a
- priest if she could have an appointment or
- he or she could have an appointment to 21
- discuss spiritual issues and in the process

Page 18 - Page 21

	Page 22		Page 24
1.	one might become a spiritual directee of a	1.	certain liabilities to true counseling.
2.	priest. Typically, I would not meet with	2.	Q Is direction something that a
3.	anyone more than once a month, usually every	3.	parishioner can ask for and expect to receive
4.	other month.	4.	if they ask for it from a priest in the
5.	Q And is that fairly typical if you	5.	parish?
6.	were providing spiritual counseling to me?	6.	A Yes.
7.	Once a month or every other month?	7.	Q How frequently from your observation
8.	A Yes.	8.	was Father Verrecchia meeting with Ms.
9.	Q Are there occasions when you would	9.	Lambert in the summer and fall of 1998?
10.	meet more than that?	10.	A Probably the same frequency, two and
11.	A I suppose if there was some sort of a	11.	three times a week.
12.	crisis, but in my particular case I would	12.	Q Did there appear to be from your
13.	even resist so that there wasn't a dependency	13.	observation any crisis that she in her
14.	that would form. and certainly once one had	14.	life that she would have exhibited or even
15.	established that kind of relationship with	15.	mentioned that would have explained meeting
16.	the parishioner it would complicate	16.	that often?
17.	friendships, social activity with that same	17.	A From outside appearances, no.
18.	parishioner.	18.	Q Did Father Verrecchia ever suggest
19.	Q By that you mean, if you were going	19.	that there was some crisis going on in her
20.	to be counseling you shouldn't be indulging	20.	life that necessitated his meeting with her
21.	in a social relationship, too?	21.	that frequently?
22.	A Unlike a lawyer or a psychologist who	22.	A No. it primarily seemed to be a

	Page 23		Page 25
1.	would be prevented, prohibited from that,	1.	mutual attraction.
2.	certainly the discretion of the priest, one	2.	Q When you're trained how long were
3.	would hope that he had the prudence to keep	3.	you in training before you're one is
4.	that separation, but in the life of the	4.	ordained as a priest?
5.	parish a priest is involved with all of the	5.	A Typically, four years.
6.	parishioners all the time.	6.	Q And during those four years are you
7.	Q Insofar as spiritual counseling or	7.	given instruction were you given
8.	being serving as a spiritual directee, I	8.	instruction and are priests in the diocese
9.	asked the question earlier and I want to make	9.	typically given instruction on how to provide
10.	sure I understood.	10.	spiritual direction?
11.	Is this something that the priests	11.	A No.
12.	are trained to offer to members of the parish	12.	Q How does that come about that you
13.	and something that members of the parish can	13.	one knows how to give spiritual direction if
14.	ask for by virtue of being a member of that	14.	it's requested?
15.	parish?	15.	A Initially, most priests are very
16.	A "Spiritual counseling" is probably	16.	resistant towards taking on any spiritual
17.	not used. Spiritual direction.	17.	direction because of a lack of experience.
18.	Q Spiritual direction?	18.	For example, Father Paul and Father Gee both
19.	A. Yes. A priest has a very limited	19.	refused to take any because of their
20.	counseling, training, and certainly most don't	20.	inexperience as priests.
21.	offer any major counseling because they are	21.	Q Are you given in your training
22.	not trained and I would think there would be	22.	are priests given and were you given any

Page 22 - Page 25

_		
	Page 26	Page 28

Multi-PageTM

- 1. advice, counseling, direction or instruction
- 2. as to the appropriateness of fraternizing
- 3. with parishioners and to the extent to which
- 4. you socialize or spend personal time with
- 5. parishioners?
- 6. A Training varies. It was suggested to
- 7. us that there should be a cordial
- 8. relationship with all parishioners, men and
- 9. women, but no relationships that would
- 10. compromise the vows of celibacy.
- 11. Q And is that an instruction that would
- 12. come from the Diocese of Arlington through
- 13. the bishop?
- 14. A Not directly, but certainly in the
- 15. moral life of a priest, prudence in
- maintaining your vows would be upperhanded.
- 17. Q And that's given in the teachings for
- 18. the priesthood?
- A Yes
- 20. Q During your tenure in the Diocese of
- 21. Arlington, were you ever aware of any
- 22. instruction or guidance given or even

- 1. A It certainly has. The bishop has
- 2. that power and in fact, responsibility.
- 3. Q And in the exercise of that
- 4. responsibility -- power and responsibility,
- 5. could the bishop physically remove you from a
- 6. parish where the parishioner with whom you
- 7. may be having too close a contact is a
- 8. member?
- A Could be? Yes. Certainly has the
- o. power and, as I say, in most cases it's an
- 1. immediate inquiry through a week or two of
- 12. psychological inpatient evaluation.
- 3. Q And he can require you to -- as a
- 14. member priest of the diocese under the
- 15. bishop's auspices to attend the psychiatric
- or psychological evaluation?
- A I don't believe that he can require,
- 18. but the tone that they use would certainly
- 19. indicate that it's a mandatory need in order
- 20. to address the issue. And normally, it would
- 21. come from the offended party. I.e., the
- 22. woman who is being mistreated approaching the

Page 27 Page 29

- admonition given by the diocese through the
- 2. bishop or through any of his representatives
- 3. about personal relationships with
- 4. parishioners that may appear to be
- 5. compromising their vow of celibacy or, in
- 6. fact, were compromising the vows of celibacy?
- 7. A Yes. In some cases there seems to be
- 8. severe reactions towards what happened to be
- 9. minor indiscretions what, in fact, were minor
- 10. indiscretions and the diocese took severe
- 11. reaction.
- 12. Q What was the severe reaction?
- 13. A Normally, within a week of being
- 14. informed of a complaint it would be
- 15. investigated by a psychological evaluation of
- 16. the priest and stern disciplinary action that
- 17. would indicate that the relationship should
- 18. stop immediately, no contact.
- 19. Q And was it within the power of the
- 20. bishop and his priests that are in the
- 21. diocese to demand that a relationship, as you
- 22. just described, stop?

- 1. bishop or a diocesan authority or another
- 2. priest in response to her complaint.
- 3. Q Putting aside Ms. Lambert and
- 4. Father Verrecchia for a second, was it -- did
- 5. it appear to you, be then standard procedure
- 6. in other cases for the bishop to react
- 7. quickly and exercise his power and
- 8. responsibility through stern disciplinary
- 9. reaction when situations like this were
- 10. presented to him?
- A It seemed that if there was the
- 2. possibility of any kind of legal or public
- 13. scandal towards the diocese, prompt action
- 14. would be taken, but not necessarily if there
- 14. Would be taken, but not necessarily if the
- 15. was a scandal of the parishioners. What
- 16. seemed to motivate a bishop's action was the
- 17. threat of a legal action or a lawsuit from an
- offended party.
- 19. Q Did the bishop -- does the bishop
- 20. have the authority to move a priest from one
- 21. parish to another within the diocese?
- 22. A Yes.

Page 26 - Page 29

Page 30	Page 32
 Q In fact, is that how you get assigned from one parish to another? A Yes. Q And how long is your tenure usually? A priest's tenure at one parish? A Varies. Typically, maybe three or four years as an associate. Q And how long is it typically before one becomes a pastor of a parish? A Average in the Diocese of Arlington is about 10 to 11 years. Q Do you know how long one typically remains a pastor of a parish? A Typically, a period from six to twelve years. Q Do you know how long Father Verrecchia had been at All Saints? A I believe he had been there two years before I had arrived. Q How old was Father Verrecchia or how old is he today, I guess, approximately? A He is six months younger than I, so I 	 A Yes. Q did you also observe them on these relatively clandestine walks they were taking? A Yes. Q What were the you said there had been some questionable public activities that raised some concerns with parishioners. What were those events? A The most dramatic was a party at one of Father Verrecchia's friends where many of the parishioners were in attendance. And Father Verrecchia and Nancy were in the hot tub together with glasses of wine and he had his arm around her and apparently it was quite shocking to the people involved, quite shocking to the two associates. Q The two associate priests were there? A Yes. Q Were you there? A I was not. Q How did you find out about it?
Page 31	Page 33
 am 46. Q Going back to the fall of 1998, was there any change in the relationship as you observed it with regard between Father Verrecchia and Ms. Lambert? A There was some public incidences that aroused many people's anger and worry, concern. And when he became aware of that, as I said, there was a lot more secret, hidden, early morning away from the parish meetings. 	 A Father Gee came back to the rectory and he was furious at what he had seen. And so he and Father Paul went back to the party to see if they could somehow, quote, "Knock some sense into Father Verrecchia." I think they shot a Nerf ball at his head in the hot tub. Q Was Mr. Lambert there? A I believe that he was, but I don't know. Q Any other events that you recall?

Q If they were hidden, how did you become aware of them?

A For example, the Sacristan of the 14. parish, Carol Stime, (ph) was often there

first thing in the morning to open up the

17. church. And she would later come to us with concerns that since she opened or when she

18. opened early in the morning, Father

Verrecchia and Nancy would be in the church 20.

21. together.

12. 13.

Q And she would tell you that?

A More and more parishioners, members

13. of the school, were confronting all of us

priests about their concerns. Comments

about they seem like newlyweds walking or

you can see that they're in love, or I saw

17. Father Jim and some lady walking and I could

hardly recognize him because he was in

such an animated conversation with her.

There were some letters apparently 20

written to the school principal.

Q Who is the school principal?

Page 30 - Page 33

Exceptional Service in Court Reporting and Litigation Support

Page 34	Page 36
A David Connors.	1. complained to the diocese and that Father Gee
2. Q From parishioners to the school	2. also joined that group of parishioners and
3. principal?	3. was complaining about his behavior at the
4. A Yes, concerned about the growing	4. party.
5. scandal. I think a couple of the families	5. Q Do you know when that was?
6. withdrew their children from the school	6. A At the party?
7. because they felt it was totally	7. Q Yeah, when the party was?
8. inappropriate that the pastor should be	8. A I don't know the actual date because,
9. having this relationship.	9. as I said, I wasn't there.
10. Q David Connors was the school	10. Q Approximately, was it in the fall or
11. principal?	11. winter?
12. A I believe. Maybe O'Connor, but I	12. A It was the fall, I think.
13. think it's Connors.	13. Q Do you know to whom they made the
14. Q Is he still the principal; do you	14. complaint to in the diocese?
15. know?	15. A I believe that Father Rippy, the
16. A I do not know. I would say yes, but	16. chancellor, was in charge of all sexual
17. I do not know for sure.	17. misconduct at the time.
18. Q How did you find out there were	18. Q So he would have been the one they
19. letters written to the school principal?	19. would take it to?
20. A someone in the parish approached me	20. A Yes.
21. and they sere good friends with one of the	21. Q And what was his position in the
22. families that had written a letter and	22. diocese?
Page 35	Page 37
	-
1. withdrew their children. I also had	A He was the chancellor/ Basically,
 withdrew their children. I also had friendships with the secretaries and one of 	 A He was the chancellor/ Basically, the record-keeper.
 withdrew their children. I also had friendships with the secretaries and one of them had told me that there were people 	 A He was the chancellor/ Basically, the record-keeper. Q Is that what the chancellor is, the
 withdrew their children. I also had friendships with the secretaries and one of them had told me that there were people 	 A He was the chancellor/ Basically, the record-keeper. Q Is that what the chancellor is, the record-keeper?
 withdrew their children. I also had friendships with the secretaries and one of them had told me that there were people withdrawing from the school. 	 A He was the chancellor/ Basically, the record-keeper. Q Is that what the chancellor is, the record-keeper?
 withdrew their children. I also had friendships with the secretaries and one of them had told me that there were people withdrawing from the school. Q Who was that? 	 A He was the chancellor/ Basically, the record-keeper. Q Is that what the chancellor is, the record-keeper? A Yes, primarily.
 withdrew their children. I also had friendships with the secretaries and one of them had told me that there were people withdrawing from the school. Q Who was that? A Christine Gregas. 	 A He was the chancellor/ Basically, the record-keeper. Q Is that what the chancellor is, the record-keeper? A Yes, primarily. Q And who does he report to?
 withdrew their children. I also had friendships with the secretaries and one of them had told me that there were people withdrawing from the school. Q Who was that? A Christine Gregas. Q How do you spell Gregas? 	 A He was the chancellor/ Basically, the record-keeper. Q Is that what the chancellor is, the record-keeper? A Yes, primarily. Q And who does he report to? A At that time, Bishop Keating. He
 withdrew their children. I also had friendships with the secretaries and one of them had told me that there were people withdrawing from the school. Q Who was that? A Christine Gregas. Q How do you spell Gregas? A G - R - E - G - A - S. 	 A He was the chancellor/ Basically, the record-keeper. Q Is that what the chancellor is, the record-keeper? A Yes, primarily. Q And who does he report to? A At that time, Bishop Keating. He reports to the bishop.
 withdrew their children. I also had friendships with the secretaries and one of them had told me that there were people withdrawing from the school. Q Who was that? A Christine Gregas. Q How do you spell Gregas? A G - R - E - G - A - S. Q And what time frame is this? A Fall, early winter of 1988, even in that school year. 	 A He was the chancellor/ Basically, the record-keeper. Q Is that what the chancellor is, the record-keeper? A Yes, primarily. Q And who does he report to? A At that time, Bishop Keating. He reports to the bishop. Q And that would have been Bishop Keating? A Yes.
 withdrew their children. I also had friendships with the secretaries and one of them had told me that there were people withdrawing from the school. Q Who was that? A Christine Gregas. Q How do you spell Gregas? A G - R - E - G - A - S. Q And what time frame is this? A Fall, early winter of 1988, even in that school year. Q Did there come a time when any of 	 A He was the chancellor/ Basically, the record-keeper. Q Is that what the chancellor is, the record-keeper? A Yes, primarily. Q And who does he report to? A At that time, Bishop Keating. He reports to the bishop. Q And that would have been Bishop Keating? A Yes. Q How long did Bishop Keating serve as
 withdrew their children. I also had friendships with the secretaries and one of them had told me that there were people withdrawing from the school. Q Who was that? A Christine Gregas. Q How do you spell Gregas? A G - R - E - G - A - S. Q And what time frame is this? A Fall, early winter of 1988, even in that school year. Q Did there come a time when any of this was expressed to anyone in the diocese, 	 A He was the chancellor/ Basically, the record-keeper. Q Is that what the chancellor is, the record-keeper? A Yes, primarily. Q And who does he report to? A At that time, Bishop Keating. He reports to the bishop. Q And that would have been Bishop Keating? A Yes. Q How long did Bishop Keating serve as the or when did he no longer serve as the
 withdrew their children. I also had friendships with the secretaries and one of them had told me that there were people withdrawing from the school. Q Who was that? A Christine Gregas. Q How do you spell Gregas? A G - R - E - G - A - S. Q And what time frame is this? A Fall, early winter of 1988, even in that school year. Q Did there come a time when any of this was expressed to anyone in the diocese, any priests outside of the parish or anyone 	 A He was the chancellor/ Basically, the record-keeper. Q Is that what the chancellor is, the record-keeper? A Yes, primarily. Q And who does he report to? A At that time, Bishop Keating. He reports to the bishop. Q And that would have been Bishop Keating? A Yes. Q How long did Bishop Keating serve as the or when did he no longer serve as the bishop for the Diocese of Arlington?
 withdrew their children. I also had friendships with the secretaries and one of them had told me that there were people withdrawing from the school. Q Who was that? A Christine Gregas. Q How do you spell Gregas? A G - R - E - G - A - S. Q And what time frame is this? A Fall, early winter of 1988, even in that school year. Q Did there come a time when any of this was expressed to anyone in the diocese, any priests outside of the parish or anyone at the headquarters for the Diocese of 	 A He was the chancellor/ Basically, the record-keeper. Q Is that what the chancellor is, the record-keeper? A Yes, primarily. Q And who does he report to? A At that time, Bishop Keating. He reports to the bishop. Q And that would have been Bishop Keating? A Yes. Q How long did Bishop Keating serve as the or when did he no longer serve as the bishop for the Diocese of Arlington? A I believe somewhere in January of
 withdrew their children. I also had friendships with the secretaries and one of them had told me that there were people withdrawing from the school. Q Who was that? A Christine Gregas. Q How do you spell Gregas? A G - R - E - G - A - S. Q And what time frame is this? A Fall, early winter of 1988, even in that school year. Q Did there come a time when any of this was expressed to anyone in the diocese, any priests outside of the parish or anyone at the headquarters for the Diocese of Arlington including the bishop? 	 A He was the chancellor/ Basically, the record-keeper. Q Is that what the chancellor is, the record-keeper? A Yes, primarily. Q And who does he report to? A At that time, Bishop Keating. He reports to the bishop. Q And that would have been Bishop Keating? A Yes. Q How long did Bishop Keating serve as the or when did he no longer serve as the bishop for the Diocese of Arlington? A I believe somewhere in January of '98, maybe February. Somewhere in that time
 withdrew their children. I also had friendships with the secretaries and one of them had told me that there were people withdrawing from the school. Q Who was that? A Christine Gregas. Q How do you spell Gregas? A G - R - E - G - A - S. Q And what time frame is this? A Fall, early winter of 1988, even in that school year. Q Did there come a time when any of this was expressed to anyone in the diocese, any priests outside of the parish or anyone at the headquarters for the Diocese of Arlington including the bishop? A I never contacted the bishop and 	 A He was the chancellor/ Basically, the record-keeper. Q Is that what the chancellor is, the record-keeper? A Yes, primarily. Q And who does he report to? A At that time, Bishop Keating. He reports to the bishop. Q And that would have been Bishop Keating? A Yes. Q How long did Bishop Keating serve as the or when did he no longer serve as the bishop for the Diocese of Arlington? A I believe somewhere in January of '98, maybe February. Somewhere in that time period he suddenly died.
 withdrew their children. I also had friendships with the secretaries and one of them had told me that there were people withdrawing from the school. Q Who was that? A Christine Gregas. Q How do you spell Gregas? A G - R - E - G - A - S. Q And what time frame is this? A Fall, early winter of 1988, even in that school year. Q Did there come a time when any of this was expressed to anyone in the diocese, any priests outside of the parish or anyone at the headquarters for the Diocese of Arlington including the bishop? 	 A He was the chancellor/ Basically, the record-keeper. Q Is that what the chancellor is, the record-keeper? A Yes, primarily. Q And who does he report to? A At that time, Bishop Keating. He reports to the bishop. Q And that would have been Bishop Keating? A Yes. Q How long did Bishop Keating serve as the or when did he no longer serve as the bishop for the Diocese of Arlington? A I believe somewhere in January of '98, maybe February. Somewhere in that time

Page 34 - Page 37

21. Bishop Loverde's installation, which was in

22. March of -- March 25th of 1999. So I don't

20. this out. I think it was a year before

Exceptional Service in Court Reporting and Litigation Support

there wasn't sufficient proof, that they were

just friends. However, after the party, I

22. believe that a group of parishioners

Page 38	Page 40
1. remember the date when he died, but I do	1. as they say, my attitude towards him and
2. remember it was about a year before the new	2. constantly seeing her was one of surprise and
3. bishop came.	3. a little lit of shock. Somewhere in the
4. Q So if these complaints came in the	4. early part of 1999, I had caught them
5. fall of 1998, they wouldn't have gone to	5. together in a darkened office at 1:30 a.m.
6. Bishop Keating because he was deceased by	6. Q When was this? What was the date
7. that time; is that correct?	7. I'm sorry or the month?
8. A I don't know exactly. It may have	8. A I believe it was somewhere in May.
9. been the early part of 19 the fall of	9. Q Of 1999?
10. it may have been in that time period, January	10. A Yes.
11. to Marcy of 1998 that he died, I think.	11. Q You caught them in a darkened room
12. Q And who succeeded him after his	12. where?
13. death?	13. A I was coming out of my office and
14. A Monsignor McMurtrie took over as the	14. walking down the dark hallway. It was about
15. administrator of the diocese for	15. 1:30 in the morning and I heard noise from
16. approximately a year.	16. his office and I thought it was this little
17. Q Where did he come from? Was he in	17. mouse that we had been trying to catch. So I
18. the parish in the diocese at the time?	18. stood in the hallway and listened and
19. A He's a pastor in the diocese.	19. suddenly Nancy emerged to my surprise and I
20. Q And so if this complaint was made to	20. said what are you doing here? And she was
21. Father Rippy in the fall of 1998, it would	21. very evasive. She said it's a nice day. And
22. have been passed on to Father McMurtrie as	22. I said, but what are you doing here at this
Page 39	Page 41
the titular head of the diocese at that time?	1. time in the morning?
2. A I suppose, yes.	2. And about 15 seconds later Father
3. Q And then in the spring of 1999 Bishop	3. Verrecchia came out into the hallway and I
4. Loverde was installed?	4. noticed that he was sexually excited. And I
5. A Right.	5. looked hard at him and sternly at him and I
6. Q How did you become aware that Father	6. said you two should not be here together.
7. Gee and some of the parishioners complained	7. Q When you say, "sexually excited," was
8. to Father Rippy and the diocese about the	8. it apparent that he had an erection?
9. party?	9. A Yes.
10. A Father Gee told me that a number of	10. Q You told both of them they shouldn't
11. parishioners had written a letter and had	11. be here?
12. gone in.	12. A Yes.
13. Q Did he indicate to you or did anyone	13. Q What was their response?
14. indicate to you the result of that meeting	14. A Embarrassment. He looked at me in a
15. and what action would be taken?	15. surprisingly competitive not competitive,
16. A No.	16. I think he decided that what I had seen and
17. Q Did there ever come a time when you	17. where I had caught them, he would have to
18. had a discussion with Father Verrecchia about	18. deal with this in some way.
19. all that was being said about his	19. Q Any further conversation with him at
1 1:	4

Page 38 - Page 41

20. that time or later about this incident?

A No direct words. But again, just

22. comments, looking at him and kind of visually

20. relationship amongst fellow priests as well

A We never had direct words, although

21. as parishioners and to the diocese itself?

* ALI	L SERVICES* Multi	-Page TM
	Page 42	Page 44
1.	scrutinizing the relationship between them	very crowded masses at the actual church
2.	when I saw them together trying to get him to	2. facilities, so he was the principal
3.	recognize, himself, that he needed to get	3. celebrant, homilist and it was much
4.	away.	4. advertised and he touted it as one of his
5.	Q Did it appear to you that he was	5. Q And what occurred there?
6.	understanding your message?	6. A For many of the parishioners it was a
7.	A Very clearly, yes.	7. fiasco because it took so long. It was so
8.	Q Did he accept the advice you were	8. elaborate. I think the whole ceremony lasted
9.	conveying to him?	9. like three hours and most people had expected
10.	A No. He became much more aggressive	10. to come to an Easter mass of one hour. They
11.	towards me.	11. weren't prepared for hearing the homily
12.	Q In what way?	12. repeated in Spanish, they weren't prepared
13.	A The best defense is a good offense.	13. for 12 baptisms, they weren't prepared for
14.	Q And so how did you know that he was	14. much of what went on.
15.	becoming more aggressive toward you?	15. Q Was there any conflict between the
16.	A Again, visual indications, but	16. two of you at the time of this since it was
17.	shortly after that in April there was the	17. two weeks after your discovering them in his
18.	Easter mass at the Nissan Pavilion.	18. office?
19.	Q April of what?	19. A By that period we had known that we
20.	A Of 1999.	20. were going to have a new bishop. That was
21.	Q I thought you said it was May of 1999	21. announced in January, January 25th. And so
22.	you caught them in the office rectory? Was	22. Father Verrecchia was trying to make a very
	Page 43 Page 45	
1.	the Nissan thing after the rectory, so that -	1. good impression for the new bishop and was
2.	-	2. concerned about the negative reports on the
3.	A It was after the rectory.	3. Easter mass and became very concerned when he
4.	Q So it's both were in the April/May	4. received a letter that was addressed to all
5.	time frame?	5. of us priests.
6.	A Right. I don't know when Easter was	6. We had all received, complaining not
7.	that year.	7. only of the Nissan mass, but also complaining
8.	Q Okay.	8. of his involvement with some prophet that was
9.	A It was shortly before Easter, maybe a	9. having visions of Mary. And this particular
10.	week or two that I had seen them.	10. woman was concerned that the prophet had
11.	Q Okay. So that gives you the timing	11. actually written the Easter homily. It was
12.	of it two weeks before Easter?	12. something that caused him a great deal of
13.	A Right.	13. anxiety when the letter was received and he
14.	Q And so then around Easter what was	14. demanded all of the copies from us priests.

15. this? The Nissan --

A Pavilion, which was in many people's

words "The Father Verrecchia show." 17.

Q What do you mean about that? What 18.

was this about? 19.

A He had arranged to have the first

year, one mass at the Nissan Pavilion. He

22. rented it out rather than having multiple,

Apparently he had also been 15.

disciplined for that relationship with the 16.

prophet, Mark Brandt.

Q He asked you for these copies of the

letters complaining? 19.

20. A Yes, this particular one from Lorenda

21. Lucas.

Q And did you give him your copy? 22.

Page 42 - Page 45

Exceptional Service in Court Reporting and Litigation Support

ALI	L SERVICES WILL	-1 age	
	Page 46	Page	48
1.	I did not. Father Gee had thrown his	leave the priesthood. And I was stunned.	
2.	away. Father Paul immediately got the letter	2. Absolutely stunned at the sobbing, the	
3.	and gave it to him and he looked at me and	3. emotional outbreak of Father Paul as he had	
4.	says "Where's the letter?" And I said I have	4. told me this. And I said who is Mark Brandt?	
5.	no idea. And there was that, again, very	5. Who gives him the authority to say this and	
6.	aggressive, very threatening look towards me	6. has this been investigated?	
7.	sort of like "I'm not going to let you ruin	7. So then I went to Father Gee and I	
8.	my kingdom."	8. said what's going on here? Who is Mark	
9.	Q Was this person who he was involved	9. Brandt? And Father Gee said Haley, you're	
10.	that acted like a prophet, what was his name?	10. not supposed to know anything about this.	
10.	A Mark Brandt, B-R-A-N-D-T.	11. We're all going to get in trouble. And I	
12.			
	Q And did you know who he was?	6. 6	
13.	A My first exposure to him was	13. Gee said that he had had a similar meeting	
14.	somewhere in, I think, January of 1998. And	14. about a year before when he was told that by	
15.	I had noticed I was watching a movie late	Mark Brandt, in the presence of Father Verrecchia, that he had to consecrate his	
16.	at night and I noticed Father Paul coming up		
17.	from his basement bedroom in his pajamas,	17. life to Mary or he would not be saved.	
18.	upstairs to Father Verrecchia's bedroom. And	18. And I said well, what did you do?	
19.	I remember thinking what's that all about?	19. And he said, I consecrated my life to Mary to)
20.	And later Father Paul had come down about a	20. get him off my back. And I said, does	
21.	half-hour later and he was crying.	21. anybody in this diocese know about this? And	1
22.	The previous day at a dinner he had	22. he said no, if they did we'd all get into	
	Page 47	Page	49
1.	been very disturbed. And I, in the presence	trouble. And after that I started hearing	
2.	of the other priests, kept asking Father Paul	2. reports from parishioners. A couple of them	
3.	what's wrong. He was noticeably emotionally	3. asked me about this prophet. And did the	
4.	upset. And the other two priests acted like	4. did Father Verrecchia know about it? And he	
5.	there was nothing wrong. And Father	5. was forning a group and these people were	
6.	Verrecchia said just leave him alone. And I	6. together when he was apparently having these	Δ
7.	said well, do you guys know what's going on?	7. ecstatic visions.	_
8.	So I confronted Father Paul the next	8. Q Did you ever discuss that with	
9.	day after seeing him going up to the bedroom.	9. Verrecchia?	
9. 10.	I said what's going on? You were emotionally	10. A I had heard from Father Gee that he	
10.	upset. I see you going up to the pastor's	11. had been called in and had been told to watch	
			ı
12.	room in your pajamas. It was probably 11:30, 12 o'clock at night. And he gold me of an	12. his relationship with this. It didn't seem13. to have been verified that it consumed Father	
13.			
14.	incident where Father Verrecchia and Father	14. Verrecchia. He was also together with Mark	
15.	Gee and Mark Brandt, the prophet, had brought	15. Brandt quite frequently. Again, he would	
16.	Father Paul into the office, the pastor's	16. often be seen coming down from Father	
17.	office.	17. Verrecchia's bedroom early in the morning.	
18.	And Father Verrecchia said to Father	18. Mark Brandt	
19.	Paul you need to listen to what this man	19. Q Mark Brandt would be seen coming	

20. down?

A Yes.

Q By you?

21.

22.

Page 46 - Page 49

20. says. And Father -- or Mark Brandt proceeded

21. to tell Father Paul that he had had a vision

22. of Mary and Mary wanted Paul Berghout to

	Page 50	Pag	e 52
1.	A By me, by the other priests. And	1. Q Okay.	
2.	apparently Mark Brandt was counseling and	2. A It was	
3.	influencing Father Verrecchia and his	3. Q That was your introduction to Mark	
4.	relationship with Nancy Lambert. Encouraging	4. Brandt?	
5.	it despite the fact it was an affair with a	5. A Right. And the deep influences he	
6.	married woman, telling them that they had to	6. was having.	
7.	go through the physical, sexualness to find	7. Q And that's why you were familiar with	
8.	this extraordinary spiritual bond. That	8. Mark Brandt, April/May 1999, when people	
9.	Father Verrecchia had a great destiny in the	9. wondered whether he was writing these or h	ad
10.	church. He was a favorite son and priest of	10. written the Easter homily, et cetera?	
11.	Mary the Mother of God. To me it was	11. A Right.	
12.	extraordinarily bizarre.	12. Q Now, going back to the May of 1999	
13.	Q How did you know that this	13. time frame.	
14.	information was regarding what mark Brandt	14. A I	
15.	was supposedly doing?	15. Q Go ahead.	
16.	A As I say, two parishioners came up to	16. A If Bishop Keating had been alive, I	
17.	me. One, her sister wrote an article for	17. would have certainly approached him with n	ıy
18.	Homilitics and Pastoral Review.	18. concerns about Mark Brandt and probably th	e
19.	Q Her sister being whom?	19. growing relationship with Nancy, but since	
20.	A I don't remember the name of either	20. Monsignor McMurtrie was the administrativ	e
21.	one of them. They are twin sisters in the	21. head, he did not have the authority to he	
22.	parish.	22. had limited authority to move or transfer any	
	Page 51	Page	e 53
	Page 51	_	e 53
1.	Q Okay.	priests. And I had personal problems with	e 53
1. 2.	Q Okay.A About private revelations and how the	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. 	e 53
2.3.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? 	e 53
2.3.4.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many 	e 53
2.3.4.5.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he 	e 53
2.3.4.5.6.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had 	e 53
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having a vision. Apparently he's directing,	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had almost been waiting with a great deal of 	e 53
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having a vision. Apparently he's directing, spiritually directing Father Verrecchia and	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had almost been waiting with a great deal of anxiety for the new bishop, hoping that he 	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having a vision. Apparently he's directing, spiritually directing Father Verrecchia and writing his homilies for him. And it was all	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had almost been waiting with a great deal of anxiety for the new bishop, hoping that he would address all of these concerns of Nanc 	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having a vision. Apparently he's directing, spiritually directing Father Verrecchia and writing his homilies for him. And it was all news to me.	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had almost been waiting with a great deal of anxiety for the new bishop, hoping that he would address all of these concerns of Nanc and the prophet. 	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having a vision. Apparently he's directing, spiritually directing Father Verrecchia and writing his homilies for him. And it was all news to me. During that time from 1997 to 1998	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had almost been waiting with a great deal of anxiety for the new bishop, hoping that he would address all of these concerns of Nanc Q In May of 1999, did any other 	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having a vision. Apparently he's directing, spiritually directing Father Verrecchia and writing his homilies for him. And it was all news to me. During that time from 1997 to 1998 Father Verrecchia was undergoing some pretty	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had almost been waiting with a great deal of anxiety for the new bishop, hoping that he would address all of these concerns of Nanc and the prophet. Q In May of 1999, did any other information come to you by either your 	y
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having a vision. Apparently he's directing, spiritually directing Father Verrecchia and writing his homilies for him. And it was all news to me. During that time from 1997 to 1998 Father Verrecchia was undergoing some pretty profound changes. He kept talking about his	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had almost been waiting with a great deal of anxiety for the new bishop, hoping that he would address all of these concerns of Nanc and the prophet. Q In May of 1999, did any other information come to you by either your observations or what others were telling you 	у
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having a vision. Apparently he's directing, spiritually directing Father Verrecchia and writing his homilies for him. And it was all news to me. During that time from 1997 to 1998 Father Verrecchia was undergoing some pretty profound changes. He kept talking about his spiritual renewal in his life, he would be	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had almost been waiting with a great deal of anxiety for the new bishop, hoping that he would address all of these concerns of Nanc and the prophet. Q In May of 1999, did any other information come to you by either your observations or what others were telling you in any manner as to what might be going on 	у
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having a vision. Apparently he's directing, spiritually directing Father Verrecchia and writing his homilies for him. And it was all news to me. During that time from 1997 to 1998 Father Verrecchia was undergoing some pretty profound changes. He kept talking about his spiritual renewal in his life, he would be saying it in public meetings. People noticed	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had almost been waiting with a great deal of anxiety for the new bishop, hoping that he would address all of these concerns of Nanc and the prophet. Q In May of 1999, did any other information come to you by either your observations or what others were telling you in any manner as to what might be going on this relationship between Father Verrecchia 	у
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having a vision. Apparently he's directing, spiritually directing Father Verrecchia and writing his homilies for him. And it was all news to me. During that time from 1997 to 1998 Father Verrecchia was undergoing some pretty profound changes. He kept talking about his spiritual renewal in his life, he would be saying it in public meetings. People noticed the change and there was some flags waving,	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had almost been waiting with a great deal of anxiety for the new bishop, hoping that he would address all of these concerns of Nanc and the prophet. Q In May of 1999, did any other information come to you by either your observations or what others were telling you in any manner as to what might be going on this relationship between Father Verrecchia and Ms. Lambert? 	у
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having a vision. Apparently he's directing, spiritually directing Father Verrecchia and writing his homilies for him. And it was all news to me. During that time from 1997 to 1998 Father Verrecchia was undergoing some pretty profound changes. He kept talking about his spiritual renewal in his life, he would be saying it in public meetings. People noticed the change and there was some flags waving, what's going on?	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had almost been waiting with a great deal of anxiety for the new bishop, hoping that he would address all of these concerns of Nanc and the prophet. Q In May of 1999, did any other information come to you by either your observations or what others were telling you in any manner as to what might be going on this relationship between Father Verrecchia and Ms. Lambert? A By May of 1999, I had heard that 	у
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having a vision. Apparently he's directing, spiritually directing Father Verrecchia and writing his homilies for him. And it was all news to me. During that time from 1997 to 1998 Father Verrecchia was undergoing some pretty profound changes. He kept talking about his spiritual renewal in his life, he would be saying it in public meetings. People noticed the change and there was some flags waving, what's going on? Q Did this conversation you had with	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had almost been waiting with a great deal of anxiety for the new bishop, hoping that he would address all of these concerns of Nanc and the prophet. Q In May of 1999, did any other information come to you by either your observations or what others were telling you in any manner as to what might be going on this relationship between Father Verrecchia and Ms. Lambert? A By May of 1999, I had heard that Father Verrecchia had been asked not to be 	у
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having a vision. Apparently he's directing, spiritually directing Father Verrecchia and writing his homilies for him. And it was all news to me. During that time from 1997 to 1998 Father Verrecchia was undergoing some pretty profound changes. He kept talking about his spiritual renewal in his life, he would be saying it in public meetings. People noticed the change and there was some flags waving, what's going on? Q Did this conversation you had with Father Gee and Father Berghout about Mark	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had almost been waiting with a great deal of anxiety for the new bishop, hoping that he would address all of these concerns of Nanc and the prophet. Q In May of 1999, did any other information come to you by either your observations or what others were telling you in any manner as to what might be going on an another this relationship between Father Verrecchia A By May of 1999, I had heard that Father Verrecchia had been asked not to be seeing her. 	у
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having a vision. Apparently he's directing, spiritually directing Father Verrecchia and writing his homilies for him. And it was all news to me. During that time from 1997 to 1998 Father Verrecchia was undergoing some pretty profound changes. He kept talking about his spiritual renewal in his life, he would be saying it in public meetings. People noticed the change and there was some flags waving, what's going on? Q Did this conversation you had with Father Gee and Father Berghout about Mark Brandt and stuff is that also in this May	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had almost been waiting with a great deal of anxiety for the new bishop, hoping that he would address all of these concerns of Nanc and the prophet. Q In May of 1999, did any other information come to you by either your observations or what others were telling you in any manner as to what might be going on this relationship between Father Verrecchia and Ms. Lambert? A By May of 1999, I had heard that Father Verrecchia had been asked not to be seeing her. Q Asked by whom? 	у
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having a vision. Apparently he's directing, spiritually directing Father Verrecchia and writing his homilies for him. And it was all news to me. During that time from 1997 to 1998 Father Verrecchia was undergoing some pretty profound changes. He kept talking about his spiritual renewal in his life, he would be saying it in public meetings. People noticed the change and there was some flags waving, what's going on? Q Did this conversation you had with Father Gee and Father Berghout about Mark Brandt and stuff is that also in this MayMay 1999 time frame?	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had almost been waiting with a great deal of anxiety for the new bishop, hoping that he would address all of these concerns of Nanc and the prophet. Q In May of 1999, did any other information come to you by either your observations or what others were telling you in any manner as to what might be going on this relationship between Father Verrecchia and Ms. Lambert? A By May of 1999, I had heard that Father Verrecchia had been asked not to be seeing her. Q Asked by whom? A The diocese. And I said and I 	y
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	Q Okay. A About private revelations and how the church has always been very cautious and needs to inquire. And so she had come to me and said what's going on here? We were invited to this meeting. This man was having a vision. Apparently he's directing, spiritually directing Father Verrecchia and writing his homilies for him. And it was all news to me. During that time from 1997 to 1998 Father Verrecchia was undergoing some pretty profound changes. He kept talking about his spiritual renewal in his life, he would be saying it in public meetings. People noticed the change and there was some flags waving, what's going on? Q Did this conversation you had with Father Gee and Father Berghout about Mark Brandt and stuff is that also in this May	 priests. And I had personal problems with Monsignor McMurtrie. Q What were those? A He's a very heavy drinker. Many think an alcoholic. And I didn't think he was going to address the problem, so I had almost been waiting with a great deal of anxiety for the new bishop, hoping that he would address all of these concerns of Nanc and the prophet. Q In May of 1999, did any other information come to you by either your observations or what others were telling you in any manner as to what might be going on this relationship between Father Verrecchia and Ms. Lambert? A By May of 1999, I had heard that Father Verrecchia had been asked not to be seeing her. Q Asked by whom? 	y

Page 50 - Page 53

	Page 54	Page 56
	-	
1.	telling me that he was, in fact, seeing her.	would have expected pastorship.
2.	I asked the other priests and they knew of	2. I waited the additional year through
3.	nothing. They knew of no prohibitions, they	3. Monsignor McMurtrie, so when Bishop Loverde
4.	knew of no warnings, they knew of nothing	4. met me on May 26 of 1999, I was very happy.
5.	from the diocese to be watching anything with	5. He was very happy giving me a pastorship of a
6.	Father Verrecchia.	6. new parish, Our Lady of Hope. And it was a
7.	Q So the diocese hadn't contacted you	7. very cordial, very great meeting. He said it
8.	or the other priests to put you on guard	8. was highly recommended by the personnel
9.	about the relationship between Ms. Lambert	9. board.
10.	and Father Verrecchia?	10. Q Our Lady of Hope?
11.	A Right. And other than us who were	11. A Yes.
12.	living with him and watching the behavior,	12. Q Where is that?
13.	there was no other way they could have known	13. A It's in Sterling, Virginia. He said
14.	that something was still going so that there	14. that Monsignor McMurtrie also had spoken very
15.	most valuable source was not utilized.	15. highly, favorably of this, although he had
16.	Q And did any other substantiation of	16. been powerless to make any of those changes.
17.	the relationship come to your attention at	17. Q And so did you get it?
18.	any point after April or May of 1999?	18. A No. On May 26th, Father Verrecchia
19.	A Well, somewhere in that period, May -	19. had been on retreat or he was out of town and
20.	- the end of May 1999, the bishop called me	20. when he came back over the weekend the
21.	in to offer me a pastorship of a new parish.	21. secretaries informed him that I'd had a call
22.	Q Bishop Loverde?	22. from the Bishop's office. And everyone knew
	Page 55	Page 57
1.	A Bishop Loverde had been long awaited.	1. that at that point in the year, if you get a
2.	One of the restrictions on Monsignor	2. call from the bishop's office you were going
3.	McMurtrie as the diocesan head was that he	in for transfer information.
4.	could not appoint new pastors, so my hope was	 So when Father Verrecchia came back,
5.	that in the year that Bishop Keating died I	5. he had was the master of ceremonies for
6.	was, in fact, slated to be a pastor. Someone	
7.		6. the bishop at an O'Connell graduation, Bishop
8.	had told me that they found a list and my	6. the bishop at an O'Connell graduation, Bishop7. O'Connell High School's graduation, and had
	had told me that they found a list and my name was on the list.	
9.	-	 O'Connell High School's graduation, and had asked Bishop Loverde very leading questions. He basically went to Bishop Loverde
9. 10.	name was on the list. This was Father Gould who was the first person in the Bishop's office and in	 O'Connell High School's graduation, and had asked Bishop Loverde very leading questions. He basically went to Bishop Loverde and told him he had some concerns. He said
	name was on the list. This was Father Gould who was the	 O'Connell High School's graduation, and had asked Bishop Loverde very leading questions. He basically went to Bishop Loverde
10.	name was on the list. This was Father Gould who was the first person in the Bishop's office and in	 O'Connell High School's graduation, and had asked Bishop Loverde very leading questions. He basically went to Bishop Loverde and told him he had some concerns. He said
10. 11.	name was on the list. This was Father Gould who was the first person in the Bishop's office and in his home. And under instructions from the	 O'Connell High School's graduation, and had asked Bishop Loverde very leading questions. He basically went to Bishop Loverde and told him he had some concerns. He said is everything okay with Father Haley, was his
10. 11. 12.	name was on the list. This was Father Gould who was the first person in the Bishop's office and in his home. And under instructions from the Bishop, had deleted his computer files in the	 O'Connell High School's graduation, and had asked Bishop Loverde very leading questions. He basically went to Bishop Loverde and told him he had some concerns. He said is everything okay with Father Haley, was his opening line. And the Bishop said why are
10. 11. 12. 13.	name was on the list. This was Father Gould who was the first person in the Bishop's office and in his home. And under instructions from the Bishop, had deleted his computer files in the event of his death.	 O'Connell High School's graduation, and had asked Bishop Loverde very leading questions. He basically went to Bishop Loverde and told him he had some concerns. He said is everything okay with Father Haley, was his opening line. And the Bishop said why are you asking that? I am going to appoint him,
10. 11. 12. 13.	name was on the list. This was Father Gould who was the first person in the Bishop's office and in his home. And under instructions from the Bishop, had deleted his computer files in the event of his death. Q And Father Gould deleted Bishop	 O'Connell High School's graduation, and had asked Bishop Loverde very leading questions. He basically went to Bishop Loverde and told him he had some concerns. He said is everything okay with Father Haley, was his opening line. And the Bishop said why are you asking that? I am going to appoint him, you know, gonna make him the pastor of a new
10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	name was on the list. This was Father Gould who was the first person in the Bishop's office and in his home. And under instructions from the Bishop, had deleted his computer files in the event of his death. Q And Father Gould deleted Bishop Keating's?	 O'Connell High School's graduation, and had asked Bishop Loverde very leading questions. He basically went to Bishop Loverde and told him he had some concerns. He said is everything okay with Father Haley, was his opening line. And the Bishop said why are you asking that? I am going to appoint him, you know, gonna make him the pastor of a new parish. He says why, is something wrong? It
10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	name was on the list. This was Father Gould who was the first person in the Bishop's office and in his home. And under instructions from the Bishop, had deleted his computer files in the event of his death. Q And Father Gould deleted Bishop Keating's? A And he told me that he had seen this	 O'Connell High School's graduation, and had asked Bishop Loverde very leading questions. He basically went to Bishop Loverde and told him he had some concerns. He said is everything okay with Father Haley, was his opening line. And the Bishop said why are you asking that? I am going to appoint him, you know, gonna make him the pastor of a new parish. He says why, is something wrong? It was a leading question. And by that Father Verrecchia found out that I was truly slated to be a pastor.
10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	name was on the list. This was Father Gould who was the first person in the Bishop's office and in his home. And under instructions from the Bishop, had deleted his computer files in the event of his death. Q And Father Gould deleted Bishop Keating's? A And he told me that he had seen this list, my name was on it. Bishop Keating had died right before two or three weeks before announcements. Well, before he would	 O'Connell High School's graduation, and had asked Bishop Loverde very leading questions. He basically went to Bishop Loverde and told him he had some concerns. He said is everything okay with Father Haley, was his opening line. And the Bishop said why are you asking that? I am going to appoint him, you know, gonna make him the pastor of a new parish. He says why, is something wrong? It was a leading question. And by that Father Verrecchia found out that I was truly slated
10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	name was on the list. This was Father Gould who was the first person in the Bishop's office and in his home. And under instructions from the Bishop, had deleted his computer files in the event of his death. Q And Father Gould deleted Bishop Keating's? A And he told me that he had seen this list, my name was on it. Bishop Keating had died right before two or three weeks before announcements. Well, before he would have started contacting priests about	 O'Connell High School's graduation, and had asked Bishop Loverde very leading questions. He basically went to Bishop Loverde and told him he had some concerns. He said is everything okay with Father Haley, was his opening line. And the Bishop said why are you asking that? I am going to appoint him, you know, gonna make him the pastor of a new parish. He says why, is something wrong? It was a leading question. And by that Father Verrecchia found out that I was truly slated to be a pastor.
10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	name was on the list. This was Father Gould who was the first person in the Bishop's office and in his home. And under instructions from the Bishop, had deleted his computer files in the event of his death. Q And Father Gould deleted Bishop Keating's? A And he told me that he had seen this list, my name was on it. Bishop Keating had died right before two or three weeks before announcements. Well, before he would	 O'Connell High School's graduation, and had asked Bishop Loverde very leading questions. He basically went to Bishop Loverde and told him he had some concerns. He said is everything okay with Father Haley, was his opening line. And the Bishop said why are you asking that? I am going to appoint him, you know, gonna make him the pastor of a new parish. He says why, is something wrong? It was a leading question. And by that Father Verrecchia found out that I was truly slated to be a pastor. Q And how did you know become aware

Page 54 - Page 57

22. and said that there had been serious charges,

22. already three years -- two years past when I

Page 58		Page 60	
1.	serious concerns raised and that he would	1.	She was deeply shocked.
2.	have to withdraw his offer of pastorship.	2.	Q Which one was that?
3.			A Christine Gregas. That she and Carol
4.	-		Murphy or Carol Jones were being used as
5.	gradation was on the third.	5.	weapons or tools in his what she
6.	Q And did he tell you for what reason?	6.	considered an obvious vendetta against me.
7.	A He said that Father Verrecchia had	7.	Q What did you do when he refused to
8.	brought up concerns that the secretaries had	8.	retract to the bishop?
9.	brought to him. That I had was abusing	9.	A When the bishop told me these charges
10.	drugs, that I was suffering depression. All	10.	I said well, they were blatantly untrue,
11.	of which left me absolutely dumbfounded	11.	completely untrue.
12.	because the secretaries were all my good	12.	Q That was originally. What did you do
13.	friends.	13.	after you had this meeting with the
14.	, and		secretaries?
15.			A I had told the bishop on June 10th
16.			that Father Verrecchia was lying. And he
17.	Q Who were the secretaries?	17.	said why would he lie to me? And I said
18.	A Carol Jones and Christine Gregas and	18.	Father Verrecchia has several things to hide
19.	Cindy Curran was the head of the accounting	19.	and he is an extraordinarily political man
20.	department.	20.	and he will do anything in order to protect
21.			himself. I said, but give me a week and I
22.	A C-U-R-R-A-N, yes.	22.	will give you information of the problems of
	Page 59 Page		Page 61
1.	Q And they all denied making such	1.	Father Verecchia.
2.	accusations?	2.	And I had anticipated going back and
3.	A We had a meeting together with Father	3.	gathering together statements from some of
4.	Verrecchia on the 14th and they denied it all	4.	the parishioners about his relationship with
5.	and he acted like he didn't know what was	5.	Nancy. Statements of the secretaries about
6.	going on. That the bishop had asked him in	6.	his lying, using them. Statements of some of
7.	and asked for his observations, as if he	7.	the people in the parish about his
8.	hadn't freely given these. My conclusion	8.	association with Mark Brandt.
9.	was that it was a way to defend his own	9.	Q What else did you gather?
10.	behaviors. The best defense was a good	10.	A There was a mutual computer that we
11.	offense.	11.	had all used in the basement of the rectory
12.	Q Did he deny that he had made this	12.	and I got on that computer. And Father
13.	accusation to the bishop saying that the	13.	Verrecchia hadn't been very good about his

Page 58 - Page 61

14. he wasn't very smart with his computer

15. skills, didn't have many. And about six

17.

19.

21.

22.

16. months before that the parish had bought a

took on all of his trips to the beach and

So I had on that computer seen

down in the basement.

things. But when he would come back, he

would merge the laptop with that computer

laptop, which he appropriated as his own and

Exceptional Service in Court Reporting and Litigation Support

14. secretaries had told him this?

A No. And after the meeting I said

told you this, so you now need to go back to

the bishop and retract everything you said.

And he says I will not. And I said, but you

told him a lie and all the secretaries here

22. resigned. She wrote a letter of resignation.

now know that. And one of them at that point

16. well it's clear that none of the secretaries

17.

19.

* ALL SERVICES* Mu	ılti-Page TM
Page 62	Page 64
 various letters and some email that revealed the relationship he was having. And I had been in charge of the computer systems and personnel at All saints, so I checked the computer in the office, which was all networked and found a complete Q That's on the first floor, not the basement, right? A This was in the parish office. The rectory and the office are two separate buildings. But he had merged the laptop with both the downstairs computer and his computer, not his the parish computer in the office. Q And they were networked with the rectory's were networked with one in the office? A No. All of the computers in the office were networked together. Q Okay. A The reason they had this information was because he was using this laptop and 	 network of computers, correct? So that he was in all of the computers? A Right, but it wasn't really his laptop, it was a computer the parish's laptop. Q Right. A The one that he had been using. Q And it's your assumption that he wasn't very sophisticated with computers, but you don't know for a fact whether he intended to synchronize it or not. You haven't discussed that with him, I assume? A NO. But in viewing those computer files I found everything plus tons more in order to truly give the bishop an accurate, factual picture of, quote, "Why Father Verrecchia had lied." Q When you say you found what did you find? Emails are you talking about? A I found letters, email on the parish computer. In fact, the whole collection. Some two years, two and a half years of email
Page 63	Page 65
 synchronizing the computers. He didn't realize he was doing that. Every time he had connected the laptop they would synchronize all files. Q And how do you know that he didn't know? A He didn't know that much about computers. Q That's just your assumption. For all you know he could have been doing it on his own and A He could have been. Q You never asked him, have you, whether he knew? 	 that he had written. He had been using the parish computer as his personal outlet for his emails in actual violation of parish policy diocesan policy. Q And what did these how many emails and letters approximately were on there, either by page or number of correspondence, whatever? A It was some 90 megs of email information on there, which is an astronomical amount and, put into perspective, why I had often seen him almost daily in his office typing on the computer. I had always thought he was typing judgments

A We had set it up that way. Father

Gee had set up the laptop to do that. And

both he and I had worked on the networking of 17.

18. the computers, so we had access to all of

them, had often been working on them. 19.

Q Well, regardless of how it got there, 20.

it was Father Verrecchia that synchronized

22. his laptop with the other computer -- other

15. for diocesan cases.

It turns out that he spent two and

three hours on the computer each day writing 17.

18. emails. That was his -- I think, his first

one says "I have discovered email on the 19.

computer. What a wonderful thing it is."

Q And in those letters and emails was 21.

22. there any verification that he was having an

Page 66	Page 68
I uge oo	1 uge oo

- 1. intimate relationship with Ms. Lambert?
- 2. A Absolutely. There was so much
- 3. information that it was almost boggling to
- 4. me. And I remember going through that seeing
- 5. an amazing amount of letters and email from
- 6. Mark Brandt and fellows and terminology of
- 7. fellow consecraty -- consecraty, I guess
- 8. that's what you would call them -- consecrated
- 9. members of this prophet's group.
- 10. And influencing letters from the
- 1. prophet and love letters between him and
- 12. Nancy. And Nancy and him -- talks about them
- 13. going out and dancing together and how
- 14. wondrous it was. Affectionate names. I was
- 15. stunned at how much was there and how
- 16. conclusively it proved of an adulterous
- 17. relationship and of an absolutely dangerous
- 18. association he had with this Mark Brandt, the
- 19. prophet.
- 20. And as I started to look through all
- of the files, came across his Netscape
- 22. history file and further shocked by a

- counseled Father Verrecchia on this issue, I
- 2. think, he was well aware of his struggles,
- 3. either a homosexual past or homosexual
- 4. encounters.
- 5. There were some questions, I think
- 6. that Nancy even knew that he was hiding
- 7. something. There were rendezvous at beaches
- 8. and pictures that he was writing to other men
- 9. to hide and "Boy, if this came out I'd be
- 0. ruined."
- 11. Q When you say there were young persons
- 12. sexually, young males sexually excited, could
- 3. you tell what ages they were? When you say
- 14. "young," what age range do they appear they
- 15. might or could be in?
 - A I would say they were all in their
- 17. young 20s, maybe some of them in their late
- 8. teenage years. Many of the sites looked at -
- 19. actually young men dressed as priests who
- 20. were sexually excited, so in the pages you
- 21. could pick out a certain physique and
- 22. occupation and he had picked out priests or

- 1. collection of homosexual material and sites
- 2. that he had visited, which he had tried to
- 3. carefully delete, but had not completely done
- 4. that
- 5. Q When you say "homosexual," what was
- 6. it? What type of material? What was it
- 7. showing? Males in sexual activity or just
- $8. \quad \mbox{expressing relationships} \mbox{ and if so what ages}$
- 9. are these people?
- 10. A A lot of young males sexually
- 11. excited, usually solitary. And it completely
- 12. amazed me, but through the course of the
- 13. emails and the letters and the exchanges of
- 14. Mark Brandt, started to see references to
- 15. Father Gee. And Father Verrecchia struggles
- 16. with Father Gee and that he was obviously
- 17. very attracted to him.
- 18. And, you know, I thought gee, Father
- 19. Gee is relatively homophobic and if he knew
- 20. that the pastor was in love with him he would
- 21. be shocked and in that you could see some
- 22. relation that Mark Brandt had also apparently

- at least young men wearing collars.
- 2. Q What did you do with the information
- 3. that you discovered on this sort of communal
- 4. computer?
- 5. A I spent a week gathering it all
- 6. together in a notebook, which I gave to
- 7. Bishop Loverde on June 22nd. And I said as
- 8. promised, here is the Jim Verrecchia story
- 9. and it's worse than anything I could have
- 10. imagined and needs immediate attention from
- 11. you. He felt that the new priests that were
- 12. coming in to the parish were a risk from his
- 13. homosexual inclinations.
- 14. I realized that the relationship with
- 5. Nancy had crossed sexual barriers. There
- 16. were some references to, not maybe direct,
- 17. but some -- seemed like oral sexual activity
- 18. and panic on his part, you know, hide this,
- 19. delete these letters, so I conveyed that to
- 20. Bishop Loverde in the strongest terms
- 21. possible and was left totally dumfounded when
- 22. he said I can't even read it because I'm

Page 70	Page 72

- going on vacation for the full month of July.
- And I said you need to read this tonight. I
- said I realize there is a lot of information
- here 4.
- So I gathered together the most 5.
- pertinent email of three sections. The one
- about the prophet, another section about the
- woman, Nancy, and then the section about the
- secret homosexual life. I said read those 9.
- summaries. I read those, I had underlined 10.
- pertinent passages. You can read that in an
- hour and you will understand the magnitude of 12.
- this scandal. And he again said I won't have 13.
- time to even read it until I get back from 14.
- 15. vacation. And I said then let me read you
- some of it now. 16.
- And I read some excerpts about her 17.
- waiting for him in a hot tub without her 18.
- clothes on and the affectionate names and the 19.
- "love you's" and "piglets" and "my heart and 20.
- soul" and "bonded together" and Mark Brandt
- saying "the irony of your relationship is

- O So this was contrary to the typical 1.
- 2. policy of action in these situations?
- A Yes. 3.
- 4. Q Did the bishop, Bishop Loverde, have
- any other reaction to this information you
- were bringing to him? Did he make any other
- comment about what he knew or had thought
- about the prior accusations of the
- relationship between Father Verrecchia and 9.
- Ms. Lambert?
- A He said nothing directly, but his
- whole demeanor indicated to me that he knew
- all of this except for the -- like he knew
- 14. all of this before it was even presented.
- 15. Q And is that because of his lack of
- showing any surprise?
- A Yes. There was no, Oh, my gosh, you 17.
- know. The -- no outside questions to me, did
- you know this was going on? How long did 19.
- you? No questions about -- just an
- acceptance of this notebook and I wouldn't be
- able to do anything with this for a while.

- that you have to keep it secret. What would
- the parish do if they knew of a full-fledged
- affair between the pastor and a married 3.
- 4. woman?"
- And then I read him some of the sites 5.
- that he had been visiting and showed him some
- of the things to which he responded "I know, 7.
- but I have to tell you again I won't even be 8.
- able to read it until July. And I said but 9.
- you have two priests coming in. I said he
- 11. should be immediately suspended. I said the
- material should be given to an outside of the 12
- diocese psychological evaluation because this 13.
- is very serious stuff. 14.
- 15. I said that in relationship to one
- of your previous questions. What was the 16.
- response when there were scaldalist or
- inappropriate behaviors brought to the
- bishop? I knew of three other circumstances 19.
- where they were minor violations and when
- presented to the bishop there was immediate 21.
- action.

- Q Did he have any discussion with you
- about what precipitated this and that was
- your appointment as a pastor at that June 3.
- 22nd meeting?
- A He told me that he would have to 5.
- consider all of this information and that he
- would like to get Father Verrecchia and I
- together to get to the bottom of this. And I 8.
- said I think that would be a good idea. He
- said Father Verrecchia is saying you have
- these problems and now you're saying that
- Father Verrecchia is having these problems.
- And I said oh, no, there's a 13.
- significant difference. Father Verrecchia is
- saying I have problems. I am presenting to
- you his own words. I have not said anything
- about Father Verrecchia. This is completely
- self-incriminating, horrible documentation.
- 19. It's beyond the realms of hearsay or someone
- else saying this or that. It's absolute
- 21. proof.
- The next day Father Verrecchia 22.

Page 74	Page 76
1 age /+	rage 70

- was deleting his computer system.
- Q When you say when you finished the
- meeting with the bishop, was there any
- 4. discussion about what would happen next?
- Whether you would meet next? Whether he was
- going to take any action, at least when he
- returned or was it just left with nothing
- being said? 8.
- A He said he was going to arrange 9.
- a meeting between me and Father Verrecchia 10.
- when he got back. And I said that's a great
- 12.
- Q And did he give you any instructions 13.
- about what you should or should not be doing 14.
- 15. in the interim with regard to your knowledge?
- A He did not say well, we're going to
- put you back in the parish or anything like 17.
- 18.
- Q Now, did you -- you said the next day 19.
- you found Father Verrecchia deleting and how 20.
- did you come to find that out?
- A I saw him in the office and I had
- and said there's been a breach here, someone

- in the chancery has told Father Verrecchia
- and he is now trying to destroy the evidence.
- Q What was the bishop's reaction?
- 4. A He said I haven't told him. And I
- said well, someone has, whether it was Father
- Rippy, his friend or a diocesan lawyer,
- who said get rid of this stuff before we're
- in trouble, somebody told. And I said let
- me reiterate that it is only a portion of 9.
- what I have.
- He asked me when I gave him the
- notebook, he said do you have copies? And I
- said yes, I do, absolutely, And I said I
- 14. have all of the files.
- 15. Q Meaning, files on the computer?
- A All of the files on the computer.
- Expecting that he would say "great" and thus, 17.
- then using me as some sort of a resource to
- get to the bottom of this and find out what 19.
- 20. was -- what he was really facing.
- In retrospect, I think he knew what 21
- he was facing and the only threat was not

- fully expected that the bishop would read the
- excerpts and that the following day that
- 3. Father Verrecchia would be pulled out of the
- parish. That he would be put into an 4.
- evaluation similar to what has happened in
- previous cases. And I saw him in there, he
- checked the files on the network and he was
- erasing all of the email. 8.
- It had, however, been backed up on
- the network and he didn't know that. I 10
- called the bishop and I said what's going on 11
- here? 12.
- Q This was the next day still? 13.
- A Yes. I said Father Verrecchia is now
- deleting all of these. When I presented the 15.
- notebook to him I said this is just a portion 16.
- 17 of what is actually on this computer. I said
- it's your computer, you need to go in there 18.
- and confiscate it. 19.
- 20. So I was shocked to see that Father
- Verrecchia knew somehow. I called the bishop
- and said there's been a breach here, someone

- Father Verrecchia's behaviors because there
- was no, quote, "Threats from an outside woman
- for lawsuit. She was very comfortable in a
- relationship with this priest." The only
- threat at that point was the information
- O What was the -- Loverde's reaction to 7
- your calling and telling him about this
- deletion of files by Father Verrecchia?
- A He just repeated "I didn't tell 10
- him." And at that point I realized that
- something was very afoul. About a week after
- that I gave him some phone records and it was
- a brief meeting. He asked me if I had copies
- of them and I said no. And he said would
- you like them? And I said actually, I
- 17. bluow
- 18. And a couple of days after that
- Father Verrecchia was now wanting all of the
- accounting, all of the financial records of
- the parish. And he was the only one who had
- the key, which he would not even give to the

8.

Page 78	Page 80
rage /o	rage ou

- 1. head of accounting. So he was obviously
- 2. concerned because he had been using the
- 3. wireless phone of the parish to make many of
- 4. the calls to Nancy. Many of them early
- 5. in the morning to 1 o'clock. Long, lengthy
- 6. calls.
- 7. Q How did you obtain these?
- 8. A I was, for a period of about eight
- 9. months, in charge of the accounting. The
- 10. head of the accounting, Sarah Riggens, had
- 11. left and we were left with this huge mess.
- 12. And I remember telling Father Verrecchia,
- 13. well, I'm a computer expert, so I'll do the
- 14. accounting until we can find somebody to do
- 15. that.
- 16. So I was the one who paid all of the
- 17. personnel in the school, paid all of the
- 18. checks, became very familiar with all of the
- 19. accounting. Was amazed at how much we were
- 20. paying for certain things. I mean to pay
- 21. four or five hundred hundred dollars a month for a
- 22. wireless phone was -- so I started checking

- 1. I don't trust him here. And I think that
- 2. Father Rippy shouldn't be involved in this at
- 3. all. He's a good friend of Father Verrecchia
- 4. and I have personal knowledge that he is not
- 5. dependable and that his confidence -- his
- 6. confidentiality is extraordinarily poor.
- 7. Q And how did you know that?
- A From previous experience with Father
- 9. Rippy and some of his friends. Rippy is one
- 10. of the greater gossips of the diocese.
- 11. Q And was that public knowledge? I
- 12. mean was that well known amongst the priests?
- 13. A Yes. He had two or three friends who
- 14. seemed to know everything that happened in
- 15. the chancery before anybody else did.
- 16. Q Who were they?
- 17. A Father Danny Spychala and Father Bill
- 18. Erbacher.
- 19. Q How do you spell Danny's last name?
- 20. A I think it's S-P-Y-C-H-A-L-A.
- 21. Q What was Bishop Loverde's reaction to
- 22. that comment about not trusting the

Page 79 Page 81

- 1. the records and cross-referencing them to
- 2. phone numbers I found. Her number kept
- 3. showing up, so I submitted it as further
- 4. evidence.
- 5. Q You gave copies of those bills to
- 6. Bishop Loverde?
- 7. A Yes.
- 8. Q did you keep copies of those
- 9. yourself?
- 10. A Yes.
- 11. Q And I thought you said -- did he ask
- 12. you if you had copies?
- 13. A He asked me if I had copies and he
- 14. gave me those copies. I have those copies.
- 15. Q So he made you copies that you kept
- 16. for the parish?
- 17. A Yes.
- 18. Q Or these were the copies that you had
- 19. that were under your charge at the parish?
- 20. A I remember Father Rippy leaving the
- 21. room to make the copies. And at that point I
- 22. took the opportunity to say to Bishop Loverde

- 1. confidences of Rippy?
- 2. A He said he needed to trust his staff
- 3. and I said why, you've only been here for
- 4. about a month. I'm telling you, he's not
- 5. trustworthy, so you need to take the
- 6. information and have it evaluated by an
- outside source.
- 8. At one point in the meeting, Father
- 9. Rippy brought up the question and he said I
- 10. don't understand, how can Father Rippy be
- 11. involved or how can Father Verrecchia be
- 12. involved with this woman if he's gay? And I
- 13. said well, that answer is beyond you, Father
- 14. Rippy and is beyond you, Bishop Loverde, that
- 5. is for a very professional psychologist or
- 16. psychiatrist to answer.
- 17. Q Did -- after your meetings in June of
- 18. 1999 with Bishop Loverde over the
- 19. relationship between Ms. Lambert and Father
- 20. Verrecchia, did he indicate to you in any
- 21. manner what you should do with that
- 22. information or what he was going to do with

* A	LL SERVICES* Mu	lti-Pag	\mathbf{e}^{TM}
	Page 82		Page 84
1.	that information because of this illicit	1.	Q And how were you copied on these
2.	affair between Father Verrecchia and Ms.	2.	letters?
3.	Lambert? Other than the fact he'd look at	3.	A She sent me a copy of the letter that
4.	all this information when he got back from	4.	she had written to the bishop and Father
5.	vacation?	5.	Rippy sent me a copy back. I was surprised
6.	A That's all he said, but my	6.	at the letter because there was no
7.	expectations of the meeting were absolutely	7.	acknowledgment that she and Father Verrecchia
8.	shattering. I thought that he would be	8.	were in trouble, but
9.	shocked at what I showed him. I thought that	9.	Q Is there any acknowledgment that they
10.	he would be appreciative of the incredible	10.	were aware that there was actually a
11.	amount of work I had done to get this. There	11.	relationship going on between her and Father
12.	was no sign of "Thank you, very much. Boy,	12.	Verrecchia?
13.	this must have taken a tremendous amount of	13.	A No. And I think that letter from
14.	work to get. You know, your assistance has	14.	Father Rippy was somewhere at the end of
15.	been invaluable to us in the diocese."	15.	July.
16.	No reactions like that, so I was just	16.	Q July 26, 1999?
17.	he took the material and that was the end	17.	A No.
18.	of that meeting.	18.	Q The letter from Ms. Lambert to Mr
19.	Q Had you been aware at that point in	19.	to Bishop Loverde?
20.	time of whether Mr. Lambert had expressed	20.	A Yes, that was her letter.
	any	21.	Q And the response back was about a
21.	concern to the parish or the diocese about	22.	month later that you're referring to Father
22.	this relationship between his wife and the		, c
	Page 83		Page 85
	- F.J. W. 110		D: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1.	pastor, Father Verrecchia?	1.	Rippy's response back to Ms. Lambert?
2.	A No, at that time, no.	2.	A That was on August the 24th, when he
3.	Q And were you aware whether the Bishop	3.	acknowledges a telephone conversation,
4.	was knowledgeable of any concern expressed	4.	acknowledges that Bishop Loverde needs to be away from the office the first week of August
_	by Mr. Lambart on of June of 10002	5.	
5.	Mr. Lambert as of June of 1999? A He made no indication to me.	6.	and then basically excusing the diocese.
6.	Q Now, after this meeting, did you have	7.	Father Haley was not working upon instructions from the diocese. We have
7.		8.	
8.	a when did you have next a meeting with or a discussion with Father Verrecchia, one of	9. 10.	spoken to Father Haley to express our concerns. He was transferred to hopefully
9.	the other pastors there, Father Rippy or the	10.	resolve your concerns.
10.	bishop about the Lambert situation involving	12.	I found myself the victim. I found
11. 12.	Father Verrecchia?	12.	myself being somehow incriminated in this
13.	A I received a copy of a letter that	14.	when they at that point had full knowledge of
13.	Nancy Lambert had written to the bishop	14.	Father Verrecchia.
		15. 16.	
15.	expressing her great concerns that a priest		Q How long excuse me you indicated earlier that you were transferred
16.	in the parish, myself, was investigating her	17. 18.	to Saint Lawrence in Alexandria. When was
17.	personal life and received a reply that		that?
18.	Father Rippy had written and completely	19.	mat:

20.

21.

A I was transferred on July the 26th.

Q Is that when you were actually

22. transferred or told you were going to be

19. threw me because he says "If you know of any

inappropriate action that Father Haley is

22. investigation, please contact me right away."

doing, if you know of any further

20.

Page 86	Page 88

- transferred or do they occur on the same day,
- in any event?
- A that was the day of the transfer. I 3.
- think I was told on the 7th of July. 4.
- Q By whom? 5.
- A By a letter, I believe.
- Q And you were transferred on July
- 26th. That's the same day of the letter to
- Bishop Loverde from Ms. Lambert on which you
- were copied?
- 11. A Yes.
- Q You were also copied on the August 12.
- 24th response from Father Rippy? 13.
- 14. A Yes.
- Q And you were also copied on a reply 15.
- to that from Ms. Lambert of September 7th, 16.
- 17.
- A Yes. Which she's now threatening 18.
- some sort of legal action against me for 19.
- damage to her reputation. 20.
- Q Was there any further communication 21.
- after September 7th from Ms. Lambert or to

- of October 12th, there was a reference, was
- there not, by Father Rippy to Ms. Lambert
- about concerns of other parishioners about
- 4. this relationship and an acknowledgment that
- her husband had expressed his concern about
- it the previous fall and again more recently
- to the diocese?
- A Yes, I believe. Let me see -- I
- don't think it does mention that. It just
- says they apologize, first, "Express for your
- husband, last fall, again more recently."
- And then "We apologize for the pain,
- embarrassment, and humiliation you've
- 14. experienced as the result of any inquiries by
- 15. Father Haley."
 - Q Is that the first time that you were
- aware that Mr. Lambert had brought his
- concerns to the attention of the diocese?
- 19. A Yes.
- O Actually the previous -- it would 20.
- have been the previous fall of 1998, and more
- recently somewhere around the October or fall

- A And then on October the 12th, they
- wrote her a letter, which finally
- acknowledged to her that there may be some
- reason for investigating Father Verrecchia,
- but it clearly wasn't my role.
- Q This is the letter of October 12th, 7
- 1999 from Father Rippy to Ms. Lambert?
- A Yes.

1. Ms. Lambert?

- 10 Q You were also copied on that?
- A Yes. 11
- Q Did they indicate on any of this 12.
- correspondence that they were aware from you 13.
- of the fact that there was this intimate
- relationship going on between Ms. Lambert and 15.
- Father Verrecchia? 16.
- 17 A No. They talked about other
- parishioners, they talked about others
- reporting, recommending Father Verrecchia
- limit contacts, but there was no mention of
- 21 absolute proof of this relationship.
- Q When you were copied on this letter

- time of 1999?
- A Yes. That was the first time that I
- knew that they already knew about the
- problem. 4.
- Q Well, they -- is that the first time
- that you were aware that they were hearing
- 7. directly from Mr. Lambert?
- A Yes. 8.
 - Q Do you know what they were -- do you
- know if they were telling Mr. Lambert they 10.
- were already aware from you about the fact
- that this relationship actually existed? 12.
- A I do not know what they were telling 13.
- him. 14.
- O These letters seem to indicate that 15
- -- well, these letters don't indicate that
- they have proof that there is such a
- relationship and seem to be accepting
- whatever Ms. Lambert is saying. Was that
- 20. your impression also?
- A Yes. And my pain about it, that
- somehow I was becoming the fall guy for this.

Page 90	Page 92

- 1. And when they asked me if I had een making
- 2. inquiries I said no, absolutely not. I
- 3. presented the material to you and I never
- 4. inquired of anybody other than the fact that
- 5. there was a lady who did our gardening work
- 6. and knew her and I said -- I made some
- 7. comment. I said do you know of anything
- 8. going on between Nancy and Father Jim? And
- 9. she immediately reacted "Oh, it's terrible,
- 10. everybody is talking about it."
- 11. Q Who is the gardener?
- 12. A I don't know. I can't remember her
- 13. name, but apparently she was the next-door
- 14. neighbor or the neighbor to Nancy. It wasn't
- 15. an inquiry as much as a -- talking to
- 16. somebody who was picking weeds out front.
- 17. Q Well, when Ms. Lambert wrote this
- 18. letter of July 26th complaining about your
- 19. quote, "investigation," end of quote, had you
- 20. informed her about what you had discovered in
- 21. June and told the bishop?
- 22. A I told Nancy?

- 1. A I did not have any contact with them
- 2. then, but in the meetings that I had in late
- 3. October I expressed my anger that I was
- 4. somehow being accused for something I did not
- 5. do and they were yet not acknowledging the
- 6. problem.
- Q When you were transferred in July of
- 8. 1999, you went to Saint Lawrence, correct?
- 9. A Yes.
- 0. O And how long -- had you expected to
- 1. be transferred prior to that as early as July
- 12. of 1999?
- 13. A No. Normal transfers would have come
- 14. in June. As I have said, Father Gee was
- 15. transferred in June and then I think -- I
- 16. think it was July 7th when I received the
- 17. letter that I was going to be transferred on
- 18. the 26th.
- 19. Q And when you were transferred, were
- 20. you told how long you would be there at Saint
- 21. Lawrence?
- 22. A I was told that I would be there for

Page 91 Page 93

- 1. Q Yes.
- 2. A No.
- 3. Q Do you know if that could be the
- 4. quote, "investigation" that she was referring
- 5. to, your reporting?
- 6. A It quite -- it actually may have
- 7. been.
- 8. Q Do you know if anyone in the diocese
- 9. informed her of what you had brought to the
- 10. bishop's attention, although it doesn't
- 11. appear that way in these letters?
- 12. A Obviously Jim Verrecchia knew about
- 13. it and since he and Nancy were involved, I'm
- 14. sure she knew about it the moment he knew
- 15. about it.
- 16. Q Did you have any discussion with
- 17. Bishop Loverde or Father Rippy about the --
- 18. when you saw these letters between July and
- 19. October about the fact that they weren't
- 20. quite being candid with her about their
- 21. knowledge of the relationship between her and
- 22. Father Verrecchia?

- a few months and at most a year. And the
- 2. bishop shook my hand and he said I want you
- 3. to know this about me, I never say anything
- 4. about dates, but I am telling you because I
- $_{\mbox{\scriptsize 5.}}$ $\,$ want you to know that. And I said should I
- 6. do a dance on your desk? And he looked at me7. and I said maybe I should stand up on my
- 8. head. And he looked at me. He said what are
- 9. you talking about? And I said you'll know
- 10. one day, you'll know.
- 11. And later, almost seven or eight
- 12. months later when he had not moved me, I
- 13. reminded him
- 14. Q Moved you out of Saint Lawrence?
- 15. A Out of Saint Lawrence. I reminded
- 16. him of that statement and he said oh, I never
- 7. made a statement like that. And I said do
- 18. you remember me talking about doing a dance
- 19. on your desk or standing on top of my head?
- 20. And he said yes. And I said now you know why
- 21. I said those.
- 22. Q And why did you say that?

·A	EL SERVICES. Mu	111-1 ag	e
	Page 94		Page 96
1.	A They were memory jogs so that	1.	information?
2.	you would remember telling me that you	2.	And he said well, I'm not assured
3.	promised to move me in a few months, at	3.	that you haven't doctored it. And I said why
4.	most a year.	4.	am I under suspicion here? I said this
5.	Q Did you anticipate that move would be	5.	Q And who said this to you, the bishop?
6.	to be a pastor?	6.	A The bishop. It became very clear
7.	A Yes.	7.	at that point that they were trying to
8.	Q Had he brought up the issue of pastor	8.	discredit the information, discredit the
9.	in your July conversation after having it	9.	giver of the information, finding some way
10.	been the conversation initiated by him that	10.	out of this. It was in anticipation of that
11.	spring?	11.	kind of a threat that I had done such a
12.	A He did not indicate to me that I was	12.	through job.
13.	no longer considered to be moved for a	13.	For example, of numbering all of the
14.	pastorship, so my expectation was that I was	14.	pages of the original notebook, so that from
15.	going to be moved in a few months to another	15.	their perspective certain pages wouldn't
16.	pastorship.	16.	suddenly be missing. That they wouldn't be
17.	Q After you were transferred, this	17.	able to take out certain sensitive issues.
18.	exchange of letters went back and forth, did	18.	Q This is the notebook of materials
19.	you then have a meeting with the bishop after	19.	that you
20.	the October 12th letter?	20.	A Gave on the 22nd.
21.	A Yes.	21.	Q gave from the communal computer
22.	Q What occurred at that meeting? Who	22.	that you gave to them in June
	Page 95		Page 97
1.	called it and for what purpose?	1.	A Right.
2.	A He called me in. I was relieved to	2.	Q in response to Father Verrecchia's
3.	finally have some contact after having waited	3.	apparent attempt to discredit you?
4.	from June 22nd to the end of October and	4.	A Right. I was surprised that they
5.	knowing that nothing had been done with	5.	were giving more credence to him and what he
6.	Father Verrecchia through the secretaries	6.	was saying, even though it had already been
7.	there. That there was no evaluation he had	7.	proven untrue by the testimony of the
8.	never been gone, that there was no suspension	8.	secretaries who he had claimed were giving
9.	of his faculties, that nothing seems to have	9.	him this information.
10.	happened, so I was very relieved to finally	10.	Q And did you tell them of that meeting
11.	be called in.	11.	with the secretaries?
12.	During the meeting they asked me	12.	A Yes. And they weren't giving any
13.	Q "They" being whom?	13.	credence to me even though I wasn't saying
14.	A Father Rippy and the bishop asked me	14.	anything about him. I was giving
15.	many questions about the email and how it had	15.	self-incriminating evidence.
16.	been obtained. And there was suggestions	16.	Q What did they tell you is the purpose
17.	that I had, first, written all of the email.	17.	of this meeting in late October?
18.	And I said, "Are you serious that I could	18.	A They just wanted to ask me more
19.	write 287 pages of material in one week and	19.	questions about how I had gotten the email
20.	that it would have references to friends who	20.	and did I have to go through any passwords
21.	I have never met and credit card numbers and	21.	and where had I gotten this and had I gone

22. into his room.

22. addresses and sister and personal

- 1. There were no questions about Father
- Verrecchia and his relationship, there was no
- questions about my own knowledge or any other
- priest's knowledge about this relationship, 4.
- it all centered about trying to somehow
- discredit me or the information that had been
- presented.
- Q And did you tell them what you stated 8.
- here essentially, that this was information
- that Father Verrecchia apparently 10.
- synchronized on his own, whether knowingly
- or not, from his laptop into the communally
- networked computers at the rectory and the 13.
- 14.
- 15. A Yes. I told them that he had written
- the majority of the emails from the office in
- the computer. I had read to them the 17.
- policies prohibiting personal use of parish 18.
- 19.
- Q Did they indicate to you whether they 20.
- had spoken to Father Verrecchia at this point
- 22

- O Did they indicate to you at all 1.
- whether they had informed the other priests

- at All Saints to be vigilant about this
- 4. reported relationship between Father
- 5. Verrecchia and Ms. Lambert as a result of the
- information you gave them?
- A They made no indication to me. I
- pursued to that and asked the priests and
- they had not been told anything, I asked the 9.
- secretaries if anything had changed, these
- were people who had daily contact with him
- and apparently nothing was done and I brought
- that up to the bishop. 13.
- 14. He said you don't know what we've
- 15. done and I said but I know what you have not
- done. He has not been removed, he has not
- been suspended, no one in the parish has been 17.
- 18. warned, he is not under any supervision from
- the people who would see his activities. I 19.
- 20.
- said there are still many, many people concerned about the relationship and that's
- what all of this was about now, me rather

Page 99 Page 101

- A No.
- Q -- about any of those? 2.
- A No. 3.
- Q And they didn't indicate or they 4.
- indicated they had? 5.
- A They did not indicate anything. I 6.
- asked at the meeting, I said I thought we 7.
- were going to have a meeting together and he 8.
- didn't acknowledge even saying that. And I 9.
- said remember, I thought it was a great
- solution, get everybody involved together? I 11.
- said -- I fully expected Father Verrecchia to 12
- have been at that meeting in October. 13.
- Q In July, your meeting on July 26th 14.
- with the -- when you were transferred, had
- the bishop indicated to you at that time that 16.
- he would call for a meeting between yourself 17.
- 18. and Father Verrecchia?
- 19. A Yes.
- Q And is that the meeting you were 20.
- 21. referring to?
- A Yes.

- than him.
- Q What was the response? 2.
- A I think he basically indicated that 3.
- they had to be very careful about this, that
- these were serious charges and they just
- needed to get all the information they could.
- And I said all of the information you need is
- right there. And emphasized --8
- Q That's in the documents that you--9.
 - A The documents that I gave. And
- 11 emphasized again, I wrote none of them. They
- were terribly damning because they were
- self-written, self-incriminating, showed the 13.
- complete history. I said you can't get
- better proof than self0incriminating
- evidence. 16.
- Q Had they indicated yet, at all, that 17.
- 18 they would take the action that you say is
- 19. typically taken within a couple weeks of
- receiving this kind of information about a
- 21. priest where they react strongly and
- expeditiously? Had they indicated yet that

D 100	D 104
Page 102	Page 104

- 1. they were going to take any action against
- 2. Father Verrecchia to stop the relationship
- 3. with Ms. Lambert?
- 4. A They said that he had been told not
- 5. to have any contact with her. And I said
- 6. what do you mean, you shook his hand and
- 7. said don't have contact with her? Is that
- 8. what happened? I said nobody knows this,
- 9. nobody is watching him, he's not under any
- 10. supervision. I said you have had this
- 11. evaluated by some psychologist, right?
- 12. I was dumbfounded again at their lack
- 13. of reaction to this.
- 14. Q Had they indicated that they had any
- 15. psychiatric or psychological analysis done?
- 16. A No. And as I say, to my knowledge
- 17. there was no opportunity for any kind of
- 18. in-treatment patient evaluation.
- 19. Q Did they indicate how long preceding
- 20. your meeting with them at the end of October
- that they had told him, Father Verrecchia,
- 22. not to have any further contact with Ms.

- 1. they were talking about the past activities
- 2. or they were talking about the present
- 3. activities?
- 4. A Current.
- 5. O Present activities?
- 6. A Yes
- 7. O Between Father Verrecchia and Ms.
- 8. Lambert?
- 9. A Right. That people like Carol were
- 10. telling -- I made a visit to her and she said
- 1. oh, I still see them in the church.
- 12. Q Carol Stime?
- 13. A Yes. And I said you're kidding.
- 14. Q When is the -- did you have between
- 15. the -- excuse me. Let me go back. Did
- 6. anything else go on in the October -- late
- 17. October meeting other than their inquiry
- 18. about the emails, the source of the emails
- 19. and what you've already testified to?
- 20. A No. I answered their questions as
- 21. best I could. I was surprised about the
- 22. direction of the questions and I expressed

- 1. Lambert?
- 2. A No, but I -- no, they didn't tell me.
- 3. O Were you aware at that time whether
- there had been any recent contact or did you
- 5. become aware after that there was still
- 6. contact going on between the two?
- 7. A I was aware at the time and I was
- 8. aware after that time and told him that
- 9. you know they're still seeing each other.
- 10. Q When did you tell the bishop that
- 11. they were still seeing each other?
- 12. A At the end of the meeting in October.
- 13. Q Right. But you said you became aware
- 14. also, after that meeting that they were also
- 15. having contact. Did you inform the bishop
- 16. later again, that this was still going on?
- 17. A I told him on -- he came to a
- 18. confirmation dinner at Saint Lawrence and I
- 19. told him that night that people were still
- 20. talking about it and that was November 30th
- 21. of 1999.
- 22. Q When you say "people were talking,"

- my surprise that no further questions were
- 2. asked to me about the relationship.
- 3. I said have you talked to Father Gee
- 4. and Father Paul about this? And they said --
- 5. they started giving me the line that
- 6. priests's confidentiality in these matters
- 7. would prevent them from going and describing
- 8. this to any other priest or anybody else.
- 9. And I said, but who's watching him? Who's
- 10. supervising? If it's not the guys in the
- 11. house then who's doing this? Who is making
- 12. sure of this?
- 13. Q At your next meeting with the bishop
- 14. on November 30th at Saint Lawrence, did you
- 15. have any other conversation other than what
- 16. you just spoke about?
- 17. A I had a meeting with him at the end.
- 18. Q Did you have any further discussion
- 19. after the November 30th meeting other than
- 20. what you've already said?
- 21. A About?
- 22. Q You indicated at the November 30th

Page	100
Page.	LUb

- meeting you told him you were being told by
- people in Manassas that Father Verrecchia and
- Nancy were still seeing each other 3.
- frequently. Did you tell him anything other
- than that or have any further discussion at
- the November 30th meeting? 6.
- A No. He knew -- in one of the
- meetings in October I had told him that I was 8.
- very concerned of what appeared to be a 9.
- double standard, that certain priests were 10.
- being treated in one way and other priests
- were not. I expressed my concerns that for 12.
- example, I, myself, was being treated very 13.
- harshly and had been in the past and for 14.
- 15. some reason Father Verrecchia didn't seem to
- be required. 16.
- Father Paul Berghout was another 17.
- typical example. He had indiscreetly touched 18.
- a woman's thighs. He was talking to her on 19.
- the couch. She complained and he was 20.
- investigated by Father Verrecchia and Father 21.
- Steve Leva who were best friends and the

had been very surprised that I had not heard

Page 108

Page 109

- back from Father Avella.
- Q Where were you seeking to be
- 4. transferred to?
- 5. A Just anywhere outside of Saint
- Lawrence and hopefully to a pastorship
- because I had -- I had not done anything to
- cause a difference in opinion about me
- receiving that pastorship. 9.
- O So this is the -- this transfer 10.
- request is what you had expected might happen
- from July of 1999, a transfer for the purpose
- of being a pastor and that's what you were
- 14. requesting?
- 15. A Yes.
- Q And what was the response to that?
- A I did not hear back from Father 17.
- Avella, so in the beginning of May we had a 18.
- priest's meeting and I used the occasion to 19.
- have a meeting with the bishop and I told him 20.
- that I was very surprised that I had not been
- contacted by Father Avella. I was very

Page 107

- surprised I hadn't been contacted by him
- about being made a pastor.
 - And that's when I reminded him of his 3.
 - promise to move me after a few months, almost
 - a year, that the other priest at Saint
 - Lawrence, Father Ziegler, had heard from
 - Father Avella. He had filled out the form
 - and heard back and knew he was being 8
 - transferred. I said why am I not being
 - moved? What's going on? And he said you
 - have no right to be a pastor, which I
 - responded, well, no offense intended, but you
 - have no right to be a bishop. 13.
 - We're not talking about rights, we're
 - talking about the greatest privileges of our
 - life. I said my call to the priesthood was 16.
 - the greatest gift that God could give me and
 - I want to use it to the fullest of my
 - 19. potentials. And I do not understand why I am
 - no longer being considered for pastorship.
 - And he had brought up a former issue of a
 - relationship that I had had in the parish my

result was within a week. Father Berghout was

- in inpatient therapy. 2.
- O When was that? 3.
- 4. A I was still there, so it was sometime
- before my departure in July of 1999.
- Q After the November 30th what was --
- meeting of 1999 -- when was your next contact 7.
- with the bishop over any of these issues? 8
- A It wasn't until May of 2000. 9.
- Q Prior to that were you still at Saint
- 11 Lawrence?
- A Yes. 12
- Q And had you made a request prior to 13.
- that to leave Saint Lawrence to be 14.
- transferred? 15.

19.

- A Yes. In January he sent out a letter 16.
- to all priests expressing his need to get to 17.
- 18. know us a little bit better, so he asked us to fill out a questionnaire and if we had
- requested a transfer we were to be
- contacted by Father Avella. So I filled out
- the questionnaire, requested a transfer and

Page 110	Page 11
1 age 110	r age 11.

- first -- in my first years.
- Q This was in January of 2000?
- A This was in May of 2000, this 3.
- meeting. 4.
- Q Okay. This was --5.
- A And was very surprised that he was 6.
- bringing this issue up.
- Q "He" being Father Avella? 8.
- A No, the bishop, himself. 9.
- Q Oh, the bishop. I'm sorry. You had 10.
- a meeting in May to express your surprise of
- 12. not being contacted for the transfer?
- A Yes. 13.
- 14. Q And it's in that meeting that the
- 15. bishop brings up this prior accusation?
- A Yes. 16.
- Q What did he tell you in particular 17.
- about this and the prior accusation and what 18.
- it was about and where it was coming from? 19.
- 20. A He said that he had read the file and
- that there was nothing in the file to 21.
- indicate that the allegation against me had

intense from her side than it was from my

2

Page 113

- 2.
- At a certain point I realized that 3.
- her affections and her dependency were
- growing too strong and I broke the
- relationship off in 1995. And somehow in
- March of 1996, this all erupted and I was
- immediately taken in for evaluation. At the
- time I had been seeing a psychologist about
- my concerns of some of the priests' behavior 10.
- in the diocese.
- 12. Q You were already seeing someone
- regarding the priests' behavior in the
- 14. diocese?
- 15. A Yes.
- Q So in 1995 there was this
- relationship of some sort with Ms. Evans that
- she eventually related to a social worker who
- told her to write a letter? 19.
- 20. A Yes.
- Q When she was dealing with this 21
- herself in 1996, to whom did she write the

- ever been sufficiently investigated. And I said oh, quite the contrary. I said I have
- never been shown the allegations and i said,
- 4. but I had been going to a psychologist for a
- period of nine months and the friendship that
- I had with this woman had been much of the
- topic of the conversation. 7.
- And I said Bishop Keating accepted 8.
- the psychologist's reports after that
- evaluation and after this psychologist had
- seen an evaluation done on me at Saint 11.
- Luke's, two-week inpatient evaluation, which 12
- was immediately done to me after accusations 13.
- by this woman back in 1996. 14.
- Q So in 1996 what was the accusation 15.
- that was made and by whom? 16.
- A The accusations were made by a woman 17.
- 18. by the name of Jackie Evans, who basically
- claimed -- she wrote a letter based on her 19.
- psychologist, her social worker's advice
- explaining her insights into a friendship
- that we had and it was apparently much more

- letter?
- A She wrote it to me, but she never
- gave it to me, she never sent it, but she did
- give the letter apparently to the diocese,
- who has never shown me the letter or any
- allegations.
- 7. Q So the diocese called you in
- regarding that in 1996? 8.
- A Yes. I had a meeting with Bishop 9.
- Keating and Father Rippy. They asked me
- 11 certain excerpts from this letter.
- Q What were the excerpts? 12
- A Were you friends with this lady? 13.
- Yes. Was she the head of the Marion Society?
- Yes. Did you go on a pilgrimage with her and
- another group. Yes. Did you say on the
- pilgrimage, your hair smells like candy? 17.
- 18. Yes. Why would you say such an inappropriate
- 19. thing?
- Given the context that we were all
- walking together looking for a place to eat
- and we were all ravenously hungry. I was

Door	1	1	1
Page	- 1	-1	4

- 1. walking behind her and I said gee, you know I
- 2. can eat anything. I said your hair smells
- 3. like candy, I could eat it. I said we had
- 4. been friends. She was like a sister to me.
- 5. but when I realized that her affections had
- 6. been too strong, I stopped it. And she
- 7. apparently was very angry about that.
- 8. She had -- was a woman who had
- 9. suffered some tremendous losses. Loss of
- 10. her mother, loss of her father and she had
- 11. breast cancer and she had lost her breasts in a
- 12. mastectomy, she had lost her husband in a
- 13. separation and a divorce or in a separation.
- 14. So I had been trying to be a friend to her
- 15. and support her as a friend.
- 6. Q Did they suggest that there had been
- 17. any kind of intimate, physical relationship
- 18. between her?
- 19. A Yes. To which I categorically denied
- 20. numerous times.
- 21. Q What did they indicate, whether she
- 22. said there had been?

except a Christmas hug, an Easter hug.

Page 116

Page 117

- 2. Q You wrote rules to who?
- 3. A To limit those kind of behaviors.
- 4. Q Rules to whom?
- 5. A To Jackie. And said you can't
- 6. be calling me every week, you can't be
- 7. calling me. So limited contact, no more than
- 8. one call a week and no more than one -- could
- 9. not be in her presence more often than once
- 10. every two weeks, so if there was a meeting
- 11. and she was present, that was it. I was
- 12. trying to back away from it without hurting
- 13. her
- 14. Q Did they ever show you the letter
- 15. from her?
- 16. A No.
- 17. Q They intimated what it said?
- 18. A Yes.
- 19. Q So do you have any proof that that's
- 20. what she actually said or not? Other than
- 21. their intimating what she said?
- 22. I received a letter through my

- A They indicated that there was
- 2. sexual misconduct. And I said what kind of
- 3. sexual misconduct are you talking about? And
- 4. he said did you fondle her breast? I said
- 5. no, I did not.
- 6. However, she had a mastectomy and she
- 7. had what is known as a translap operation
- 8. where they take part of the stomach, they
- 9. bring it up to form a new breast and she was
- 10. concerned that it wasn't the same size and it
- 11. didn't look the same and she asked me if I
- 12. would touch it. And I said no, to which she
- 13. placed her hand on mine and made me touch it.
- 14. And I said oh, they feel the same.
- 15. Q And that was -- was that the only
- 16. physical touching ever of her other than
- 17. handshakes or you know, or just what would be
- 18. generally --
- 19. A At a certain point, I mean there were
- 20. some certain hugs. Birthday hugs, Christmas
- 21. hugs to which I responded by writing rules
- 22. that said there would be no physical contact

- 1. psychologist. I do not know if it's the same
- 2. one that the diocese received. I have never
- 3. seen my files, I have never seen any of the
- 4. accusations or notes, but they keep throwing
- 5. it at me.
- 6. Q How did your psychologist get this
- 7. letter?
- 8. A He received it from her social
- 9. worker, they apparently are neighbors, it
- 10. turns out. My psychologist, a doctor of
- 11. psychology for 23 years, a clinic with seven
- 2. or eight doctors of psychology, which was
- 13. rather rare.
- 14. Q Who was your psychologist?
- 15. A Dr. -- I think is Michael Ruxer
- 16. Q How do you spell Ruxer?
- 17. A R-U-X-E-R.
- 18. Q And who was her social worker?
- 19. A Beverly Worthington. She just
- 20. received kind of a mail-order social work
- 21. degree from Richmond.
- 22. Q for what reason -- for how long had

Dogo	1	1	0	
Page	-	1	8	

- 1. you been seeing Dr. Michael Ruxer before this
- 2. came up?
- 3. A I had started seeing him in October
- 4. of 1995 because I was currently at a parish,
- 5. Saint Ann's, and I had become aware of some
- 6. homosexual activity between the priests,
- 7. between the pastor and another pastor. In
- 8. the spiritual and confession I was becoming
- 9. aware of some significant sexual problems
- 10. among some of our priests, which I could not
- 11. say to anybody.
- 12. So I had gone to this psychologist
- 13. for the original purpose of helping me to
- 14. deal with the emotional burden of knowing
- 15. what I did not want to know about my fellow
- priests through confession. And I
- 17. originally approached him and asked him if he

thing erupted with Jackie Evans, he was an

had already known about the struggles of

1995 and was invaluable in assessing the

Q So he was already aware of this

restraints on it with Jackie Evans and so you

continued obviously with him to deal with

A Yes. He not only heard the whole

relationship, he saw the letters that I had

written to her and the letters that she had

Dr. Ruxer after that in dealing with this?

22. I think that what then became the difficulty

Q And how long did you continue with

A I'd say it was somewhere in August.

what was being told to you at the time?

relationship and how you saw to put

evaluation of Saint Luke's.

BY MR. MURPHY:

(Brief break.)

written to me.

stopping the relationship back in August of

MR. MURPHY: Hold on just one second.

- 18. could make me forget it. And he says, no, I
- 19. can't and I won't because if I force that it
- 20. will be a trauma that comes out in other
- 21. ways.

3.
 4.

7.

8

9.

11.

12

13.

14.

15.

16.

17. 18.

19.

21.

22. And then in March when this whole

- was how the diocese was reacting to this.
- 2. The evaluation that came out of Saint Luke's,

Page 120

Page 121

- 3. which was extraordinarily positive except for
- 4. the conclusion which said "recommended
- 5. inpatient treatment."
- 6. O You went to Saint Luke's in what
- 7. month?
- 8. A It was in March of 1996.
- 9. Q And you went for a couple of weeks
- 10. evaluation at the request of Bishop Keating?
- A Yes. And I went, consented because I
- 12. had nothing to hide and I figured that if
- 13. they weren't going to take the time to ask me
- 14. the questions that I thought they would, that
- 5. Saint Luke's would solve the problem. They --
- 16. -
- 17. Q And so did you inform this, whoever
- 18. was the evaluator at Saint Luke's, regarding
- 19. everything you had told to Dr. Ruxer?
- 20. A Yes. And they had actually made
- 21. contact and had talked together.
- 22. Q They did?

- 1. A Yes.
- invaluable asset who had already known me, 2. Q And did you inform the knowing of the
 - 3. Jackie Evans situation while you were seeing
 - 4. Ruxer regarding your observations of other
 - 4. Ruxer regarding your observations of our
 - 5. priests's homosexual relationships?
 - 6. A Yes.
 - 7. Q And so in there -- who was it at
 - 8. Saint Luke's who was doing the evaluation?
 - 9. A They have a team of about six or
 - 10. seven who -- each member of the team meets
 - 11. with you about 20 minutes total in the
 - 12. two weeks and then from those 20 minutes,
 - 13. half-hour, the seven of them get together
 - 14. and they write up this evaluation. I was --
 - 15. Q What do you do the rest of the time
 - 16. you are at Saint Luke's?
 - 17. A You are sitting there with sexual
 - 18. predators, many who are -- mostly priests,
 - 19. bishops are there because of legal
 - 20. entanglements with child pornography, child
 - 21. molestation, homosexual activity. It is a
 - 22. treatment center for priests.

Dage	122	

- 1. I was surprised that it was used as
- 2. an evaluation place for me since the diocese
- 3. had many psychologists that they used and
- 4. they could have had the evaluations there.
- 5. Q So they conferred with Dr. Ruxer and
- 6. after this two weeks of meeting with you they
- 7. gave you an evaluation.
- 8. Did they inform you of that
- 9. evaluation orally or in writing?
- 10. A The end of the two weeks there was an
- oral presentation of the results.
- 12. Q And who was the oral presentation
- 13. made to?

4.

7.

11.

12

13.

14.

15.

16

17.

18.

19.

- 14. A Myself and to Father Rippy who
- 15. represented the diocese. And I took notes
- 16. during the oral presentation, he did not.
- 17. The evaluation was very different than the
- 18. reasons why I had gone to Saint Luke's.
- 19. Q What do you mean?
- 20. A For example, apparently the diocese
- 21. had told Saint Luke's, the head of the
- 22. facility, Steve Montana, told him that Father

Rippy had said to him, quote, "That Father

And when Steve Montana said that to

sexual contact with Jackie Evans."

Haley was going to kill himself unless he had

me my mouth fell open and he sat back in his

chair and he said I wish I just had a tape of

your reaction. He says "That's what I teach

my students about the reaction of innocence

Q Who made a comment about that's an

reaction to this accusation was an indication

and shock." And I said I have never said

anything like that. Never implied that

Q He was pointing out that your

A Yes. At that point I was taken

originally was put at Saint Luke's I was

first three days in my underwear with no

placed by myself in a room basically for the

off, quote, "suicide watch." When I

of innocence of the statement?

anything like that.

action of innocence?

A Steve Montana.

1. pencil or sharp object or a belt, so when I

Page 124

Page 125

- 2. was released I said what's going on here?
- 3. Why am I here under these kind of
- 4. circumstances?
- 5. And that's when he told me that
- 6. Father Rippy had said that you were going to
- 7. kill yourself unless I had a sexual
- 8. relationship with this woman. I said the
- 9. relationship with this woman, the last time I
- 10. saw her was in August of 1995, this is March
- 11. of 1996.
- 12. Q You were still alive.
- 13. A What's going on? And in the oral
- 14. evaluation there was no mention of suicidal
- 15. tendencies, there was no mention of any kind
- 6. of drug use or any depression.
- 17. Q So at the end of all of this you're
- 18. saying in the evaluation they gave that
- 19. Father Rippy heard -- that he expressed no
- 20. concern about suicidal tendencies, about
- 21. drugs --
- 22. A Right.

Page 123

- 1. Q -- or did they express any further
 - 2. concern about the relationship with Ms.
 - 3. Evans?
 - 4. A No. What became clear to me was that
 - 5. I had been set up. That I had been put into
 - 6. Saint Luke's and information that I had never
 - 7. seen had been given to them and statements
 - 8. made that were completely untrue. And later
 - 9. learned from my psychologist that the --
 - 10. really the only way they can commit somebody
 - 11. to an evaluation is by saying that he is a
 - 12. risk to himself by suicide or depression or
 - 13. somebody else.
 - 14. Q Did you confront Father Rippy as to
 - 15. his -- why he made that statement?
 - 16. A Oh, yes.
 - 17. Q What did he tell you?
 - 18. A He said it's what I believed to be
 - 19. true at the time. And I said based on what?
 - 20. And he said conversations with Jackie. And I
 - 21. said what gave her the reason or basis for
 - 22. saying this? I have not seen her since 1995.

Page 122 - Page 125

D	1	2	-
Page	- 1	26	۱

- O In the evaluation that was given to
- you at Saint Luke's, other than expressing
- that they had seen no concern with the Evans
- relationship or drugs or suicide, was there 4.
- anything else that said -- mentioned that
- they saw no concern about or does that pretty
- much cover it?
- A In the report they actually said that 8.
- I was to be commended for realizing that
- boundary violations had been made and for 10.
- correcting the relationship and discontinuing
- 12. the relationship.
- Q It also recommended, though, I guess 13.
- you said, it recommended -- at the end they 14.
- 15. recommended further evaluation or treatment,
- I should say?
- A Six to nine months inpatient 17.
- 18. treatment.
- Q For what purpose based on this 19.
- 20. evaluation?

3. 4.

8.

9.

11.

12

13.

14.

16.

17. 18.

19.

for business.

A That was just their recommendation. 21

psychiatric codes, which was an unspecified

sexual disorder. So instead of having the

report sent to Bishop Keating, I had the

seeing, who saw the report and said this is

pure nonsense. This evaluation code is not a

psychiatric evaluation code and a treatment

center that puts this down is just fishing

So he went back to Saint Luke's and

requested all of the raw data, the original

the personality test, all of those raw data,

evaluated it and had two or three of his

And so he wrote two evaluation

reaction, any negativity.

psychologists evaluate it on a blind study

and none of them came up to any kind of a

reports to Bishop Keating stating that there

was no reason that Father Haley should not be

everything I had put down. And had it -- he

answers, the answers that I had put down for

report sent to the psychologist I was

It was based on their prognosis or

that there was nothing wrong here, that there

Page 128

Page 129

- was nothing wrong with the relationship.
- That he, in fact, handled it in a great way.
- 4. That he had indicated he read all of the
- evaluations, saw the raw data, that he could
- not agree with the conclusions of Saint
- Luke's, and others could not agree with the
- thing of St. Lukes and, in fact, stated his
- reputation of 23 years on that and said that, 9.
- in fact, an in-treatment hospitalization for
- this man would be detrimental to his health.
- All of which Bishop Keathing accepted. I had
- a meeting --
- Q When did you meet with Bishop Keating 14.
- over this? After the two weeks in Saint
- Luke's, the report to Father Rippy and the
- subsequent meetings with Dr. --17.
- 18. A The report came out somewhere in
- 19. April and was sent to the --
- 20. O It was Dr. Ruxer, Excuse me.
- A Ruxer. 21
- Q Dr. Ruxer. 22

Page 127

- A And he and I both went back to Saint
- Luke's and said this report is an error and
- these are factual errors. There is 3
- incredible, factual errors written down in
- the report. Subjective conclusions based on
- absolutely no evidence, so they rewrote the
- report for him.
- O Saint Luke's rewrote it for whom? 8
- A For Dr. Ruxer. So somewhere
- probably in June, I had a meeting with Bishop
- Keating, he received the evaluation from Dr.
- Ruxer. I had the evaluation of Saint Luke's
- and I said do you want to see this? 13.
- Q "This," the original one? 14.
- A Yes. I said you are free to see it,
- you are free to read it, but under no
- circumstances on the advice of a lawyer am I
- going to release it to you. At advice of a
- 19. lawyer I am not to release my medical records
- to anyone. And I concur with that advice,
- 21.
- You may do -- and he said he read the

but you may see it.

given full -- a stamp of a full approval,

Page	1	2	n
Page	- 1	1	()

- 1. report of Dr. Ruxer and he said this is all I
- 2. need, we need something in the file. We have
- 3. it, thank you very much. And he asked me a
- 4. couple other times after that in a series of
- 5. months, you know, was everything fine? And I
- 6. said yeah, it's great. And then tragically
- 7. he died. And then later I'm hearing --
- 8. Q Excuse me. From 1996, he died in
- 9. 1998, was there any other -- was this issue
- 10. ever raised again prior to his death?
- 11. A No. And, in fact, he had given me
- 12. the assignments to All Saints. He had given
- 13. me the assignment to Saint John's and again,
- 14. no indication that this was hanging over me
- 15. or that this was something in the way of my
- 16. eventual pastorship.

4.

5.

7.

8.

9.

11.

12

13.

14.

15.

16.

17. 18.

19.

21.

and that was that.

1999 -- of 2000.

incident?

- 17. Q Did you have any contact with Ms.
- 18. Evans or anyone regarding this after that?
- 19. A I had conversations with some people
- 20. who had talked to her and shockingly she had
- been told on the day she brought the, quote,

Father Rippy. She had been assured by Father

Rippy that I would be put in Saint Luke's for

nine months. This was before any evaluation

conclusion that the diocese is only concerned

about potential money loss, not the truth or

the facts or the evidence and they saw this

Keating that there was no possibility of suit

agreed with that, he accepted the evaluation

because there was no misconduct. And he

Q So this next gets raised, though,

A Right. And significantly in May of

Q And did you relate to him everything

you've just related now about this whole

A Absolutely. And my shock at --

with Bishop Loverde, correct?

as a potential suit. I had assured Bishop

in-treatment -- for treatment for at least

had been made he was assuring her.

And it confirmed my goal and my

22. "allegations" to the bishop, she had met

1. O You related this when he said it was

Page 132

Page 133

- 2. unresolved?
- 3. A Yes. And I said then this is a case
- 4. of clear double-jeopardy. I am now being
- 5. retried for something of the past.
- 6. Q What did he -- how did he respond
- 7. when you told him that?
- A He said that there was nothing in the
- 9. file, that there was no indication from
- 10. Bishop Keathing that it had been resolved.
- 11. And I said you have the evaluations from
- 12. the psychologist and he said that's your
- 13. doctor, we want our doctor. And I said why
- 14. would I want to trust a doctor that had been
- 15. hired by you, paid by you, given information
- 6. by you to adequately and unbiasly evaluate
- 17. me?
- 18. I said already Saint Luke's was given
- 19. information that was patently, blatantly
- 20. untrue about me. And I had been going to Dr.
- 21. Ruxer on my own. I had been paying for that.
- 2. He is a noted clinical psychologist, he has

- 1. accreditation for all of the tests that Saint
- 2. Luke's had given, but they refused to accept
 - 3. it.
- 4. Q How did that meeting end with regard
- 5. to your status with the bishop?
- 6. A He basically said that it was an
- 7. unresolved issue and I countered by saying
- 8. no, it's not. And I said I think at this
- 9. point, if the diocese is going to treat me
- 10. this way, I am going to need to make some
- 11. contact with an outside attorney.
- 12. Q Now, at this point in time, May of
- 13. 2000, had you -- did you -- were you aware of
- 14. the status of Father Verrecchia?
- 15. A Yes.
- 16. Q And what was his status by that point
- 17. in time?
- 18. A That he had left All Saints in a
- 19. scandalous departure. Apparently he had
- 20. gone into the bishop on Friday, according to
- 21. the secretaries, and on Saturday he moved out
- 22. with all of his stuff.

Page 134	Page 136
rage 13.	1 uge 130

- 1. O Of what month?
- A That was in March of 2000.
- 3. Q At that point in time, were the
- 4. Lambert's still married?
- 5. A Apparently they had divorced in
- 6. February. I had heard about that, I had
- 7. heard about the custody battles, I had heard
- 8. about the concerns.
- 9. Q Well, I want to keep a time frame.
- 10. At that point in time was it known publicly
- 1. by anyone that Ms. Lambert was pregnant or
- 12. did that come out later?
- 13. A I knew at that point. In fact, I
- 14. told him.
- 15. Q At what point did you know that she
- 16. was pregnant? I believe she delivered in
- 17. October of 2000: is that correct?
- 18. A Yes.
- 19. Q And she divorced Mr. Lambert in
- 20. February of 2000?
- 21. A I think, I heard in April. And I
- 22. think I heard it from Christine and I heard

- 1. regard to the children as a result of this
- 2. marriage by Ms. Lambert to Father Verrecchia?
- 3. A No, I didn't actually. I do remember
- 4. in the May 2000 meeting with the bishop,
- 5. telling him that you know she's pregnant and
- 6. you know they got married and how could you
- 7. have let this happen and why wasn't there any
- 8. stoppage of this? Why didn't you tell the
- other -- and he said we responded in a
- 10. professional manner.
- 11. And I said professional?
- 12. Professional? I was quite upset at the
- 13. meeting because I felt that they had done
- 14. nothing and that the thing that was happening
- 5. here was that I was suffering repercussions
- 16. for being the whistle-blower.
- 17. Q In late May of 2000, when you had
- 18. this meeting with Bishop Loverde, did you
- 19. inquire or was there any indication from him
- 20. that he had informed Mr. Lambert or anyone of
- 21. the information you had brought to him almost
- 22. a year earlier about the relationship that

- it from a couple other people.
- 2. Q Now, by time you heard in April of
- 3. 2000 that she, Ms. Lambert, was pregnant, had
- 4. she married Father Verrecchia yet? Is that
- 5. when they got married?
- A He left very quickly in April and I
- 7. guess on Holy Saturday he got married to her
- 8. rather quickly, so obviously it had been
- 9. planned or talked about.
- 10. Q And it was sometime after that you
- 11. had heard that she was pregnant when they got
- 12. married?
- 13. A I heard that she was pregnant before
- 14. they had gotten married.
- 15. Q But it was some time in the April
- 16. time frame?
- 17. A Yes.
- 18. Q And he left the parish in March of
- 19. 2000 -- left the diocese, I guess?
- 20. A Yes.
- 21. Q Did you then become aware that there
- 22. was still a custody proceeding going on with

- 1. existed --
- 2. A I had never --
- 3. O -- between Father Verrecchia and Ms.
- 4. Lambert?
- 5. A -- heard him say or mention or any
- 6. mention of any of this material that I
- 7. brought. It was almost as if it hadn't been
- 8. acknowledged.
- Q What was his response when you
- 10. expressed your concern about the fact that
- 11. nothing had been acted on with regard to the
- 12. information you had given him and that this -
- 13. **-** 14.
 - A He kept saying you don't know what we
- 15. did. And I kept saying, I know what you
- 16. didn't do. I know what you have done in
- other cases, I know what you didn't do here
- 18. and you can't say that it was professional
- 19. because somewhere around Christmas a pastor
- 20. of a parish is getting a married woman
- 21. pregnant, after you have known and been
- 22. repeatedly told that the relationship was

Dooo	1	20
Page	- 1	38

- continuing on. How, in any way, can you call
- this professional?
- Q And what was his response? 3.
- A He just became more aggressive 4.
- towards me.
- O On what issues was he becoming more 6.
- aggressive with you about?
- A Bringing up the past, of my past and 8.
- at one point he even compared the two. And I
- said, oh, gosh, there is nothing to compare 10.
- the two. 11.
- 12. Q Going back to the 1995 incident?
- 13.
- 14. Q Now let me ask you, you had said
- 15. earlier that you had actually begun some
- counseling with Dr. Ruxer in 1995 because of
- your having to deal with the concern over the 17.
- homosexual relationships of several priests. 18.
- And I believe you indicated some of which you 19.
- had observed and others that were told to you 20.

but what had you observed outside of the

confessional about some homosexual activity

amongst priests that caused you concern that

you sought to discuss with the psychologist?

priesthood is something that I did not know

and more aware of. And it was a growing

behaviors and friendships and particular

friendships, support of one another and

who might threaten them.

almost a defensive attitude towards anyone

I had gone to Bishop Keating and one

of the outcomes of the Jackie Evans thing was

that when we met in June of 1996, I had said

to him, I don't think you know me and I don't

know you and I'd like the opportunity to know

you better. So I said, can we possibly meet

about when I was ordained, but from my very

first assignment at Saint mark's became more

concern because I started to see associations

between those who were exhibiting homosexual

A The homosexuality within the

in confessional. 21

2.

3. 4.

5.

7.

9.

11.

12

13.

14.

16.

17. 18.

19.

20.

I don't want to get into the ones 22

- every week for an hour or two just to
- converse about priestly things? He said oh,

Page 140

Page 141

- no, I couldn't do that. He said, but I can
- 4. do it every two weeks, which I jumped at as
- one of the greatest opportunities. 5.
- And we had probably six or seven of 6.
- those meetings where we talked at length
- about some of the problems and he indicated
- the problems from a bishop's perspective 9.
- that he could not do anything about
- homosexual priests or their activities.
- 12. Canon law limited him of this and I said,
- well as a canon lawyer and the head of The
- 14. Canon Law Association or Society in the
- United States, do something about it, change
- the rules. 16.
- And in some of those conversations he 17.
- had indicated a problem that existed even
- among the bishops and cardinals, naming some 19.
- 20. that surprised me, so I was becoming a lot
- more aware of how significant the problem was
- and how guarded it was.

- that were told to you in the confessional, Q Other than, again, what you
 - learned in the confessional, what were the
 - observations from the time you became
 - ordained until then? This was with regard to
 - priests that were serving with you or in the
 - diocese?
 - A I recanted to Bishop Keating kind of
 - a whole list and litany of the priests that
 - I had been assigned with and some of the
 - behaviors that struck me.
 - 11 For example, my first assignment, I
 - had been there a week. A man came to the 12
 - back door asking for Billy. And I thought he 13.
 - meant somebody at the AA meeting, which was
 - in the basement of the rectory just around
 - the corner. I said, I think you want to go
 - around the corner. And he said no, I want
 - Billy. And I said I don't know who you're
 - 19. talking about. And he said Bill Shart, who
 - was the associate there. I said, oh, I said,
 - well, let me see if I can reach him. And I
 - didn't know how to use the phone, so I said

	Page 142		Page 144
1.	wait here and I'll go see if I can get him.	1.	challenged them?
2.	I went upstairs, knocked on the door,	2.	A I never knew that there was that a
3.	and he opened the door and he was stark	3.	diocese or a bishop would knowingly ordain a
4.	naked. And he was surprised to see me, but	4.	homosexual man, never knew that. And I
5.	he was not surprised the door that	5.	though that they would want to know that a
6.	somebody had knocked at the door. And he	6.	man or a seminarian or a priest was
7.	kind of closed the door halfway. And he said	7.	homosexual. And they couldn't care less, so
8.	what do you want? And I said there's	8.	I started to feel like the outsider, like
9.	somebody down here who wants to see "Billy."	9.	maybe I was the unique guy. That it wasn't
10.	To Which he responded, "Send him up."	10.	the gay men that were unique, it was the
11.	I recanted this to Bishop Keating who	11.	straight men that were unique. And as I say,
12.	said oh, that's very unfortunate. Oh, that's	12.	it became painfully obvious when I went and
13.	very terrible. And I said and then I was at	13.	was assigned in July of 26 July 26 of 199

massages in his bedroom. And there were dinner 17.

to have an extraordinarily gay-looking

masseuse come and give him personal

conversations that would indicate that there 18.

Saint Louis and my pastor, Father Irace, used

19. was a rupture in these priests' life.

For example, he said celibacy and 20

chastity are separate. Just because you're

celibate doesn't mean you have to be chaste.

14. --

O 5? 15.

A 9, I guess with Father Erbacher at

Saint Lawrence. Who, as I have indicated, 17.

was best friends with the chancellor. Bob

Rippy and Danny Spychala. And they were 19.

three of the most notable gossips of the

diocese and he gave me so much information

that I actually went to lawyers and said, can

Page 143

And if you're gay, you know, you could be

attracted and even involved sexually with

3. other men and you haven't broken your vow of

4.

14.

And I said even in the seminary

we had seminarians who were approached by

others to have, quote, "blow jobs," and you

know, if it was brought to the attention,

maybe the seminarian would leave for a week

and then he would come back, quote, "cured." 10.

So it was all a revelation that was starting

to become painfully obvious by 1996, but I 12.

had no idea the interconnections of all of

this until I lived with Father Erbacher.

Q Now, is that what you mean when you 15.

said -- you said earlier that you weren't 16.

17 aware until after you were ordained, you're

not saying you weren't aware of

homosexuality, I assume then that you weren't

aware of the, I guess, the power structure

that this formed for those. And you said

that there were threats of anyone who

I tape this, because no one could possibly

believe what I'm hearing.

3. Q And that's what you told lawyers or

asked of lawyers? But when you -- what did

you discover with regard to when you were

with Father Erbacher that you -- that caused

7. you concern?

A He knowingly pointed out members of

the clergy in Arlington who were gay and gave

evidence of that, associations. For example,

he had gone through the picture book of Saint

Lawrence and showed me the boys of the

previous pastor, Father McAfee.

I was becoming aware through other

means that other pastors and priests had

problems. And certainly one of my classmates

had gone into the bishop several times with

incidents that would shock anyone. Came home

and saw his pastor at Saint Mary's anally

raping or having intercourse with the black

maintenance man in the living room or going

to a parish where the priest had an 18-year

Dooo	116	
Page	146	

- 1. relationship with a gay man.
- Complaining to the diocese, becoming
- 3. depressed over their inactivity and he
- 4. finally left the priesthood. Other priests
- 5. who came to me said that they had been
- 6. molested by priests of our diocese, that they
- 7. had been fondled and approached. It was just
- becoming much, much, much more apparent to me
- 9. how significant the problem was and how
- 10. secret it was.
- 11. Q Going back to Father Erbacher for a
- 12. second, how did you get into a conversation
- 13. with him where he was pointing out gay
- 14. members of the diocese? Did he initiate this
- 15. and just offer it as a general conversation or
- 16. was there some purpose to a conversation with
- 17. him?
- 18. A He's a natural gossip and talks
- 19. amazingly. Initial conversation started out
- 20. with his own history and his relationship,
- 21. painful relationship with his mother. His
- 22. mannerisms had indicated that there may be

1. we know where he goes with that and boy he

Page 148

- 2. better protect his crown jewels and
- 3. references to men's body parts that I was
- 4. astounded at to be talked about among four
- 5. men, four priests. So the suspicion was in
- 6. their minds that I was gay. I guess they
- 7. didn't know why a motorcyclist wears leather
- 8. as a second skin. They saw it as some other
- 9. thing.
- 10. And so I suppose, encouraged the
- 11. suspicion one night at a dinner when Father
- 12. Erbacher had a dog and I said why would you
- 3. get a dog without a thing? And he looked at
- 4. me with this stunned expression and I imagine
- 15. he probably called his friends and said he's
- 16. gay, I know he's gay. Because after that he
- 17. started confiding in me all of those who were
- 18. and their behaviors and --
- 19. Q Did he mention about the relationship
- 20. between he and Father Rippy and others, any
- 21. others?
- 22. A The two years that he was at Saint

Page 147

- something at least effeminate about him. And

 1. Lawrence, I knew the assignments before they
- 2. then I overheard a conversation between him
- 3. and Father Rippy and Father Spychala and
- 4. Father Saunders and they were talking about
- 5. various priests in the diocese and my name
- 6. came up.
- 7. Q Where was this conversation being
- 8. held?
- 9. A At Saint Lawrence. It was an
- 10. outside conversation that they were having
- 11. during dinner and overheard remarks of
- 12. suspicions about me.
- 13. Q Is this at the dinner table?
- 14. A Yes.
- 15. Q And you were in the area, but not at
- 16. the table?
- 17. A Right.
- 18. Q What did they -- what were they
- 19. mentioning about you?
- 20. A I had bought a motorcycle and
- 21. they were commenting on the leather that I
- 22. sometimes wore and references to, oh, well,

_

- 2. were announced and made because Father Rippy
- 3. had told Father Spychala who told Father
- 4. Erbacher, so there was nothing really secret.
- 5. I had heard, you know, activities
- 6. about Father Verrecchia basically coming down
- 7. through that same chain and one could tell
- 8. that there was an extraordinary friendship.
- 9. I mean Father Rippy and Father Erbacher had
- 10. traveled together to Las Vegas several times.
- 11. There were conversations that
- 12. indicated Father Erbacher had been trained by
- 13. certain priests of the diocese on how to
- 14. handle and obtain money. Conversations he
- 15. not only had with me, but other people in the
- 16. parish about if you were going to take cash
- 17. from the collection, you had to be
- 18. consistent, you know.
- 19. And there was a priest at the time,
- 20. Father Ciullo had been arrested for
- 21. inappropriating -- misappropriating funds.
- 22. And Father Erbacher's comments, oh, he just

D	1	-	n
Page	- 1	7	()

- didn't do it right, you have to be
- consistent. So flags were being raised all
- over the place for me and I became aware that 3.
- even Father Erbacher, himself, was engaged 4.
- in stealing funds and in homosexual activity,
- a large collection of homosexual material. 6.
- Q How did you become aware of that? Of
- the -- I'm sorry, of the --8.
- A The financial irregularities? 9.
- Because there were a number of things that he 10.
- was doing that were outside the policy
- procedures for handling money. Keeping 12.
- collection money for a week, not locking the 13.
- safe, giving me Sunday off and telling me to 14.
- 15. go away. And then I would notice that the
- collection had been counted and that it was
- altered from the time it had been placed in 17.
- the safe until the money was counted on 18.
- 19.
- 20. Procedures were that the money should
- be collected the same day and counted the
- same day and deposited in the bank. In

O Was there any express or inference of 1

Page 152

Page 153

- any relationship between Bishop Loverde and
- Archbishop Cronin of a homosexual nature?
- 4. A No. But certainly there was about
- Bishop Loverde and his -- and a Brother David
- Eddie who lives with him and has lived with
- him for more than 15 years, 18 years or
- something.
- Q Did Bishop Erbacher -- I am sorry, 9.
- excuse me, Father Erbacher sort of took you 10.
- into his confidence after you, I believe you
- sort of encouraged him with a comment about
- the dog, that he opened up to you after that
- 14. about these matters?
- 15. A Yes.
 - Q Did he indicate -- one thing I want
- to go back to -- you said, I don't know if it 17.
- was after that or prior to that he had 18.
- already shown you a book of -- picture book 19.
- of Saint Lawrence showing the, quote, "boys 20.
- of Father McAfee." 21
- What did you mean by the "boys of 22

- public places, his office or where the money
- was placed in the safe, there were certain
- financial documents, including personal 3.
- 4. documents that indicated large deposits of
- cash into his own personal accounts. His
- office and public space that he was in had
- incredible effeminant looks to it. 7.
- There were various pictures of people 8
- that he had talked about. He had given me 9.
- the full history of Bishop Loverde, his
- assignment, his friendship with an Archbishop 11.
- Cronin. And how Archbishop Cronin was such a 12
- pearl of a man and basically indicating 13.
- incredible discretions of Archbishop Cronin 14.
- with other men. 15.
- Q So it -- but what was his 16.
- relationship with Bishop Loverde? 17.
- 18. A Apparently, Archbishop Cronin was
- either Bishop Loverde's bishop as a priest 19.
- and apparently, according to Father Erbacher,
- there was -- he was instrumental in obtaining
- his episcopacy for Bishop Loverde.

- Father McAfee"?
- A Apparently, Father McAfee used to pay 2.
- teenage boys five hundred dollars, in some
- cases a thousand dollars in cash for
- continuing on as alter boys during their 5.
- postpubescent teenage years. And apparently,
- according to Father Erbacher, he had taken
- many of these boys to his beach home. 8.
- And he told me about similar problems 9.
- with Father Fasano and how he had taken boys
- to his beach home. And all flags, but became
- substantiated by conversations and evidence
- that I got from other people, from other 13.
- priests. 14.
- Q He said -- he also pointed out to 15.
- you gay members of the diocese? You mean
- other gay priests? 17.
- 18. A Yes.
- Q Did he say -- did any of those 19.
- resonate with you as being closely aligned
- 21. with each other to be supportive and
- protective of each other?

Page 154	
----------	--

- 1. A Absolutely.
- 2. Q Who were those?
- 3. A Certainly it seemed that the
- 4. chancellor, Father Rippy and Bill Saunders
- 5. and Danny Spychala and Bill Erbacher were all
- 6. gay and had a very strong bond and were very
- 7. supportive and defensive of each other.
- 8. There was conversations about Father
- 9. Verrecchia and some of his relationships with
- 10. some of the gays in the diocese, including
- 11. his replacement as MC.
- 12. Q What's "MC"?
- 13. A Master of Ceremonies for the bishop.
- 14. Q Who was his replacement?
- 15. A Richard Mullins and, you know, in
- 16. conversations that were just phenomenal. You
- 17. know, Richard Mullins has a -- he's always
- 18. over there with Monsignor Bransfield, you
- 10. Over there with Monsignor Brunsheid, you
- 19. know. And I said who's Monsignor Bransfield?
- 20. He said he's the -- he runs the National
- 21. Shrine, you know the Immaculate Conception.
- 22. And I said what are you telling me? He said

1. had been looking at, but much more extensive.

Page 156

Page 157

- 2. Gay guides, he took frequent trips to Mexico
- 3. and Indonesia and he had, you know, The Gay
- 4. Mexican Guide to the best gay beaches. And
- 5. so I had gone to Bishop Loverde and told him
- 6. he had a significant problem at Saint
- 7. Lawrence. That there was immoral and
- 8. criminal activity occurring and that it was
- 9. very obvious an that he needed to go and see
- 10. it. And he wanted documentation.
- And after having been assaulted for
- 12. the self-incriminating factual evidence that
- 13. I had presented on Father Verrecchia, I was
- 14. reticent to give him any documents for fear
- 15. that he would then claim that I had doctored
- 16. it or manufactured it or created it, rather
- 17. than to believe in the truth of what I was
- 18. saying.
- 19. So I gave him the key to the rectory
- 20. and told him to see for himself and he
- 21. refused, claiming that documents by me would
- 22. be better. And I said what could be more

- 1. he's one of the boys. He's got a big boy's
- 2. club. They have their big parties over
- 3. there. Just phenomenal stories.
- 4. Q Now when you say "boys," this is
- 5. parties with young boys?
- 6. A No, fellow gay priests.
- 7. Q Oh, gay priests, okay.
- 8. A Now, I made a personal decision that
- 9. I was not going to talk about any of this to
- 10. anyone until I had some substantial
- 11. information and verification of some of these
- 12. things that he was telling me.
- 13. Q And did you obtain that?
- 14. A I have. And have shown some of that
- 15. to Bishop Loverde. I obtained factual
- 16. information about Father Erbacher and his
- 17. homosexuality.
- 18. Q What did you obtain that you showed
- 19. Bishop Loverde?
- 20. A Pictures of his homosexual
- 21. pornography collection of basically young
- 22. boys, very similar to what Father Verrecchia

- 1. better than your own eyewitness testimony,
- 2. then when Father Erbacher says who told you
- 3. this, you can slam your fist on the table and
- 4. say I saw it and it makes me sick. And I
- 5. said it does make you sick, doesn't it?
- 6. And it began a whole series of
- 7. conversations with Bishop Loverde about his
- 8. stance towards homosexuality. What he was
- 9. willing to do if he knew that priests were
- 10. homosexual or that they were inclined to
- 11. this. Whether he was going to make any
- 12. precautions towards the assigning two
- 13. homosexual priests together in a rectory
- 14. unsupervised, whether he was going to inform
- 5. clergy of fellow priests who might be
- 16. homosexuals so that prudence or safeguards
- 17. might be up.
- 18. I told Bishop Loverde, I said you
- 19. forced me to live with a gay man and you
- 20. didn't tell me. He said he didn't know.
- 21. Q That being Father Erbacher?
- 22. A Yes. He said that he never asks.

	Page 158		Page 160
1.	And I said what do you mean you never ask?	1.	the parish, but only after it had become
2.	How can you assign two men to live together	2.	public.

- and now know or ask if one of them is gay? Q Where is Erbacher now? He said I have no right to ask. And I said 4. A I do not know. Apparently, for a
- you have a responsibility if you're going to while he was at Saint Leo's Parish in Fairfax
- place them in a living situation, which can living there in secret, but I have lately
- be an incredible temptation to them. heard that he's no longer probably with the And it became apparent to me that diocese. He's going to leave.
- again, more substantial information that was Q And what -- did you provide -- what 9.
- concurring with the stories and the rumors information did you provide from your 10.
 - that I have heard from Father Erbacher and eyewitness accounts to Bishop Loverde
- 12. other priests in the diocese. 12. regarding financial information?
- A When he received the information, he Q When you gave him the key, this 13. 13.
- was to the rectory and the offices of which 14. questioned me about it and I said I can 14.
- 15. are under the -- under his auspices as the verify all of the information.
 - head of the Diocese of Arlington? Q And where did he get the information?
- A It was sent to him anonymously, 17. A Yes. 17.

20.

- Q And you understand he would have a 18. apparently.
- right because it's under him to access Q And he -- did he have it when he met 19.
- anything in the diocese? 20.
- A Yes. 21

18.

19.

- Q And instead you said he wanted the 22
- with you on this? I mean, did he have the information with him when he met with you or
- did he just mention what it was?

Page 159 Page 161

- documentation to come from you from there?
- A Yes.
- Q And did you then follow his request
- and go and obtain that information and give
- 5.
- A I told him that you have the greatest
- documentation possible. You have the 7.
- eyewitness testimony of a priest who is 8.
- credible and was in a meeting with his bishop 9.
- and he was officially telling you that you
- have criminal and immoral activity going on. 11.
- And I said I would expect there to be some 12
- investigation. 13.
- And there was no investigation, there 14.
- was no interviews, there was no inquiry. And
- seven, eight weeks later information was 16.
- apparently sent to the bishop and to the 17.
- 18. media sources and it became immediately
- public. And only after that two months and 19.
- only after that public attention was Father
- Erbacher removed, immediately evaluated and
- immediately there was a financial audit at

- A He had never -- he never really had a
- conversation with me. This all became public
- after I had been transferred to Saint Mary's
- in Fredericksburg. I had been there about
- three weeks when I, too, saw the news
- accounts of his being investigated for
- financial irregularities.
- Q Is this the same time as the public 8.
- information about the prosecution of -- who
- was it you mentioned?
- A Father Sal Ciullo. 11
- O Father Ciullo? 12
- A Yes. 13.
- Q This was at the same time they
- mentioned something about Erbacher?
- A Yes. 16
- O Okay. And this -- what little was
- reported publicly, this was consistent with
- what you had observed and told to Father --19.
- to Bishop Loverde?
- 21. A Absolutely.
- Q Did it involve financial misdealings

* A	LL SERVICES* Mu	lti-Pag	$\mathbf{e}^{ ext{TM}}$
	Page 162		Page 164
1.	by Father Erbacher?	1.	Yes, I think the date
2.	A There were large amounts of cash	2.	Q Or was it after that?
3.	that were being deposited. Two, three, four	3.	A of the story was when he was
4.	thousand dollars of cash and in addition	4.	removed, so which in my estimation
5.	to what he would normally be paid as a	5.	should have been done on June 6th when
6.	priest. And certainly that raised flags.	6.	he was told that there was criminal and
7.	Q How often were these deposits?	7.	immoral activity going on. These should have
8.	A Usually every week, sometimes	8.	been an immediate investigation.
9.	biweekly.	9.	Q Do you know if there has ever been
10.	Q How much does a do you and would	10.	any criminal prosecution for Father Erbacher
11.	Father Erbacher be making as priests?	11.	much like there was for Father Ciullo
12.	A At the time we were being paid I	12.	regarding taking of monies from the parish?
13.	think, \$520 a month, \$6,000 a year. And he	13.	A I don't know. I know that there was
14.	was making putting in his bank account	14.	a very extensive five- or six- month financial
15.	over \$100,000 a year and recording on	15.	inquiry by Price Waterhouse criminal
16.	personal income tax that he was only making	16.	investigators and they interviewed me and
17.	about \$7,000 a year, so I understand that the	17.	the secretaries of the parish who apparently
18.	IRS is also after him.	18.	filled them with stories that made their hair
19.	All of which, again, in the	19.	stand up on end. Because the conversations
20.	conversations we had, he talked about how to	20.	that Father Erbacher used to tell me were
21.	deal with tax issues, how to buy parish	21.	not exclusive to me, he would tell other
22.	things on your personal credit card so that	22.	secretaries how to deal with other issues and
	Page 163		Page 165
1.	you could take that off as a deduction to the	1.	how to get money. And they indicated that
2.	parish. I said, but you didn't buy it and	2.	there was a lot of irregularities.
3.	you're going to get reimbursed? I said	3.	Certainly there was violation of
4.	that's fraud. And he said that's what	4.	diocesan policies, but that it may be very
5.	Monsignor Brown had taught him and Danny	5.	difficult to actually show theft of cash. In
6.	Spychala how to do it. The conversations	6.	conversations with Bishop Loverde I said, I
7.	were priceless.	7.	think the diocese needs to set up if we are,
8.	Q Were these things that you related to	8.	quote, "Truly a professional organization,
9.	Bishop Loverde?	9.	set up procedures here." I said you can go
10.	A Yes.	10.	into McDonald's or Burger King and they have
11.	Q How much time passed between the time	11.	a cash register. They have cameras watching
12.	you told him and you became aware that there	12.	the cash. Why don't we have any similar,
13.	was at least some investigation being	13.	quote, "Professional systems set up within
14.	undertaken?	14.	the church."
15.	A I met him on June the 6th and on	15.	Q Let me go back a second. Did there

16. come a time after you met in late May with

17. the bishop, May of 2000, expressing -- where

19. Verrecchia/Lambert situation? Did there come

A I was given a subpoena on June the

18. you had these conversations about the

20. a time after that where you received a

21. subpoena for documents?

16. July the 31st of 2001, apparently this story

18.

19.

20.

21.

22. removed?

the local news.

A July 31st.

17. became public in the Washington Post and in

Q Is that when Father Erbacher was

Q I'm sorry, when did it become public?

Page 166	Page 168

- 1. 1st, Ascension Thursday.
- 2. Q From whom?
- 3. A From Cathy Farrell, (ph) who
- 4. represented Jim Lambert in a custody battle
- 5. that was going on with his wife. She had
- 6. apparently been given full custody and he was
- 7. fighting to get his children.
- 8. Q And had you met him at this point in
- 9. time?
- 10. A No.
- 11. Q What did you provide in response to
- 12. that subpoena?
- 13. A I provided the evidence that was
- 14. asked for. All of the documentation I had on
- 15. Father Verrecchia and any pornography,
- 16. homosexual pornography he was involved in.
- 17. Q This is what you were requested to
- 18. provide?

3.
 4.

5.

7.

9.

11.

12

13.

14.

16.

17. 18.

19.

21.

the subpoena?

Verrecchia.

recall?

subpoena.

- 19. A Right.
- 20. O And is that the set of documents that
- 21. you had given to Bishop Loverde?
- 22. A I gave them those documents plus

more, plus a CD that had contained all of the

Q Anything else that you provided other

than all the documents and the CD pursuant to

Q And when did you turn that over after

receiving the subpoena on June 1st, if you

A I turned it over on Monday the 5th,

because the subpoena asked for that, but on

from the diocesan lawyers asking me if I had

They said apparently some of the priests had

been subpoenaed. It was a voice message.

been subpoenaed, the priests of All Saints,

and we wondered if you had received a

the 2nd, which was a Friday, I got a call

A Everything I had on Father

- 1. And then shortly after that, maybe
- 2. two hours, another call that says "If you
- 3. have been subpoenaed you don't need to turn
- 4. in the material on the 5th." And I thought
- 5. that was unusual because I had a document
- 6. that was given to me, served by a court
- server that said I had to the 5th and I was
- 8. receiving a phone call from a diocesan lawyer
- 9. saying no don't turn it in on the 5th. So
- 10. since I had no counter-document to this
- 11. subpoena, I followed the subpoena and felt
- 12. uncertain why the diocese was giving me the
- 13. call.
- 14. Q Did you receive a subsequent
- 15. subpoena?
- 16. A The subpoena that I received subsequent
- 17. to that is the current one that
- 18. brings me here.
- 19. Q Was there a subpoena of your
- 20. personnel records by the diocese?
- 21. A Yes.
- 22. Q When was that?

Page 167

1. A June 23rd, Cathy Farrell gave me a

Page 169

- email, all of the letters that were on the 2. subpoena that she had received, which
- computer system. I had originally told

 3. apparently the diocese had received
- Bishop Loverde when they gave him the emails 4. requesting my personnel records.
- that it was just a small portion.

 5. Q She had a copy of the subpoena of the
 - 6. diocese for your personnel records by -- was
 - 7. that by Ms. Lambert's counsel?
 - 8. A Yes.
 - 9. Q Did you have a discussion with anyone
 - 10. about what they would do with your personnel
 - 11. records?
 - 12. A I immediately called Father Mealey
 - 13. and asked him what the diocese's policy was
 - 14. on this, whether they were going to fight
 - 14. Off this, whether they were going to right
 - 15. this subpoena. And he said no, we won't.
 - 16. And I said you're going to give my personnel
 - 17. records out in this case? And he said we
 - 18. will not fight it. If you would like to do
 - 10 11 1 C C 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
 - 19. that, if you feel that you need to do
 - 20. that, then you hire your own lawyer. I said
 - 21. why? I said it's a subpoena for the records
 - 22. from you.

Page 166 - Page 169

Page 170 Page 172

- 1. You're not going to defend one of
- 2. your priests? And he said we will not fight
- 3. the subpoena. And I said well, can I see my
- 4. records? And for the multiple time again it
- 5. was denied. This was a conversation that
- 6. later, that Father Mealey completely lied
- 7. about and said that the reason that I had
- 8. called him was to see if I can see the
- 9. personnel records and made my mention of the
- 10. real reason to see what the diocese was going
- 11. to do.
- 12. Q Why did you call Father Mealey? What
- 13. was his position?
- 14. A The last time that Father Rippy was
- 15. involved in a meeting with the bishop and
- 16. myself was way back in October 1999, and
- 17. after that there was a change. And there's a
- 18. letter sent to all the priests that Father
- 19. Rippy would no longer be involved in the
- 20. sexual conduct, but Father Mealey would. And
- 21. then in every other meeting that I had with
- 22. Bishop Loverde, Father Mealey has been

- A Yes.
- 2. Q relationship had gone on?
- 3. A Yes.
- 4. Q Did he indicate to you that's the
- 5. first time that he became aware that they
- 6. knew as far as back as a year before that
- 7. when you gave them this information?
- 8. A He indicated that it was a gold mine,
- 9. that it was going to help him obtain his
- 10. children, that the diocese had never told him
- about this, that they kept it quiet. He
- 12. didn't even know it existed.
- 13. Q Did he indicate to you that the
- 14. diocese was making contrary representations
- 15. to you throughout that previous year and
- 6. before, that they had no indication that
- 17. there was a relationship going on from their
- 18. investigation?
- 19. A It was the first time I learned,
- 20. yes, that he had gone in. Like I said,
- 21. learned from the one letter, but he told me
- 22. that he had gone in and he had complained and

Page 171

Page 173

- present
- 2. Q Has Father Rippy also been present?
- 3. A No.
- 4. Q Did there come a time later in June
- 5. that you first became acquainted with Mr.
- 6. Lambert?
- 7. A We had a lunch, I think, on the 22nd
- 8. of June at the Amphora Restaurant and it was
- 9. an attorney, Kirk Smith and Jim. And that
- 10. was actually the first time I had ever met
- 11. him. Said that he had seen the email that
- 13. that he had -- was thinking about using this

had been given to him over the subpoena and

- 14. lawyer and so we talked for the first time
- 15. about things.
- 16. Q Did he indicate to you that that was
- 17. the first time in June of 2000, mid-June
- 18. 2001, he saw the emails you turned over
- 19. pursuant to the subpoena? That's the first
- 20. time that he was aware that the Diocese of
- 21. Arlington actually knew that there was this -
- 22.

12

- he kind of gave me the story and I said --
- 2. and then I felt this, wait a minute. Boy, if
- 3. everybody in these stories gets together
- 4. we're going to find out one little center and
- 5. it always is the bishop in the diocese. And
- 6. they seem to know, but they will protect
- 7. every single other person from knowing what
- 8. they know.
- 9. Q Did you advise him then that they had
- o. received this information as long as a year
- 11. prior to that back in June of 1999?
- 12. A Yes.
- 13. Q And did he indicate to you that he
- 14. was not aware that they had such knowledge
- 15. until that meeting with you as to when they
- 16. received the emails and stuff?
- 17. A He had no idea that the email
- 18. existed. He hoped for it.
- 19. Q Did you have a conversation, meeting
- 20. after this meeting in June with Jim Lambert
- 21. and your documents being subpoenaed, did you
- 22. have the occasion to have any discussion with

Page 174	Page 176
Page 174	Page 176

- Bishop Loverde or Father Rippy or Father
- Mealey about the fact that they had
- apparently deceived Mr. Lambert for over a 3.
- year about their knowledge, which they at 4.
- least had when you gave them the emails, if
- not prior to that in June of 1999? 6.
- A No. I wouldn't want to have
- compromised his case that he was trying to 8.
- work up here with Kirk Smith by telling him 9.
- anything about him. 10.
- Q No, did you have any discussion --11.
- did you have a discussion subsequent to that 12.
- asking the bishop why they hadn't told Mr. 13.
- Lambert the truth during that previous year 14.
- 15. when they knew it at least from the
- information you had given them? Did it ever
- come up in any of your subsequent 17.
- conversations with them about how they kept 18.
- this information from Mr. Lambert? 19.
- 20. A I know that in that May meeting in
- 2000, I said you know, if this ever gets 21.
- out, if it ever is put into a court case,

- 1. tried to squash the information based on
- privacy concerns because I think they were
- worried about any information in Father
- 4. Verrecchia's files becoming public.
- And then there was an article and it 5.
- was published in Roman Catholic Faithful
- about certain sex scandals and it included
- references to some of the material that I had
- originally given the bishop back in June and 9.
- he wanted to know how in the world it was
- obtained. And I really have no knowledge
- other than the fact the material was turned over in a subpoena on June 1st, June 5th.
- 14. MR MURPHY: Let's take a short
- 15. break.
- 16. (Brief break.)
- BY MR. MURPHY: 17.
- 18. Q Do I understand that after your --
- the subpoena of June 23rd, 2000, that you 19.
- 20. requested or you inquired of the diocese
- whether they were going to resist the 21.
- subpoena of your personnel records and Father

Page 177

- indicated well, he didn't seem -- he had no
- you're in trouble, big trouble. And he
- comment. 3
- 4. Q That was Bishop Loverde?
- A Yes. 5.
- Q And despite that he still didn't --
- at least when you -- excuse me. A month 7.
- later in June when you first met with Mr. 8.
- Lambert on June 22nd, was it clear to you 9.
- that Bishop Loverde still hadn't come forward
- to Mr. Lambert and told him what he had 11 known
- throughout that year? 12.
- A Yes. 13.
- Q Has there been discussions between 14.
- you and the bishop regarding your cooperation 15.
- at that point in going forward with Mr. 16.
- 17. Lambert and complaints by the bishop about
- you doing anything in that regard? 18.
- A I think certain strategies emerged. 19.
- I did hire a lawyer to squash the subpoena 20.
- based on irrelevancy. At the same time the 21.
- diocese appeared at this court case and

- Mealey flatly said no, but that in mid-July
- they actually did for some reason seek to --
- A Yes. 3
- 4. Q -- squash it?
- A Yes. 5.
- Q And it's your understanding that your
- belief was that had to do with ultimately not
- wanting Father Verrecchia's personnel records
- to be gotten into? 9.
- A Yes.
- 11 Q Had there been a subpoena for his
- records; do you know? 12
- 13. A I do not know whether there had been
- 14.
- Q Now, you indicated there was an 15.
- article in Roman Catholic Faithful in -- was 16.
- that in the winter of 2000?
- 18. A Yes
- Q On sex scandals in the Arlington 19.
- Diocese? 20.
- 21. A Yes.
- Q You had a meeting before or after

Page 178	Page 180
rage 176	1 age 100

- 1. that with the bishop regarding the -- your
- 2. concern about this homosexual network or
- 3. power base of homosexual priests in the
- 4. diocese.
- 5. Do you recall whether it was before
- 6. or after that article or as a result of that
- 7 article?
- 8. A It was a result of that article that
- 9. he started to become very concerned with the
- 10. publicity and wanted to know what the source
- 1. was. And I said, I think it's facts, it's
- 12. the reality, it's the source of this and
- 13. inquired about what he was going to do with
- 14. the problems that he was facing.
- 15. Again, several discussions about the
- 16. inappropriateness of homosexual priests
- 17. living together regardless of whether they're
- 18. celibate or not.

the diocese?

another parish.

3.
 4.

5.

9.

11.

12

13.

14.

16.

17. 18.

19.

- 19. Q Did you perceive there to be any
- 20. resistance or any reaction, I should say, to
- 21. you as a result of the information you had
- 22. in the Lambert case as well as this other

information you were bringing to the bishop's

sexual conduct and financial dealings within

A Continually he was becoming more

be the treatment I would have expected he

would have given to his priests who were

Q What was the treatment of you that

Father Erbacher's problems, Father Erbacher

was not moved, but I was transferred again to

Q Where were you transferred? Is that

A Saint Mary's in Fredericksburg. And

again, the expectation was that presented

with this kind of activity, Father Erbacher

evaluated or investigated, but this -- the

would have been immediately suspended or

A For example, when I told him of

causing him so much trouble.

you thought was inappropriate?

Saint Mary's in Fredericksburg?

aggressive and his treatment of me seemed to

attention regarding sexual problems with

- 1. outcome was that I was just transferred out
- 2. of the situation, similar to what had
- 3. happened at All Saints. An assignment that
- 4. should have been continued on was suddenly
- 5. suspended in July out of the course of
- 6. events.
- Q Were you aware that sometime after
- 8. June of 2000 and into 2001 that Mr. Lambert
- 9. had brought to the attention of the diocese
- 10. his complaint that they had known at least
- 11. through you, if not of their own accord, of
- 12. the truth of Father Verrecchia's relationship
- 13. with Mrs. Lambert and they did nothing to
- 14. stop it?
- 15. A No., I didn't know that.
- 16. Q Did you come to know eventually --
- 17. A Yes.
- 18. Q -- that he had made a complaint?
- 19. A Yes.
- 20. Q And obviously that meeting or the
- 21. luncheon meeting that you had with him on
- 22. June --

Page 179

- 1. A 22nd?
 - 2. Q -- 22nd of the year 2000, when he
 - 3. became aware through you that this
 - 4. information had been within the hands of the
 - 5. diocese for a long time and there was
 - 6. confirmation of this relationship.
 - 7. As a result of that, were you -- did
 - 8. you later become aware that he had made a
 - 9. complaint to the diocese that's now resulted
 - 10. in this lawsuit?
 - 11. A Yes.
 - 12. Q Have you felt any resistance by the
 - 3. diocese to your aiding Mr. Lambert any
 - 14. further in his complaint against the diocese
 - 15. as a result of his being misled?
 - 16. A I believe that part of the motivation
 - 17. for my move to Fredericksburg, which was a
 - 18. significant distance away from Washington,
 - 19. was perhaps to prevent me from seeking legal
 - 20. advice or being in contact with anybody in
 - 21. the area. I don't know for a fact, but that
- 22. was the feeling.

Dooo	1	82
Page	- 1	X /.

- 1. Again, instead of being looked at for
- 2. a pastorship since I had not done anything
- 3. wrong. I was now being moved again after a
- 4. very short assignment to be an associate
- 5. somewhere else.
- 6. O Well, did you find that coincidence
- 7. somewhat suspicious in your being transferred
- 8. to Saint Lawrence on July 26 of 1999, after
- 9. you gave the information a month earlier to
- 10. the bishop regarding Father Verrecchia?
- 11. A Yes, very suspicious.
- 12. Q And did you attribute any suspicion
- 13. to the coincidence of your complaint
- 14. about Father Erbacher in June and the ongoing
- 15. matters regarding Mr. Lambert and your
- 16. being transferred a couple of weeks later
- 17. then to Saint Mary's in Fredericksburg?
- 18. A Yes, there seemed to be direct
- 19. correlations.
- 20. Q How long did you stay at Saint Mary's
- 21. and if there was a change in that, how did
- 22. that come about?

1. could find another place because I was now

Page 184

Page 185

- thoroughly disgusted not only with what I
- 3. presented to the bishop, but also with what I
- 4. know and have not yet fully presented to the
- 5. bishop.
- 6. I thought it was the best solution
- 7. for him, for myself and for any embarrassment
- 8. to the diocese, so I requested that in
- 9. September and --
- 10. O At that time did you or prior to that
- 11. time or at that time, did you present to
- 12. Bishop Loverde the fact that you were aware
- 3. of the Saint Mary's pastor? What was his
- 14. name?
- 15. A Father Daniel Hamilton.
- 16. Q Father Hamilton's collection of
- 17. masochistic and pornographic materials?
- 18. A No. I indicated to him that there
- 19. were also problems at Saint Mary's, but I
- 20. didn't specify.
- 21. Q Did he ask you what they were?
- 22. A He said that he was tired of me

- A Almost immediately upon arriving at
- 2. Saint Mary's I realized that there was a
- 3. significant problem there with the pastor.
- 4. That he was involved in extraordinarily
- 5. graphic and incredibly disturbing
- 6. sadomasochism, sexual torture, cross-
- 7. dressing, transgender, pornography that
- 8. involved she-males. That he was completely
- 9. addicted, daily immersed in this kind of
- 10. sexual horror and felt that it would probably
- 11. accomplish nothing for me to make the bishop
- 12. aware of this because I didn't want to face
- 13. again what I had faced with Father Verrecchia
- 14. and Father Erbacher.
- 15. So I thought the best solution would
- 16. be to see if I could somehow find a place in
- 17. the church outside of the Diocese of
- 18. Arlington where a true respect for priestly
- 19. holiness and morality and some sort of
- 20. policies against homosexuals and homosexual
- activities was to be found. And so I askedthe bishop for a leave of absence so that I

- telling him these vague references to people
- 2. -- priests with problems and unless I gave
- 3. him substantial, credible information that he
- 4. couldn't do anything about it.
- 5. And again, discussions about what
- 6. credible was. Was it more credible to
- 7. believe a priest or more credible to believe
- 8. a document that could be altered or changed
- 9. or whatever.
- 0. Q So this is similar to his previous
- 11. request after being given the --
- 12. A Yes.
- 13. O -- material in the Lambert matter,
- 14. this was his further request when you pointed
- 15. out the Erbacher stuff, that you should just
- 16. get him that material?
- 17. A It seemed to me that the only person
- 18. that was getting into trouble was me and
- 19. that I was somehow suffering for what I
- 20. thought I should be thanked for. That there
- should have been an appreciation over FatherVerrecchia and Erbacher that I had given them

Page	186
Page	LAD

- an indication of some real problems that I
- thought they would address.
- Q Did you indicate to him at that time 3.
- where he could go and find this material 4
- since he had the presumed right to do that?
- Did you point out that it was with Father --6.
- A Hamilton.
- Q Hamilton? 8.
- A No. My solution at that point was to 9.
- try and negotiate another diocese because 10.
- it was becoming horribly and painfully clear
- 12. that this bishop was not going to do anything
- significant about these significant problems. 13.
- Q During the months and years prior to 14.
- 15. that in these meetings, was he still
- challenging you on the 1995 matter?
- A It has always been the weapon or tool 17.
- of his, quote, "concerns with me." A very 18.
- ancient allegation that was never shown to 19.
- 20. me, that had been covered clearly and
- thoroughly, but kept coming up again and 21.
- again and again and what I consider now a

and friendships that are sexual in nature,

Page 188

Page 189

- whether they become sexual, in fact is
- immaterial. They are sexual in nature.
- 4. They're attracted to each other.
- 5. And the discipline of the church has
- always been to separate those who could be
- sexually attracted. And here he was putting
- homosexual men together, forcing them to live
- in a state, an occasion of sin. So he denied 9.
- the request after a very lengthy wait.
- On October the 11th he said that he
- 12. would not give me any leave of absence or
- discernment. That the personnel needs of the
- 14. diocese would not allow it and that I hadn't
- done the proper groundwork. Such a request,
- that would take very much time and counseling
- with a spiritual director. 17.
- 18. Q What is a "period of discernment"?
- What does that mean? 19.
- A It's more his term than mine. At the 20.
- time I was just asking for a leave so that I 21
- would have an opportunity to find another

- diocese and perhaps investigate religious
- not -- he was going to use this. life to try and find the holiness and the
 - moral uprightness, the sanctity of men who 3
 - represent Christ.
 - Again, just shocked at his apparent 5.
 - indifference or inactivity towards what I
 - found horrible scandals within in his
 - diocese. And he seemed at that time to think 8
 - that the only horrible scandal was that any
 - of this become public. Not that it existed,
 - but that anybody would find out about it. 11
 - Q And when he denied this leave of 12
 - 13. absence, what occurred next?
 - A The majority of the letter was about 14.
 - the proper procedures. That I would need to
 - have spiritual direction, find a specific 16.
 - community and make a specific request for 17.
 - 18 that. And I indicated, how can you find a
 - 19. specific community if you don't have the
 - freedom to spend some time with them,
 - 21. investigate them. But after having been
 - thoroughly denied it and I'm sorry, we

- 2.
- So I initially had a meeting with him 3.
- 4. on September 11th, which was cancelled because of the terrorist strike and we met on
- the 13th. And I told him my problems, I kind
- of summarized the frustration I had. I tried 7.
- to have him see it from my perspective. 8
- I asked him whether there was 9.
- anything wrong with homosexual priests and he
- kept saying that there was nothing wrong and 11.
- I was floored by that. He says as long as 12
- they're not active and I was still floored. 13.
- And I said will you sign your name to that 14.
- there was nothing wrong with homosexuals
- sleeping together as long as they're chaste? 16.
- I said that's the same, saying we can 17.
- 18 have have priests and nuns live together
- they're both chaste and there's not going to 19.
- 20. be any problems. Of course there's going to
- be problems. There is going to be incredible 21.
- temptations, there is going to be flirting

Daga	190
Page	190

- 1. request you to go back to Saint Mary's.
- 2. At the bottom of the letter it said
- 3. you have often indicated you were distressed
- 4. by the behavior of the priests, but I cannot
- 5. do anything until I have factual or credible
- 6. information. So in response to that request,
- one week later I had a meeting with him in
- 8. which I presented factual, self-incriminating
- 9. information on Father Hamilton's completely
- 10. outrageous sexual addiction.
- 11. And at the end of the meeting, which
- 12. was basically a slide show of the pictures of
- 13. his incredible collection, the bishop told me
- 14. that I had better watch out, that he -- that
- 15. I did not know what he was capable of doing.
- 16. Q "He" being whom?

5.

7.

8

9.

11

12

13.

14.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

evidence.

- 17. A Him, the bishop. And one week after
- 18. that I was called for a meeting at the
- 19. chancery, which I had assumed would be to
- 20. obtain more information about Father
- 21. Hamilton. Tragically, I thought even after

still want to know about the information that

people, the relationships that we saw, rather

meeting would be about Father Hamilton and

was surprised to see Father Mealey, Father

Avella and the bishop there. And when I

A He's the moderator of the clergy. I

vocation director that accepted me after a

of the Curia for the second time as he was

sitting as a witness at this meeting.

A Father Mealey and the bishop

A And he told me that on such an

met him twice in my priesthood. He was the

10-minute interview and I met the moderator

Q Who else? Father Avella was there?

was presented, the circumstances of the

than to attack me on the credibility of

So I went in thinking that the

expressed my surprise --

Who else was there?

O So three?

Q Who is Father Avella?

22. two previous times that the bishop would

important day he needed these witnesses and I

Page 192

Page 193

- 2. said, oh. And we sat down, he pushed a piece
- 3. of paper across the desk and I remember
- 4. reading the first words. It said "I, James
- 5. Haley, hereby resign my offices of parochial
- 6. vicar of Saint Mary's parish." And I said
- 7. what's this all about? And he says I want
- 8. you to resign. And I said why in the world
- 9. would I want to resign? I love the
- 0. priesthood.
- 11. And he pressed the issue and I said I
- 12. am not resigning. I certainly haven't done
- 13. anything wrong. I said, what are you going
- 14. to do about Father Hamilton? And then he
- 5. took back that piece of paper, which I said
- 16. are you not giving that to me? Oh, no, you
- 17. can't have this, you didn't sign it. And he
- 18. pushed another piece of paper that said "I
- 19. hereby instruct you to get out of Saint
- 20. Mary's parish by 7 o'clock this evening."
- 21. Pack up your belongings and move into a hotel
- 22. and basically get out of town.

Page 191

- 1. And I said I don't understand why is
- 2. this about me? What's happening to Father
- 3. Hamilton? The bishop told me that he was
- 4. being told of the allegations, not the
- 5. evidence, but the allegations against him
- 6. that I had been -- that I had brought. And I
- 7. said wait a minute. How was he told? You're
- 8. here, Father Mealey's, Avella, who is telling
- 9. him?
- 10. I said he must be in some secure
- 11. facility because you certainly wouldn't want
- 12. to tell him this. It's an incredible
- 13. revelation without having supervised care. I
- 14. said he could easily take his life much as
- 15. Monsignor Reinick did when he was accused of
- 6. his pedophilia or the Pastor Keith Ramey did
- 17. when his homosexuality was discovered. I
- 18. said he's in some sort of secure environment,
- 19. right?
- 20. And I said people need to know that
- 21. this is going on. To which he threw another
- 22. document which said if you tell anybody by

Page 190 - Page 193

- 1. any means what has happened to Father
- 2. Hamilton or anybody you will be immediately
- 3. suspended from the priesthood without any
- 4. warning. And gave me a further document that
- 5. if I said anything about any priest past,
- 6. present or future in any behavior, I suppose
- 7. it would include criminal behavior or child
- 8. rape, that I would be suspended.
- 9. And I said you mean I'm going to get
- 10. suspended if I tell the truth to anyone but
- 11. you, but if I tell you the truth you don't
- 12. seem to do anything about it. So he took
- 13. away my faculties, he took away my ability to
- 14. preach.

3.
 4.

5.

7.

8.

9.

11.

12

13.

14.

16.

17. 18.

19.

20.

- 15. Q What does it mean, "take away
- 16. your faculties"?
- 17. A Faculties are what allows a priest to
- 18. hear confessions, to say mass, to do
- 19. baptisms, so he said the only thing you can

ordained, this is not why I gave my life to

He made a financial offer of \$500 a

month. And I said there's no way I can live

on \$500 a month, so I can't go to Denver or

anywhere else like you want me to do. I am

going to sit in front of the chancery on \$500

a month unless you can find me a place to

Two days later he called me back in

after having investigated the cost of living

in Denver. And I won't say I agreed, but in

obedience to the bishop, accepted what I

consider completely unjust, unmerited

meeting with Father Roos and Father

and said that he was willing to pay me \$2,000

behavior on his part towards me. And then on

the following Saturday he asked me to have a

live for that. The meeting was left, I

called my lawyer on the 25th.

20. do is to say private mass.

being so punished?

- 21. Q You mean by yourself?
- 22. A Um-hmm. This is not why I was

1. Whitestone who were, quote, "starting a --

Page 196

Page 197

- 2. Q Father who?
- 3. A Roos.
- 4. Q How do you spell Roos?
- 5. A R-O-O-S.
- 6. O Who is he?
- 7. He's the head of the tribunal and
- 8. Father Whitestone who is one of the judges on
- 9. the tribunal.
- 10. O Tribunal for what?
- 11. A Tribunal usually hears the marriage
- 12. cases of a diocese, but it's the judicial
- 3. branch, I suppose, of the diocese. It would
- 14. investigate anything ecclesiastical. And
- 15. they spent the time asking me exclusively
- 16. about the same things that Bishop Keating --
- 17. or Bishop Loverde had often asked. How did
- 18. this information get obtained?
- 19. Nothing about Father Hamilton, per
- 20. se, nothing about the relationship towards
- 21. Father Hamilton, nothing about does anybody
- 22. else know this? Just questions about me.

Page 195

- 1. And I later found out that Father Mode, who
- God, to say mass by myself. And repeatedly 2. had also seen the material of Father
- asked him in that meeting as well in previous

 3. Hamilton, was brought in and he was not
- meetings what have I done wrong that I am

 4. questioned about Father Hamilton, but about
 - 5. m
 - 6. Q Who was questioned about -- Father
 - 7. Mode, who is he?
 - 8. He was the other associate at Saint
 - 9. Mary's.
 - 10. Q And what questions were they asking
 - 11. about you?
 - 12. A He was not supposed to tell me
 - 13. anything. He was, quote, "sealed to
 - 14. secrecy." But does Father Haley have a
 - 15. camera, does he have a digital camera, have
 - 16. you ever seen him with a digital camera, have
 - 17. you ever seen him entering the room? So it
 - 18. seemed -- it appeared to be more of an
 - in the state of th
 - 19. investigation.
 - 20. Q Were you in the meeting?
 - 21. A No. This was reported to me by
 - 22. Father Mode later. And so I have been on a

Page 194 - Page 197

Page 198

- leave of absence since October the 23rd and
- to my knowledge no action has been taken
- against Father Hamilton. And recently had a 3.
- meeting on June the 28th, which I expressed 4.
- what I had already written to the bishop that 5.
- I find that completely untenable. 6.
- How can he defend the fact that I
- have no faculties and I cannot preach for 8.
- having done nothing wrong, but that Father 9.
- Hamilton still remains a pastor and preaching 10.
- and, quote, "Leading a parish in the moral
- 12. life and holiness of Jesus Christ." And he
- repeated the line often used "You do not have 13.
- any idea what I've done to Father Hamilton." 14.
- 15. To which I replied I know he's still
- the pastor, I know that he was not put in an
- inpatient facility for evaluation or 17.
- treatment, I know that his faculties weren't 18.
- removed or his preaching. I know now what 19.
- you are capable of doing to a priest because 20.
- I have the precepts of silence, I have my 21.
- faculties removed and I haven't done anything

what he has paid to me and have told him that

Page 200

Page 201

- I would not consent to any kind of an
- evaluation he is pressuring me to have.
- 4. Q So he raised the 1995 allegations
- against you as recently as --5.
- A June 29. 6.
- O June 28.
- A 2002. 8.
- Q Did he -- has he attempted to 9.
- restrict your reporting on the Lambert Matter
- or any of these other matters that have been
- 12. used to -- adversely to you?
- A In every letter he has sent me on my 13.
- 14. period of discernment he has reminded me of
- the serious consequences in violating the
- penal precept of silence. I would be
- immediately suspended for talking about
- Father Verrecchia, for talking about Father
- Hamilton, for talking about Father Erbacher 19.
- 20. or for talking about any of the other priests
- whose names I have mentioned to Bishop
- Loverde.

- And have told him that I would not
- offer any of those names unless I already had
- credible information that they were violating
- moral precepts of the church. He has
- requested numerous times credible information 5.
- and I am trying to fulfill his requests.
- Q And you have fulfilled those requests
- by providing those documents that you
- 9. previously mentioned?
- A Yes. He's a very hard guy to give
- 11 credible information to.
- Q As to what he views as credible, yes, 12
- 13. I guess.
- Does he -- where do you understand 14.
- you stand right now with the bishop as to
- your position in the diocese? 16.
- A I am an incardinated priest who has 17.
- no ministerial assignment and will not have
- 19. any kind of ministry within the diocese until
- I will submit to an inpatient evaluation at a
- 21. facility chosen by him.
- Q For what period of time?

- persecuted when other priests are not
- continues. 3.
- 4. Q How did he respond to that?
- A The latest meeting he gave me a
- letter requesting all of the receipts for the
- financial stipend he had given me and asking me
- why I had blocked Father Mealey 8.
- from an email account. And again, insisting
- that I have some sort of an in-treatment 10.
- evaluation at a facility chosen by him. 11
- And in this lengthy meeting I spoke 12.
- of my surprise that only a bishop can choose 13.
- a person's doctor, that any kind of
- evaluation from allegations which I have 15.
- never seen in 1996, are still irrelevant 16.
- 17. after having been transferred twice by him to
- parishes and twice by Bishop Keating to 18.
- parishes with no mention of any restrictions. 19.
- 20. So in response to the letter and the
- request, I have given him the expenses of the 21.
- last eight months, which are almost twice of

Page 202

- A Indefinitely. Until such point as I 1
- would consent to that. He, quote, "In
- conscience cannot assign me to a parish." I
- am still under this penal precept of silence, 4.
- I am living on a stipend that does not allow
- me to function. I am losing money every
- single moment.
- And he mentioned in his letter that 8.
- he would inform the clergy of the diocese
- that I was not to be invited to celebrate any 10.
- of the sacraments to them. And I said you're
- going to make this public to the other clergy 12.
- that I can't celebrate sacraments and for 13.
- what reason? Are you going to tell them that 14.
- I have no faculties, that I have no ministry?
- He said he was still thinking about that.
- O Did he say when he would next meet 17.
- with you and what he expected from you in the 18.
- 19.
- A Immediately after the meeting on the 20.
- 28th, he tried to contact me in Denver by
- email, which I had already indicated to him

- come forward because high-priced lawyers
- hired by the church will prove that they are
- not the victims but the aggressors. That
- they have somehow done something wrong.
- apparently in response to that, he called me
- delusional and apparently thought twice about
- the comment.
- Q How do you know he thought twice 8.
- about the comment?
- A I don't know why else he would have
- 11. immediately called me in for a meeting to
- address that hypothetical.
- 13. Q And when was your last meeting with
- 14. him?
- A That was June 28th, 2002, and he's 15.
- requested a meeting with him as soon as he
- 17. returns from his month-long vacation
- somewhere around August the 8th. 18.
- Q And where do you presently stand in 19.
- the diocese? 20
- A I think initially, when it was 21
- published in the Herald that I was on a leave

Page 203

Page 205

- was no longer accessible since I had to
- discontinue email service since he wasn't
- 3. paying me enough money. And I -- by a letter
- -- when he knew I was in Arlington and had 4.
- just had a meeting with him and he wanted to
- have an immediate meeting with me to address 7
- some concerns I had made to him at the end of
- 8. the meeting.
- Again, challenging him on what he was
- going to do about the homosexual priests in 10.
- his diocese, the significant problem that he 11
- has and voicing my frustration that he does 12.
- not seem to respond to allegations or 13.
- evidence or solid proof of bad behaviors of
- his priests. And I gave him some conjecture, 15.
- I would be afraid now to come in to you and 16.
- 17 tell you that I had been raped by a fellow
- priest because I wouldn't want to go through 18. the scrutiny of having my credibility 19.
- 20. attacked.
- Very similar to the victims who have 21
- been abused by priests and who are afraid to

- of absence for a period of discernment to
- consider religious life, which is what I had
- 3. originally requested on September 13th, and
- which had been denied to me on October the
- 11th. That people in the diocese and the
- fellow priests thought that I was becoming
- more holy by my vocation or my calling to
- religious life.
- And recently, I think more have come
- to understand that what has happened to me
- was a complete injustice and in fact,
- 12. fabrication on the Diocese of Arlington's
- part. 13.
- 14. Q Has there been an indication as to
- what the bishop is looking to do with you or
- for you to do?
- 17 A I believe that he's trying to
- strangle me out of the church. In the
- letters that he wrote to me in my period of
- discernment, that's his term, he indicated at
- the bottom of every one of the letters "Since
- it is your intention to leave the priesthood,

Page 206

- 1. since it seems that you want to leave the
- 2. priesthood, I am more than happy to help you
- 3. in your laicization from the priesthood."
- 4. Q What does that mean?
- 5. A Meaning my official request to Rome
- to leave the priesthood.
- 7. Q Have you ever made such a request?
- 8. A No. In fact, I wrote him a letter
- 9. and told him emphatically, I have never in
- 10. the whole course of my priesthood asked to
- 11. leave the priesthood. So in the meeting, in
- 12. June I said, it's like receiving a letter
- 13. from your employer and at the bottom of each
- 14. letter, if there's any way to help you get
- 15. out of this company, I am more than glad to do
- 16. that.
- 17. I told him I found it offensive,
- 18. aggressive. That if he was going to push me
- 19. out of the church like this he needed to face
- 20. the demands of justice.
- 21. Q Have you ever expressed the desire to
- 22. want to be out of the priesthood as opposed

- protect the institution at all costs and my
- 2. calling from God is to love and serve the
- 3. church with all my heart. That's what I'm
- 4. trying to do.
- 5. Q Is it possible for you to transfer
- 6. to another diocese when your faculties have
- 7. been remove here?
- . A Ordinarily, if a priest wants to
- 9. transfer to another diocese he needs to get
- 10. the approval of the new diocese, the bishop
- 11. of the new diocese, and he then needs to be
- 12. -- his incardination within his present
- 3. diocese needs to be released. So it depends
- 14. upon the request of a bishop and the release
- of your present bishop.
- Q From your understanding in the
- 17. practice, have you been tainted by the manner
- 8. in which you have been treated by the bishop?
- 19. A Absolutely. I have no idea what is
- 20. in my personnel file, although the minor
- 21. quotes of meeting minutes that he has read to
- 22. me are totally inaccurate. And whether they

Page 207

Page 209

- 1. to pursuing your vocation?
- 2. A No. In fact, sent him a letter that
- 3. said I was open and have always been open to
- 4. any assignment he would offer me in the
- 5. Diocese of Arlington. My feeling is that he
- 6. fears to a great degree what I might preach
- 7. about and what I might say.
- 8. Again, his greatest concern is not to
- 9. address the issues, is not to solve the
- 10. issues, is not to set up safeguards to avoid
- 11. future issues, is to not make a change in
- 12. structures of the diocese, but is simply to
- 13. make sure that no scandals emerge publicly.
- 14. He said that any priest who would go
- 15. to the public, to a newspaper with
- 16. information about the bad conduct of priests,
- 17. quote, "Does not love the church." And I
- 18. countered with you're telling me is that a
- 19. priest who goes to the people of God with the
- 20. truth about their priests does not love the
- 21. church?
- 22. So my feeling is he is there to

- . have been falsified or whether the person who
- 2. took the notes was inaccurate, I do not know.
- 3. But I would feel that my success in obtaining
- 4. another bishop's approval is extraordinarily
- 5. hampered by these unseen files that he would
- 6. see that I would not see. And I cannot
- 7. verify whether what's in them is true or not,
- 8. false, made up, anything.
- 9. He indicated to me in the letter on
- 0. June 28th that on his part, he would not give
- 11. approval for a transfer to any other bishop.
- 2. So even if a bishop would want me, Bishop
- 13. Loverde will not allow me to be transferred.
- 14. Q You basically -- subject to his will
- 15. other than leaving -- other than electing to
- 16. leave the vocation in which you have trained
- 17. for?
- 18. A Yes.
- 19. Q Let me ask you, has there been any
- 20. recent publicity that you seen at All Saints
- 21. regarding this lawsuit and Father Verrecchia
- 22. and -- former Father Verrecchia -- and Ms.

Page 210	Page 212

- 1. Lambert and Mr. Lambert?
- 2. A I received a phone call from one of
- 3. the secretaries on the day of a front-page
- 4. article about the Verrecchia lawsuit in the
- 5. Manassas Journal. That she had just attended
- 6. mass and that Father Cilinski, the now
- 7. present pastor, had read a letter from
- 8. the bishop to all of the parishioners about the
- 9. lawsuit. Her statement was "The spin
- 10. continues, Father." And I later got a copy
- 11. of that letter and was shocked to see out and
- 12. out lies that, again, were said to the
- 13. people.

with her."

2.

3.
 4.

5.

7.

8.

9.

11.

12

13.

14.

16.

17. 18.

19.

21.

- 14. Q And what were those lies?
- 15. A "I want you to know that when the
- 16. diocese of Arlington received news of an
- 17. emotional, romantic relationship of the
- 18. pastor and an adult woman parishioner in June
- 19. of 1999," -- as if it hadn't heard from the
- 20. very husband of the woman before that -- "it
- 21. initiated an investigation." And Bishop
- 22. Loverde told Jim Verrecchia that he, quote,

"Must break all contact in any shape or form

He had my complaints that she was

still seeing him and he was still seeing her

after June of 1999. As late as January of

2000, I was telling him that they were still

seeing each other. "And in March of 2000,

leave of absence from the priesthood, the

diocese learned then that it had to subade

Q During the time that you were aware

1999, when you gave the emails and letters to

discussion and concern raised by parishioners

from maybe spring of 1998 through June of

the bishop, I think you previously testified

that there was an extraordinary amount of

and amongst your fellow priests.

Would you say that at that time it

relationship appeared to be going on?

was certainly beyond suspicion that this

A It was a full-blown scandal by the

the bishop's orders." Untrue.

when the former pastor asked for a personal

- 1. fall of 1998.
- 2. Q And when you took the information
- 3. that made it absolutely conclusive in June of
- 4. 1999, did the bishop and the diocese respond
- 5. as you would have expected it to respond
- 6. between June of 1999 and the following year
- 7. through June of 2000?
- 8. A No. And I was horribly dismayed that
- 9. it didn't.
- 10. O What did it fail to do that you would
- 11. have expected it to do based on your
- 12. understanding for what the -- how the diocese
- 13. professes to respond and has responded in
- 14. similar situations?
- 15. A Based on the knowledge how it
- 16. responded in my situation and how it
- 17. responded in Father Paul Berghout's situation
- 18. and how it responded to other allegations
- 19. within the diocese, I expected and I told him
- 20. that I expected that there would be an
- 21. immediate evaluation done on Father
- 22. Verrecchia.

Page 211

- 1. And I told him that in this case,
- 2. given what I had seen, he should be
- 3. immediately suspended, immediately
- 4. suspended. And again, encouraged him to take

- 5. as much time as he needed that day even if it
- 6. meant losing a couple of hours of sleep at
- 7. night to protect the church from this wolf in
- 8. sheep's clothing and from this scandal which
- 9. was devastating the life of this community,
- 10. this -- the church at All Saints.
- 11. He never acknowledged to me that he
- 12. realized the magnitude of the public scandal,
- 13. but certainly by June of 1999 it was the talk
- 14. of everyone that the pastor was having an
- 15. affair with a married woman.
- 16. Q Would it then surprise you to know
- 17. that during that year from June -- even after
- 18. it had the information from you in June of
- 19. 1999 through June of 2000, that it had been
- 20. denying this based on its own claimed
- 21. investigation to Mr. Lambert?
- 22. A Yes.

Page	2	1/

- 1. O And if it had been denying this to
- 2. Mr. Lambert, would that have been a truthful
- 3. denial by Bishop Loverde or Father Rippy or
- 4. Father Mealey or anyone else who was
- 5. involved?
- 6. A No, certainly Father Rippy.
- 7. O Would those denials or failure to
- 8. tell the truth to Mr. Lambert be counter to
- 9. what you understand to be all the teachings
- 10. of the Catholic Church?
- 11. A Honesty, integrity, truthfulness,
- 12. yes, it was a complete cover-up of what could
- 13. be and still could be a potentially
- 14. devastating scandal for the diocese that
- 15. could have been stopped if they had
- 16. procedures. I was surprised that when I
- 17. arrived -- when complaints were made by a
- 18. parishioner about a relationship between
- 19. a pastor and his wife that there was no
- 20. precautions given to the other priests in the
- 21. house.
- We were the ones who lived with him.

- 1. about it and still failed to do anything
- 2. about it. I suppose given the current media
- 3. it seems to be the tactic, procedure of most

Page 216

Page 217

- 4. bishops to ignore and hope it doesn't emerge
- 5. publicly.
- 6. O Was any action taken, to your
- 7. knowledge, against Father Verrecchia prior to
- 8. his apparent voluntarily resigning in Marcy
- 9. of 2000?
- 10. A The only thing I know from outside
- 11. sources, the secretaries, and the only thing
- 12. I know from Bishop Loverde himself was that
- 3. he was asked not to see her.
- 14. O That's it?
- 15. A Yes. And then he indicated, you
- 16. don't know -- when I said what, just a
- 17. gentleman's handshake? I had brought up to
- 8. him the fact that apparently people had
- 19. brought up the difficulties with the prophet
- 20. to the chancellor and Father Rippy told me
- that the problem had been resolved. I
- 22. said how do you know that? And he said

- Of anyone that would know that he was
- 2. continuing the behavior and anyone that the
- 3. bishop could trust to get reliable
- 4. information, it was from the fellow priests.
- 5. So at the least he should have told us, I
- 6. want to know if Father Verrecchia is still
- 7. seeing this woman, I want to know right away
- 8. because he has clearly been told not to.
- 9. That was never done. He had no way
- 10. of knowing other than the testimony of Father
- 11. Verrecchia who had already proven himself a
- 12. liar and a cheat as to whether he was
- 13. actually doing -- breaking the relationship.
- 14. Q Did he ever come down to check on his
- 15. own? Did Bishop Loverde or Father Rippy ever
- 16. come down to check on their own?
- 17. A No. There are no procedures to do
- 18. that. There are no structures within the
- 19. church to do that. So this scandal is
- 20. like so many scandals in the church, it's
- 21. unsupervised. What makes this scandal
- 22. unique, maybe, is that the bishop was told

- Father Verrecchia told me. And I said you
- 2. have no other verification of that? He said
- 3. Father Verrecchia told me.
- 4. And I said, well let me tell you and
- 5. let me prove to you that he has in fact not
- 6. stopped his relationship with the prophet.
- 7. So apparently they trusted him and what he
- 8. would do in their request despite the
- 9. overwhelming evidence that he had misled
- 10. them, lied to them, deceived them, that he
- 11. was continuing on in behaviors that they were
- 2. not investigating, that they were not
- 13. supervising. And the bishop said they were
- 14. professional in their response.
- 15. Q Would it have been appropriate for
- 16. Mr. Lambert as a parishioner of the parish who supported it financially with
- 17. contributions and payments to the school and
- 18. by his participation as a member of the
- 19. parish to expect that the bishop and/or
- 20. Father Rippy or Father Mealey would have been
- 21. truthful with him about this had he made

Page 218 Page 220

- 1. inquiry?
- 2. A It should be an expectation of Jim
- 3. Lambert or any other parishioner even if they
- 4. had never paid a dime to the parish.
- 5. MR MURPHY: Let's take a short
- 6. break.
- 7. (Brief break.)
- 8. BY MR. MURPHY:
- 9. Q You mentioned one of your early
- 10. meetings, if not your initial meeting with
- 11. Bishop Loverde, I guess and early meeting in
- 12. May of 1998 or approximately around that time
- 13. I think, in that he indicated that he was
- 14. considering you for a pastorship, correct?
- 15. A Yes.
- 16. Q How soon after that time did he
- 17. appear to take a totally different attitude
- 18. towards you?
- 19. A Within a week.
- 20. O And that was the result of, as I
- 21. understood your earlier testimony, Father
- 22. Verrecchia talking to him?

- 1. a big, big parish in this very wealthy,
- 2. affluent neighborhood of Virginia and that it
- 3. was a big job, but people had assured him
- 4. that I was up to the task.
- 5. So when a week later that was
- 6. withdrawn and based on lies by Father
- 7. Verrecchia, I just felt demoralized,
- 8. frustrated, persecuted, silenced. I felt
- 9. that he's used every opportunity to discredit
- 10. me, to challenge me, challenge my truth. I
- 11. should say to somehow make the problems that
- 12. I factually presented my problem. In one of
- 13. the later meetings I reacted by saying all of
- 14. this is just absolutely amazing. And he said
- 15. no, what's amazing is you. You are amazing,
- 6. which I found very aggressive and --
- 17. Q Did he say that in a complimentary
- 18. sense or a challenging, critical sense?
- 19. A Oh, it was very critical in the sense
- 20. that none of this is the problem. None of
- this is a problem. The only problem we have
- 22. here, the only amazing thing is you, you're

Page 219

- 1. A Yes.
- 2. Q After that you brought to him all of
- 3. the information that you discovered shortly
- 4. thereafter regarding Father Verecchia and Ms.
- 5. Lambert?
- 6. A Yes.
- 7. Q And based on his reaction then and
- 8. his reaction to everything else you have
- 9. brought to him since then, how have you felt
- 10. in response to his attitude toward you with
- 11. regard to you bringing these matters to him?
- 12. A I have felt threatened. Certainly
- 13. having the threat of suspension hanging over
- 14. your head constantly is not what you would
- 15. expect from the bishop of your diocese for
- 16. doing a good thing.
- 17. Initially, I felt very confused about
- 18. his change. My initial meeting with Bishop
- 19. Loverde was unbelievably cordial, he said
- 20. nothing but nice things. He said the
- 21. personnel committee had just spoken so highly
- 22. of me, that our Lady of Hope was going to be

- 1. the only problem we have.
- Q These things that you brought to
- 3. him throughout this period of time, the
- 4. illicit affair, the apparent intimate
- 5. relationship between -- what appeared to be
- 6. an intimate, physical relationship between a
- 7. married woman and Father Verrecchia, that
- 8. being Ms. Lambert, and the pornography and
- 9. the financial affair matters that you
- 10. brought, did you consider these to be other
- 11. than just amoral or immoral, did you also
- 12. consider these to be in violation of civil
- 13. law?
- 14. A As far as I know, having an affair in
- 5. the State of Virginia is a felony. I don't
- 16. know for sure. I heard some people react to
- 17. the fact that if they were ever caught in
- 18. adultery and certainly the custody rights and
- 19. the alimony payments are drastically altered
- 20. by the fact and --
- 21. Q With regard to that as well as the
- 22. financial dealings and the pornographic

Page	222
Page	///

- material, did you consider these to be civil
- matters, not just church matters?
- A Yes. 3.
- Q And how have you felt -- how have 4.
- you perceived the attitude of the bishop
- toward you in revealing these matters? Has
- it been intimidating to you?
- A Yes. He sees me as an enemy and my 8.
- expectation is that I should be seen as a
- good guy, as one who is actively trying to 10.
- help the diocese to either establish
- mechanisms or structures to truly become some
- sort of a professional organization. 13.
- 14. As I said, when you compare the
- 15. church to a McDonald's or a Burger King it
- becomes rather frustrating that we don't do
- as much as they in protecting the interests 17.
- of the people who give them money, protecting 18.
- the interests of the employees, protecting 19.
- 20. justice for --

7.

8.

9.

11.

12

13.

15.

16. 17.

18.

19. 20.

21.

at

Verrecchia?

A Yes.

- Q Did you see these matters that you 21.
- brought to the bishop's attention that you've

described here as going beyond just church-

under normal civil law and outside of just

A I believed and still believe that the

church has extraordinarily civil liabilities

Q In response to the subpoena, you have

heading "Reverend James A. Verrecchia" and

the bottom says "Presented June 22nd 1999 to

Bishop Paul Loverde." I'll make that Exhibit

presented to the bishop regarding Father

O And this material that he refused

to take action on, but challenged your

credibility and integrity on?

produced here today some folders and I'm

in the occasions I have mentioned.

going to identify them, if I may. I'll

Is this the material that you

identify the first folder which has the

the church administration?

- A Yes. Initially he said I wrote all
- of that in a week.
- 3. Q All right. I'm going to identify
- 4. Exhibit B.
- 5. Is this the material that you
- discovered and presented to him regarding
- Father Erbacher to which you were challenged

Page 224

Page 225

- 8.
- A I know that that material was sent to 9.
- the diocese and I have assured him that it
- has all been substantiated, that I can verify
- 12. the presence of all that material.
- Q So this is what you told him he could 13.
- 14. discover if he would go and look?
- 15. A Yes, easily. It was all out in
- public view.
- Q And these are accurate pictures of 17.
- what you saw in the rectory? 18.
- 19. A Yes.
- 20. Q I'm looking in particular, just for
- by way of example, the second page of colored 21.
- pictures. Lots of cash in drawers and in

- pouches. What is that, do you know?
- related matters, but also matters that arise A That was the money that was in his
 - office desk. A significant amount, I don't 3.
 - remember exactly.
 - Q Was money to be kept that way? You 5.
 - handled accounting at times and--
 - 7. A No.
 - Q -- so how was it to be kept? 8.
 - A Money cash was supposed to be 9.
 - deposited in the bank as soon as possible.
 - Most parishes had a minor petty cash account
 - of \$100. I think the one pouch there was
 - something like 3 or 4 thousand dollars worth 13.
 - of cash. 14.
 - 15. Q There's also pictures here of boxes
 - filled with naked boys or men on the covers.
 - Like one that says "Merry Christmas," for 17.
 - 18. instance. Where were these?
 - 19. A There were a collection of three
 - boxes sitting right next to the dirty laundry
 - 21. basket, which the housekeeper had access to
 - every week.

Page	226
Page	2.20

- Q And this shows a box that says
- 2. "Priority mail from San Francisco to William
- 3. Erbacher at 6222 Franconia Road, Alexandria,
- 4. Virginia." What address is that?
- 5. A That is the parish address of Saint
- 6. Lawrence.
- 7. Q I'm looking at this page, it shows
- 8. pictures of something called "South African
- 9. Son," which shows to be very young males,
- 10. teenagers possibly. Are you able to discern
- 11. whether they are teenagers or young adults?
- 12. Could they be teenagers, in other words?
- 13. A Yes. The shipping address on the
- 14. labels and many of the films are manufactured
- 15. overseas, which would make one suspect that
- 16. members might be underage or else illegal in
- 17. the United States.
- 18. Q One here says "Richie's Finest."
- 19. Also appears to be a relatively young boy, is
- 20. that correct?
- 21. A Yes.
- 22. Q "Spartacus International Gay Guide,"

1. A Some of them appear to be 12, 13, 14

Page 228

Page 229

- 2. years old. I know that there was a number of
- 3. unmarked videos of very young boys
- 4. masturbating.
- 5. Q Mark that Exhibit B. And the final
- 6. would be Exhibit C, which you have produced.
- 7. This includes the material on Father Hamilton
- 8. that you presented on October 16th, 2001 to
- 9. Bishop Loverde?
- 10. A Yes.
- 11. Q And this is again, these are pictures
- 12. of what's to be found around the residence
- 3. and rectory?
- 14. A Yes.
- 15. Q And there's a picture of a lot of
- 16. videotapes. What are those of?
- 17. A It's a box of nine -- shows nine
- 18. tapes that showed -- I don't think triple X
- 19. would be a vivid enough description. They
- 20. were hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of
- 21. acts of human copulation in every way
- 22. conceivable.

- that was also amongst these things?
- 2. A Yes.
- 3. Q Here's one that says "Boys Galleria."
- 4. I guess these are young boys. I'm looking at
- 5. a page that has all the little covers, maybe
- 6. 60 or so?
- 7. A That's from the internet sites that
- 8. he was looking at.
- 9. Q This is a young, naked, young boy?
- 10. A They're all naked.
- 11. Q They're all naked.
- 12. A And there is no women and there are
- 13. no men with women.
- 14. Q And on this page they do appear to be
- 15. young boys for the most part, don't they?
- 16. Several pages, I guess?
- 17. A Father Erbacher had what you might
- 18. call a --
- 19. Q Good God, how old is --
- 20. A -- groin fixation.
- 21. Q -- this one, how old does that boy
- 22. look to you?

- Q This one here refers to "Sissy She-
- 2. Males," do you know what that is?
- 3. A Father Hamilton had an incredible
- 4. addiction to she-males. Men who have the
- 5. desire to be women and who take hormonal
- 6. treatments or whatever to develop breasts and
- 7. feminine attributes.
- 8. Q There's a picture here, I assume it
- 9. was found there, it says "When men who want
- 10. to be women meet women who are dominant or
- 11. want to be men." It appears to be a very
- 12. vivacious, voluptuous woman with a penis.
- 13. A Yes.
- 14. Q Or maybe it's a man with breasts. I
- 15. don't know how you would describe it.
- 16. Q On one of these pages it shows, it
- 17. looks like a cover of an envelope sent to
- 18. Daniel Hamilton at Valleywood Drive in
- 19. Woodbridge. What address is that?
- 20. A That's Saint Elizabeth Ann Seton
- 21. where he was a pastor for 13 years.
- 22. Q And this one is stamped heavily, says

Page 230	Page 232	
 "Sexually oriented material for adults only," correct? A Yes. Q What is this in this drawer? Oh, this is hard to tell, a gift? A Latex glove. Q Are these newspapers in one of these pictures of "The best bondage video releases. Two sets of genitals on one hot body," and another marked "Ass Reaming Adventures." 	1. 2 3. [Whereupon, at 4 p.m., 4. the deposition concluded.] 5 6. I have read the foregoing 7. pages, 3-232, which contain a 8. correct transcription of the 9. answers given by me to the 10. questions therein recorded,	
 These were all Father Hamilton's? A Yes. He had a large collection of kinky context, which he read every line of and said he was the sole person responsible for phone bills at Saint Mary's. Q "She-male Slaves" appears to be 	11. except as noted on the attached 12. errata sheet. 13. 14. 15.	
 17. another? 18. A Yes. 19. Q And magazines called "Centurions on 20. Transformation"? 21. A A Centurions is the largest fetish 22. cross-dressing magazine. There's a box there 	17. FATHER JAMES HALEY 18. 1900000- 20.	
Page 23	Page 233	
 that was laying in his mail from Centurion magazines. Q There's one here on submission and looks like bondage? A Right. MR MURPHY: Since these are so thick, I'm just going to mark these and I'll have them available, okay. I think that's everything. Thank you. -oo0oo- 	1. CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC 2. I, Monica L. Knight, the officer before 3. whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do 4. hereby certify that the witness whose 5. testimony appears herein was duly sworn by 6. me; that the testimony of said witness was 7. taken by me in shorthand and this transcript 8. typed under my direction; that said 9. transcript is a true record of the testimony 10. given by said witness; that I am neither 11. counsel for, related to, nor employed by any 12. of the parties to the action in which this 13. deposition was taken; and, further, that I am 14. not a relative or employee of any attorney or 15. counsel retained by the parties hereto, nor 16. financially or otherwise interested in the 17. outcome of the action. 18	