## SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: ROMAN CATHOLIC FAITHFUL, INC., (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): STEPHEN BRADY and DOES 1-50 Inclusive.

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

**ELECTRONICALLY FILED** 

8/7/2019

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: CRAIG HARRISON (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

**Kern County Superior Court** 

By Layton Johnson, Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte queda desechar el c

page: or gravament as to conto unico de que la conte pueda desechar el caso.			
The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es):	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):	BCV-19-102204	
Superior Court of California, County of Kern			
1415 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301			
Metropolitan Division Unlimited Civil			
The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an att	ornev. is:		
(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):			
		(661) 324-1571	
CRAIG A. EDMONSTON, ESQ., S.B.N. 128694	-,	(101) 011 10.1	
2204 Truxtun Avenue, Bakersfield, CA 93301			
KYLE J. HUMPHREY, Esq., S.B.N.118477, 2211 17th Street, Bakersfie	ld. CA 93301.	Phone (661)327-1360	
DATE: 8/7/2019 TAMARAH HARBER-PICKENS Clerk, by	Kaupo D aska	Deputy	
(Fecha) (Secretario)	7 1	(Adjunto	
For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)			
Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons. (POS-010))			

	esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-	010)).
[SEAL]	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served  1. X as an individual defendant.	,
Cust of 20	2. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (speci	fy):
	3. X on behalf of (specify);	
	under: X CCP 416.10 (corporation)  CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
	CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)	CCP 416.90 (authorized person)

Page 1 of 1



by personal delivery on (date):

ATTORNEY OF PLOTA WITHOUT ATTORNEY		CM-010	
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State B. CRAIG A. EDMONSTON, Esq.	ar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
LAW OFFICES OF CRAIG A. ED	MONSTON, S.B.N. 128694	ELECTRONICALLY FILED	
2204 Truxtun Avenue, Baker:	sfield, CA 93301		
KYLE J. HUMPHREY, Esq., S	.B.N. 118477	8/6/2019 4:55 PM	
2211 17th Street, Bakersfie		0/0/2019 4.55 FIVE	
TELEPHONE NO.: (661) 324-1110	FAX NO.: (661) 324-1571	Kern County Superior Court	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, Craig		Kern County Superior Court	
STREET ADDRESS: 1415 Truxtun Ave		By Layton Johnson, Deputy	
MAILING ADDRESS: 1415 Truxtun Ave	enue		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Bakersfield, CA			
BRANCH NAME: Metropolitan Div			
CASE NAME: CRAIG HARRISON v. ROMAN AND DOES 1-50, INCLUSIVE	CATHOLIC FAITHFUL, INC. , STEPHEN BRADY	(	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER: DOM 40 40004	
X Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	BCV-19-102204	
(Amount (Amount demanded demanded demanded demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defendant	JUDGE:	
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:	
Items 1-6 b	elow must be completed (see instructions o	n page 2).	
<ol> <li>Check one box below for the case type that</li> </ol>	t best describes this case:		
Auto Tort		Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation	
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)	
Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)	
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)	
Asbestos (04)	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)	
Product liability (24)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)	
Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property  Eminent domain/Inverse	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)	
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case	
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)	
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment	
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)	
X Defamation (13)		liscellaneous Civil Complaint	
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)	
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)	
Professional negligence (25)		fiscellaneous Civil Petition	
X Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)	
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)	
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	The point (not aposited above) (40)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)		
2. This case is x is not comp	lex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules	of Court. If the case is complex, mark the	
factors requiring exceptional judicial manag	jement:		
a. Large number of separately repres			
b. Extensive motion practice raising		related actions pending in one or more courts	
issues that will be time-consuming		states, or countries, or in a federal court	
c. Substantial amount of documental		Idgment judical supervision	
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	x monetary b. nonmonetary; decl	aratory or injunctive relief c. x punitive	
4. Number of causes of action (specify):			
	ss action suit.		
<ol><li>If there are any known related cases, file ar</li></ol>	nd serve a notice of related case (You may	use form CM-015.)	
Date: August 🙋 , 2019			
CRAIG A. EDMONSTON, Esq. (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		No or page 2	
(TITE OR PRINT NAME)	NOTICE	UKE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)	
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the file	rst naper filed in the action or proceeding	yeart small claims cases or cases filed	
<ul> <li>Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result</li> </ul>			
in sanctions.			
<ul> <li>File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.</li> <li>If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all</li> </ul>			
other parties to the action or proceeding.		1.0	
<ul> <li>Unless this is a collections case under rule</li> </ul>	3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet w		
		Page 1 of 2	

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1. check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action, To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3,740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3,400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

#### CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES Contract **Auto Tort** Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Rules of Court Rules 3,400-3,403) Damage/Wrongful Death Breach of Rental/Lease Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Contract (not unlawful detainer Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the Construction Defect (10) or wrongful eviction) case involves an uninsured Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller motorist claim subject to Securities Litigation (28) Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) arbitration, check this item Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Negligent Breach of Contract/ instead of Auto) Insurance Coverage Claims Warranty Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury) (arising from provisionally complex Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Collections (e.g., money owed, open case type listed above) (41) book accounts) (09) **Enforcement of Judgment** Asbestos (04) Collection Case—Seller Plaintiff Enforcement of Judgment (20) Asbestos Property Damage Other Promissory Note/Collections Abstract of Judgment (Out of Asbestos Personal Injury/ Case County) Wrongful Death Insurance Coverage (not provisionally Confession of Judgment (non-Product Liability (not asbestos or complex) (18) domestic relations) Auto Subrogation toxic/environmental) (24) Sister State Judgment Other Coverage Medical Malpractice (45) Other Contract (37) Administrative Agency Award Medical Malpractice-Contractual Fraud (not unpaid taxes) Physicians & Surgeons Other Contract Dispute Petition/Certification of Entry of Other Professional Health Care Real Property Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Malpractice Other Enforcement of Judgment Eminent Domain/Inverse Other PI/PD/WD (23) Condemnation (14) Case Premises Liability (e.g., slip Wrongful Eviction (33) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint and fall) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property RICO (27) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD Other Complaint (not specified (e.g., assault, vandalism) Mortgage Foreclosure above) (42) Intentional Infliction of Quiet Title Declaratory Relief Only **Emotional Distress** Other Real Property (not eminent Injunctive Relief Only (non-Negligent Infliction of domain, landlord/tenant, or harassment) **Emotional Distress** foreclosure) Mechanics Lien Other PI/PD/WD Unlawful Detainer Other Commercial Complaint Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Commercial (31) Case (non-tort/non-complex) Business Tort/Unfair Business Residential (32) Other Civil Complaint Practice (07) Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal (non-tort/non-complex) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, drugs, check this item; otherwise, Miscellaneous Civil Petition false arrest) (not civil report as Commercial or Residential) Partnership and Corporate harassment) (08) Judicial Review Governance (21) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) Asset Forfeiture (05) Other Petition (not specified (13)Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) above) (43) Writ of Mandate (02) Fraud (16) Civil Harassment Intellectual Property (19) Writ-Administrative Mandamus Workplace Violence Professional Negligence (25) Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Elder/Dependent Adult Legal Malpractice Case Matter Abuse Writ-Other Limited Court Case Other Professional Malpractice **Election Contest** (not medical or legal) Review Petition for Name Change Other Judicial Review (39) Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35) Petition for Relief from Late Review of Health Officer Order Employment Claim Wrongful Termination (36) Notice of Appeal-Labor Other Civil Petition

Other Employment (15)

Commissioner Appeals

ELECTRONICALLY FILED 8/6/2019 4:55 PM Kern County Superior Court

1 CRAIG A. EDMONSTON, Esq. S.B.N. 128694 By Layton Johnson, Deputy LAW OFFICES OF CRAIG A. EDMONSTON 2 2204 Truxtun Avenue, Bakersfield, CA 93301 Phone (661) 324-1110 Fax (661) 324-1571 3 KYLE J. HUMPHREY, Esq. S.B.N. 118477 4 THE LAW OFFICES OF KYLE J. HUMPHREY 2211 17th Street, Bakersfield, CA 93301 5 Phone (661) 327-1360 6 Attorney for Plaintiff. 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF KERN 9 METROPOLITAN DIVISION 10 CASE NO.: BCV-19-102204 CRAIG HARRISON, 11 Plaintiff, 12 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR 13 DEFAMATION (LIBEL and SLANDER): ROMAN CATHOLIC FAITHFUL, INC. **CIVIL CODE SECTION 3294** 14 STEPHEN BRADY and DOES 1-50 Inclusive. 15 Defendants. 16 17 COMES NOW, plaintiff, CRAIG HARRISON and complains and allege as follows: 18 1. 19 Plaintiff, is and at all times mentioned herein was a resident of the County of Kern, 20 State of California. 21 2. 22 Plaintiff, CRAIG HARRISON is informed and believes that at all times herein 23 mentioned defendant ROMAN CATHOLIC FAITHFUL, INC. (hereinafter "RCF") 24 was and is a business entity doing business in the County of Kern, State of California. 25 3. 26 Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all times mentioned herein, defendant STEPHEN BRADY was an individual doing business in the County of Kern. State 27 28 of California.

- 4. The true names, identities and capacities of defendants designated herein as DOES 1 through 50, Inclusive and each of them are presently unknown to plaintiff. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to substitute the true names of said defendants, and each of them, when such true names, identities ad capacities are ascertained.
- Plaintiff alleges that at all times herein mentioned defendants RCF, STEPHEN BRADY and DOES 1-50 and each of them were the agents, employees, representatives, partners and co-venturers of each of the remaining defendants and committed all acts and omissions alleged herein in the course and scope of such agency and employment.
- 6. Defendant STEPHEN BRADY is the president and founder of defendant RCF. Defendant STEPHEN BRADY has declared that RCF's main mission has been to root out bad priests and that RCF is "in the business of destroying lives, but lives that need destroying."
- 7. On or about May 29, 2019, and thereafter defendants RCF and STEPHEN BRADY and DOES 1-50 and each of them issued a press release and held a conference at 1:00 p.m. at the Holiday Inn & Suites 3927 Marriott Drive in Bakersfield, CA. At the press conference, defendant STEPHEN BRADY and RCF distributed numerous written materials and disseminated, published and re-published false, defamatory, libelous and slanderous statements including allegations of sexual misconduct of and concerning plaintiff CRAIG HARRISON.
- 8. Defendants RCF and STEPHEN BRADY and DOES 1-50 and each of them published and re-published numerous false, defamatory, libelous and slanderous statements including but not limited to alleging that Harrison had sex with two high school students while a pastor in Firebaugh, California, that Harrison would examine

boys' private parts every morning to check whether they were using drugs, that incidents took place in the bedroom of one of Harrison's adopted sons, that Harrison allegedly had sex with a minor in the back of the priest's Ford Explorer, that Harrison is a sexual predator, corrupt, has a bad gambling problem and is a high roller and suggested that one troubled youth committed suicide because of alleged abuse by plaintiff CRAIG HARRISON.

- 9. Plaintiff alleges that the above statements and all of them were false, defamatory, libelous and slanderous on their face and were made were made negligently and maliciously and with reckless disregard for the falsity (serious doubts as to the truth) of the allegations. As a result of the publication and re-publication of the aforementioned defamatory, false, libelous and slanderous statements, plaintiff CRAIG HARRISON has sustained permanent damage and injury to his good name and reputation and has incurred and will continue to incur general and non-economic damages for emotional distress, worry, anxiety, fear and humiliation in an amount according to proof.
- 10. As a further legal result of the publication and re-publication of the aforementioned false, defamatory libelous and slanderous statements, plaintiff CRAIG HARRISON has sustained special and economic damages for past present and future special and economic damages including medical bills, loss of income and impairment of earning capacity in an amount according to proof.
- 11. As a further legal result of the publication of the aforementioned false, defamatory, libelous and slanderous statements, plaintiff CRAIG HARRISON has sustained presumed damages in the amount according to proof.
- 12. The publication and re-publication of the aforementioned false, defamatory, libelous

and slanderous statements was done with malice, oppression and reckless disregard for and serious doubts of the truth of the statements thereby entitling plaintiff CRAIG HARRISON to an award of exemplary and punitive damages against said defendants pursuant to *California Civil Code Section –3294*, et seq. in an amount according to proof.

Wherefore, plaintiff, CRAIG HARRISON demands judgment against defendants ROMAN CATHOLIC FAITHFUL, INC. and STEPHEN BRADY and DOES 1-50 and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For general and non-economic damages in an amount according to proof;
- 2. For special damages and economic damages in an amount according to proof;
- 3. For presumed damages in an amount according to proof;
- 4. For exemplary damages in an amount according to proof;
- 5. For costs of suit;
- 6. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.

Dated: August \_\_\_, 2019

LAW OFFICES OF CRAIG A. EDMONSTON

Craig A. Edmonston, Esq.
Attorney for plaintiff, Craig Harrison



## SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF KERN BAKERSFIELD COURT 1415 TRUXTUN AVENUE BAKERSFIELD CA 93301

FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF KERN

AUGUST 07, 2019
BY Layton Johnson DEPUTY

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:
CRAIG HARRISON
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:
ROMAN CATHOLIC FAITHFUL, INC.
STEPHEN BRADY

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO JUDGE FOR ALL PURPOSES AND NOTICE OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE CRC RULE 3.110 AND NOTICE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

CASE NUMBER:

BCV-19-102204

By order of the presiding judge, the above entitled case is assigned to the Honorable Thomas S. Clark for all purposes. It will be managed on the direct calendar program in Bakersfield Department 17 until its conclusion. Peremptory challenges, if any, must be made within the times set out in CCP §170.6. Please include the initials TSC after the case number on all future pleadings filed in this case.

#### TO PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL:

You are ordered to appear on November 20, 2019 in Bakersfield Department 17 at 8:30 AM in the above entitled court to give any legal reason why sanctions shall not be imposed for failure to serve the complaint on all named defendants and file proof(s) of service with the court within sixty (60) days after the filing of the complaint pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.110. All appearances are mandatory, unless the court receives the required proof(s) of service five (5) court days prior to the hearing date, and then no appearance is necessary.

#### TO EACH PARTY AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEY(S) OF RECORD:

This case is set for Case Management Conference, by the Honorable Thomas S. Clark on February 03, 2020 at 8:15 A.M. in Bakersfield Department 17 of the above entitled court. Case management statements are to be filed at least fifteen (15) days prior to the conference in accordance with California Rules of Court, Rules 3.720 – 3.730. All parties shall comply with California Rules of Court, Rules 3.720 – 3.730.

#### NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL

<u>IMPORTANT</u>: You are required to serve this Notice of Assignment and Notice of Order to Show Cause Date and Notice of Case Management Conference Date with the Summons, Complaint [Local Rule 3.7(a)], Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information Packet, and ADR Stipulation and Order Form (California Rules of Court, Rule 3.221).

#### NOTICE TO CROSS COMPLAINANT'S COUNSEL

IMPORTANT: If you are bringing a cross complaint against new parties, you are, likewise, required to serve this Notice of Assignment pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.110 and Notice of Order to Show Cause date and Notice of Case Management Conference date on the new cross defendants.

Date: August 07, 2019		CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
	By:	Layton Johnson
		Layton Johnson, Deputy Clerk

## HARRISON VS ROMAN CATHOLIC FAITHFUL, INC. ET AL

BCV-19-102204

The Clerk of the Superior Court's office has received a civil complaint from you for filing. Pursuant to the Trial Court Delay Reduction Act, your case has been assigned to the Honorable Thomas S. Clark as monitoring judge.

Judge Thomas S. Clark has instituted a direct calendaring system for all cases assigned to him/her as the monitoring judge.

All law and motion, case management and trial setting conferences, ex parte matters and trials will be scheduled before him/her in Bakersfield Department 17. This will involve all cases in which the clerk has assigned the initials TSC to the complaint at the time of filing. Counsel is expected to make the initials of the monitoring judge a part of the case number on all pleadings and papers.

Judge Thomas S. Clark expects that all law and motion hearings to be heard by him/her be set within five (5) days of the earliest date that they may be heard, given mail notice of hearing. <u>Law and motion matters must be reserved by going to the website address http://kerncourtlink.com for the Kern Courtlink Online Reservation System. The website is available at any time. You may calendar motions as scheduled below. Ex-parte matters require pre-clearance.</u>

At the time of filing the complaint, plaintiff's counsel will be given a Notice of Case Management Conference which sets a conference approximately one hundred eighty (180) days after filing of the complaint. This notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants. Defendants must serve the notice on all cross-defendants named. The notice must also be served on interveners and lien claimants.

Telephonic appearances for case management conferences and law and motion hearings are available through Court Call. The toll free telephone number for Court Call is (888) 88-COURT. Proper procedures must be complied with under California Rules of Court, Rule 3.670. Arrangements to make appearances through Court Call must be made at least five (5) court days prior to the hearing date.

Another judge will hear settlement conferences in cases assigned to Judge Thomas S. Clark. However, those cases that do not settle will be set for trial before him/her.

To confirm any hearing on calendar, for general questions regarding cases assigned to Judge Thomas S. Clark or to pre-clear an ex-parte hearing, contact the Direct Calendaring Clerk at (661) 868-4900. To check on tentative rulings from Judge Clark, go to the court's website address "http/www.kern.courts.ca.gov/", after 4:00 pm, and click on tentative rulings. Judge Lampe does **not** offer tentative rulings.

# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF KERN SPECIAL RULES RELATING TO CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCES

At least fifteen (15) days prior to the case management conference, each party shall prepare, file and serve on each other party a case management conference report providing the Court with the following information:

- 1. The "at-issue" status of the case including any new parties that may be contemplated;
- 2. A brief statement of the type of case and the general facts or contentions;
- 3. A description of the discovery done to date and that contemplated to be done;
- 4. Estimated time for trial and whether a jury is demanded;
- 5. Whether or not the case is entitled to priority in trial setting and if so, the legal authority thereof;
- 6. An evaluation of the case for alternative dispute resolution, including arbitration (judicial or binding), mediation or private judge handling;
- 7. If a person injury action, a description of the injuries sustained by each plaintiff and the elements of claimed damage;
- 8. A statement of any settlement negotiations undertaken thus far;
- 9. The name of the attorney primary responsible for the case on behalf of the party filing the report.

More than one party may join in the filing of a single report.

The case management conference shall be attended by the attorney primarily responsible for the case on behalf of each party or a member of his or her firm or counsel formally associated in the case. The attorney attending shall be thoroughly familiar with the case, and be able to engage in meaningful discussions with court and counsel, and to enter into agreements on behalf of his or her client on the following subjects:

- 1. The "at-issue" status of the case including the dismissal of the unnamed doe defendants or cross-defendants by agreement of all parties;
- 2. Discovery conducted and remaining to be done;
- 3. Amenability of the case to alternative dispute resolution including, but no limited to, arbitration (judicial or binding), mediation, and private judge handling.
- 4. Delineation of issues including stipulation of facts not in substantial controversy;
- 5. Settlement prospects;
- 6. Setting the matter for trial, pre-trial conferences, settlement conference or further case management conference;
- 7. Any other matters relevant to the processing of the case to a final resolution.

Any violation of these rules shall result in the imposition of substantial sanctions which may include monetary, issue, termination, or other appropriate sanctions.

### HARRISON VS ROMAN CATHOLIC FAITHFUL, INC. ET AL BCV-19-102204

#### **CERTIFICATE OF POSTING**

The undersigned, of said Kern County, certify: That I am a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Kern, that I am a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, I reside in or am employed in the County of Kern, and not a party to the within action, that I served the *Notice of Assignment/Notice of Order to Show Cause Re CRC 3.110/Notice of Case Management Conference* attached hereto on all interested parties and any respective counsel of record in the within action by posting true copies thereof, to the Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Non-Criminal Case Information Portal (<a href="https://odyprodportal.kern.courts.ca.gov/portalprod">https://odyprodportal.kern.courts.ca.gov/portalprod</a>).

Place of Posting:	Bakersfield, CA		
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.			
Date: August 07, 201	CL	MARAH HARBER-PICKENS ERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
	Ву:	Layton Johnson	
	Lav	vton Johnson, Deputy Clerk	

August 07, 2019

Date of Posting: